

**CITY OF COLUMBUS
 BID EVALUATION FORM
 (FOR CONTRACTS OVER \$20,000)**

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Department: | Public Utilities | CIP Number: | 690236-100002 | | | | | | |
| Division: | Water | Project Name: | Gibbard Ave. Area Water Line Improvements | | | | | | |
| | | Funding Source: | OWDA Loan | | | | | | |
| | | Bid Opening Date: | 9/23/09 | | | | | | |
| Low Bid at Opening: | \$493,451.20 | Engineer's Estimate | \$795,500.40 | | | | | | |
| Non-Local Low Bid at Opening: | \$496,940.40 | | | | | | | | |
| Original Bid Amount: | Eramo & Sons \$493,451.20 | Danbert, Inc. \$496,940.40 | Seals Construction \$517,069.30 | Shelly & Sands \$568,682.40 | Park Enterprise \$570,649.75 | Tata Excavating \$671,609.13 | Conie Construction \$706,420.66 | Complete General \$753,214.39 | Allard Excavation \$733,332.60 |
| Local Company? | yes | no | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| FACTORS | | | | | | | | | |
| Tier I - Mandatory Factors | | | | | | | | | |
| M-1 | Bid is responsive | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no |
| M-2 | Bidder is compliant with city taxes on payroll and net profits | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| M-3 | Bidder will make financial statements available upon request | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| M-4 | Bidder is currently debarred from doing business with any govt. agency | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| M-5 | Bidder has requisite licenses | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| M-6 | Bidder has provided a contract compliance no., or application for one | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| | Proceed to Tier II? | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no |
| Tier II - Creditable Factors (C1-C5 each yes answer gives 1% credit) | | | | | | | | | |
| C-1 | Bidder is eligible for local credit - (Based on lowest non-local bid) | yes | no | no | yes | no | yes | yes | no |
| C-2 | Bidder is eligible for local workforce credit | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes |
| C-3 | Bidder is eligible for quality training contractor credit | yes | no | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes |
| C-4 | Bidder is eligible for health insurance credit | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes |
| | % of insurance provided by company | 80.00% | 100.00% | 90.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | 75.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% |
| C-5 | Bidder is eligible for retirement or pension plan credit | yes | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes |
| | % of retirement or pension plan provided by company | 100.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | 100.00% | 3.00% | 100.00% |
| C-6 | Bidder is eligible for environmental preference | yes | no | no | yes | no | no | yes | yes |
| | Total Credits (cannot exceed \$20,000 per factor or \$120,000 in total) | 10.00% | 3.00% | 2.00% | 10.00% | 0.00% | 4.00% | 10.00% | 10.00% |
| | Dollar Value of Credits | \$44,707.45 | \$14,803.54 | \$9,869.02 | \$44,707.45 | \$0.00 | \$19,772.94 | \$44,707.45 | \$44,707.45 |
| | Bid Total: | \$448,743.75 | \$482,136.86 | \$507,200.28 | \$523,974.95 | \$570,649.75 | \$651,836.19 | \$661,713.21 | \$708,506.94 |
| | Low Bid Dollar Amount After Credits | \$448,743.75 | | | | | | | |
| | Percent Over Low Bid: | 0.00% | 7.441% | 13.027% | 16.765% | 27.166% | 45.258% | 47.459% | 57.887% |
| | Fundamentally Equivalent Bid (I.e., within 5% of low bid after credits) | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| | Proceed to Tier III? | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | #VALUE! |
| Tier III - History Experience Factors | | | | | | | | | |
| HE-1 | Bidder has a record of non-compliance with City of Columbus affirmative action pro | no | | | | | | | |
| HE-2 | Bidder has a record of non-compliance with federal, state and local affirmative actio | no | | | | | | | |
| HE-3 | Bidder has a record of claims against performance bonds | no | | | | | | | |
| HE-4 | Bidder has paid liquidated damages in the past 3 years | no | | | | | | | |
| HE-5 | Principals or principal employees of bidder have record of fraud, collusion, etc. | no | | | | | | | |
| HE-6 | Bidder has been debarred from doing business with govt. agency(s) in past 3 years | no | | | | | | | |
| HE-7 | Bidder has a record, within the past 3 years, of violations with the following: | no | | | | | | | |
| | A. Fair Labor Standards Act | no | | | | | | | |
| | B. Prevailing Wage Laws | no | | | | | | | |
| | C. Unemployment Compensation Laws | no | | | | | | | |
| | D. Worker's Compensation Laws | no | | | | | | | |
| HE-8 | Bidder has a record of "willful or "serious" OSHA violations within the past 3 years (C | yes | | | | | | | |
| HE-9 | Bidder has an adequate health and safety plan and supporting documentation | yes | | | | | | | |
| HE-10 | Bidder qualifies for an Bureau of Workers Compensation EMR | yes | | | | | | | |
| HE-11 | Bidder has a record of EPA violations within the last 3 years | no | | | | | | | |
| HE-12 | The results of Bidder's references are questionable | no | | | | | | | |
| | Total Negative History and Experience Factors: | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | A negative score in tier III may result in further investigation of bid, if merited | | | | | | | | |
| | Bid investigated with satisfactory outcome? (Requires user input) | yes | | | | | | | |
| | Proceed to Tier IV? | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| Tier IV - Resource Factors (yes answers desirable) | | | | | | | | | |
| Note: Tier IV entails examining resource factors of bidder specific to the project for which the bid was solicited. The field of eligible bidders will be determined through application of Tiers I through III. In Tier IV, a review of the remaining bidders' resource factors will be conducted, following which, the City will select a contractor (s). | | | | | | | | | |
| R-1 | Bidder has sufficient physical resources to reasonably complete this project (e.g., ec | yes | | | | | | | |
| R-2 | Bidder's management has sufficient experience to ensure completion of project | yes | | | | | | | |
| R-3 | Bidder's workforce has sufficient experience to complete this project | yes | | | | | | | |
| R-4 | Bidder has adequate qualified safety personnel proposed for this project | yes | | | | | | | |
| R-5 | Bidder does not have too many current projects to take on this project | yes | | | | | | | |
| | Total Number of "Yes" Answers | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Division's Recommendation: | John Eramo & Sons, Inc. | | | | | | | | |