

Pre-Award Condition Forms

For Government, Public
and Private Agencies

- EEO Certification Form**
 - Complete either Section A, or Section B, or Section C, not all three.
 - Obtain signature from the appropriate official from either the implementing agency or subgrantee agency.

- Civil Rights and EEO Questions Part 1 Form**
 - Complete this form in its entirety.
 - The responses should be based on the implementing agency.
 - A signature is not required on this form.

- Standard Assurances Form**
 - This form should be reviewed in its entirety by the project director and authorizing officials for the implementing agency and subgrantee agency.

- Special Conditions Form**
 - This form should be reviewed in its entirety by the project director and authorizing officials for the implementing agency and subgrantee agency.

- System for Award Management Profile**
 - The System for Award Management is the official U.S. government system that consolidated the capabilities of CCR/FedReg, ORCA, and EPLS. Your agency registration/profile is required to be active throughout the grant project period and renewed annually. The profile can be renewed at <https://www.sam.gov/portal/public/SAM>. Please provide proof that your agency is registered and currently designated as active by uploading a copy of the Entity Overview or Entity Record on the pre-award condition page within the online grants management system.

- Contact Information**
 - There is no form associated with this condition; however, all projects are responsible for keeping contact information current. Correspondence will often be sent through the online grants management system to the project director listed for the “organization”. This is not the same as the project director listed on the title page. For more information on the organization project director, please refer to the user guide. It is also the project director’s responsibility to ensure title page information is updated as well to keep records current. Please contact your grants coordinator with any questions.

- Conflicts of Interest**
 - Subrecipients are required to use Federal funds in the best interest of the award program. Decisions related to these funds must be free of undisclosed personal or organizational conflicts of interest, both in fact and in appearance. Subrecipients are required to disclose in writing any potential conflict of interest to your grant-making component or pass-through entity, as applicable. See the Federal Financial Guide 2 C.F.R. § 200.112.



Suspension/Disbarment

- By signing the Pre-Award Condition Forms subgrantees are certifying that their organization and any organization they are working with as a consultant/contractor is not suspended or disbarred or otherwise found to be ineligible for participating in Federal assistance programs. No organization may participate in these programs in any capacity or be a recipient of Federal funds designated for these programs if the organization has been debarred or suspended or otherwise found to be ineligible for participation in Federal assistance programs under Executive Order 12549, "Debarment and Suspension." (See 45 CFR 75.212.)

By signing below, the project director, implementing agency authorized official and subgrantee authorized official acknowledge that they have read and understand the above information and attached forms.

Angela Long
Signature

01.08.2019 Project Director
Date

Christina Jacobs
Signature

1-8-19 Implementing Agency Authorized Official
Date

Andrew Smith
Signature

1/9/19 Subgrantee Agency Authorized Official
Date

CERTIFICATION FORM

Compliance with the Equal Employment Opportunity Plan (EEOP) Requirements

Please read carefully the instructions (see below) and then complete Section A or Section B or Section C, not all three.

Recipient's Name: City of Columbus		DUNS Number: 051369916
Address: 90 West Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215		
Grant Title: Forensic Science Improvements	Grant Number: 2018-PC-NFS-7805	Award Amount: \$60,271.73
Name and Title of Contact Person: Nichole M. Brandon, Human Resources Director		
Telephone Number: 614-645-6363	E-Mail Address: NMBrandon@columbus.gov	

Section A—Declaration Claiming Complete Exemption from the EEOP Requirement

Please check all the following boxes that apply:

Recipient has less than fifty employees. Recipient is an Indian tribe. Recipient is a medical institution.
 Recipient is a nonprofit organization. Recipient is an educational institution. Recipient is receiving an award less than \$25,000.

I, _____ [responsible official]
certify that _____ [recipient] is
not required to prepare an EEOP for the reason(s) checked above, pursuant to 28 C.F.R § 42.302.
I further certify that _____ [recipient]
will comply with applicable federal civil rights laws that prohibit discrimination in employment and in the delivery of
services.

Print or Type Name and Title _____ Signature _____ Date _____

Section B—Declaration Claiming Exemption from the EEOP Submission Requirement and Certifying That an EEOP Is on File for Review

If a recipient agency has fifty or more employees and is receiving a single award or subaward of \$25,000 or more, but less than \$500,000, then the recipient agency does not have to submit an EEOP to the OCR for review as long as it certifies the following (42 C.F.R. § 42.305):

I, Nichole M. Brandon [responsible official]
certify that City of Columbus [recipient]
which has fifty or more employees and is receiving a single award or subaward for \$25,000 or more, but less than \$500,000, has formulated an EEOP in accordance with 28 CFR pt. 42, subpt. E. I further certify that within the last twenty-four months, the proper authority has formulated and signed into effect the EEOP and, as required by applicable federal law, it is available for review by the public, employees, the appropriate state planning agency, and the Office for Civil Rights, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. The EEOP is on file at the following office:
City of Columbus Department of Human Resources [organization],
77 North Front Street Columbus, OH 43215 [address].
Nichole M. Brandon, Human Resources Director _____ [signature] 12/24/18 [date]

Print or Type Name and Title _____ Signature _____ Date _____

Section C—Declaration Stating that an EEOP Utilization Report Has Been Submitted to the Office for Civil Rights for Review

If a recipient agency has fifty or more employees and is receiving a single award or subaward of \$500,000 or more, then the recipient agency must send an EEOP Utilization Report to the OCR for review.

I, _____ [responsible official]
certify that _____ [recipient]
which has fifty or more employees and is receiving a single award of \$500,000 or more, has formulated an EEOP in accordance with 28 CFR pt. 42, subpt. E, and sent it for review on _____ [date] to the
Office for Civil Rights, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice.

Print or Type Name and Title _____ Signature _____ Date _____

STANDARD ASSURANCES

The Applicant hereby assures and certifies compliance with all applicable Federal statutes, regulations, policies, guidelines, and requirements, including OMB Circulars A-21, A-87, A-102, A-110, A-122, A-133; Ex. Order 12372 (intergovernmental review of federal programs); and 28 C.F.R. pts. 66 or 70 (administrative requirements for grants and cooperative agreements). The applicant also specifically assures and certifies that:

1. It has the legal authority to apply for federal assistance and the institutional, managerial, and financial capability (including funds sufficient to pay any required non-federal share of project cost) to ensure proper planning, management, and completion of the project described in this application.
2. It will establish safeguards to prohibit employees from using their positions for a purpose that constitutes or presents the appearance of personal or organizational conflict of interest, or personal gain.
3. It will give the awarding agency or the General Accounting Office, through any authorized representative, access to and the right to examine all paper or electronic records related to the financial assistance.
4. It will comply with all lawful requirements imposed by the awarding agency, specifically including any applicable regulations, such as 28 C.F.R. pts. 18, 22, 23, 30, 35, 38, 42, 61, and 63, and the award term in 2 C.F.R. § 175.15(b).
5. It will assist the awarding agency (if necessary) in assuring compliance with section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (16 U.S.C. § 470), Ex. Order 11593 (identification and protection of historic properties), the Archeological and Historical Preservation Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. § 469 a-1 et seq.), and the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. § 4321).
6. It will comply (and will require any subgrantees or contractors to comply) with any applicable statutorily-imposed nondiscrimination requirements, which include:
 - Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. § 3789d);
 - Victims of Crime Act (42 U.S.C. § 10604(e));

- The Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 2002 (42 U.S.C. § 5672(b));
- Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. § 2000d);
- Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794);
- Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. § 12131-34);
- Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. §§1681, 1683, 1685-86);
- Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (42 U.S.C. §§ 6101-07);
- Ex. Order 13279 (equal protection of the laws for faith-based and community organizations).
- Equal Treatment for Faith-Based Organizations (28 C.F.R. pt. 38)
- Nondiscrimination; Equal Employment Opportunity; Policies and Procedures (28 C.F.R. pt. 42)

In accordance with federal civil rights laws, the subrecipient shall not retaliate against individuals for taking action or participating in action to secure rights protected by these laws.

Additionally, all grant recipients (including subgrantees or contractors) agree to report any complaints, lawsuits, or findings from a federal or state court or a federal or state Administrative Agency regarding a civil rights finding.

7. If a governmental entity:
 - a. it will comply with the requirements of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisitions Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. § 4601 et seq.), which govern the treatment of persons displaced as a result of federal and federally-assisted programs; and
 - b. it will comply with requirements of 5 U.S.C. §§ 1501-08 and §§ 7324-28, which limit certain political activities of State or local government employees whose principal employment is in connection with an activity financed in whole or in part by federal assistance.

OCJS
CIVIL RIGHTS & EEOP QUESTIONS
APPENDIX B - PART I PRE-AWARD CONDITION

SECTION 1: BACKGROUND

1. How many full-time and part time employees are employed by the agency? 2276
(Division of Police only)
2. If the agency uses volunteers, approximately how many does the agency have per year?
(please count any volunteers separately from paid employees) 79
(Division of Police only)

SECTION 2: EEOP QUESTIONS

1. If the subrecipient is required to prepare an Equal Employment Opportunity Plan (EEOP) in accordance with 28 C.F.R. §§ 42.301-.308, does the subrecipient have an EEOP on file for review?
 Yes No
 - a. If yes, on what date did the subrecipient prepare the EEOP?
June 2017
2. Has the subrecipient submitted a Certification Form to the OCR certifying compliance with the EEOP requirements?
 Yes No
 - a. If yes, on what date did the subrecipient submit the Certification Form?
Certification form dated 12/24/2018 is included in this packet

SECTION 3: CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINTS, LAWSUITS¹, OR FINDINGS

*ANY COMPLAINTS, LAWSUITS, OR FINDINGS THAT HAVE OCCURRED AGAINST THE GRANTEE WITHIN
THE
3 YEARS PRIOR TO THE AWARD DATE MUST BE REPORTED

If more than one complaint or lawsuit has been filed or more than one finding has been issued, the information requested in questions 1. through 1.d below must be provided for EACH complaint, lawsuit, or finding. Several forms may be needed depending on the volume of complaints.

¹ *Please note: Any lawsuit brought against a police department that alleges violations of civil rights under color of state law (often referred to as § 1983 Actions) MUST be reported in addition to any other complaints, lawsuits or findings. Subrecipient must include the party names, case number, and a short synopsis of the facts and the alleged civil rights violations.

1. Has the agency had any civil rights complaints or civil rights *lawsuits **or** findings from any state or federal court OR investigative or administrative agency such as the Ohio Civil Rights Commission, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, or any other administrative agency? (If the answer is yes, please proceed to a – d below. If the answer is no, skip to “Posting Notification” and the questions that follow it.)

Yes No

If yes, circle whichever applicable: **complaint** **lawsuit** **finding**

a. Was the complaint/lawsuit/finding filed or brought by employee(s) of the agency or beneficiaries of services you provide?

Employees X Beneficiaries X

b. Does the complaint/violation/lawsuit involve discrimination based on {indicate all that apply}:

- race X
- color X
- national origin _____
- religion _____
- gender X
- disability X
- age _____
- sexual preference _____
- gender identity (or expression) _____
- limited English proficiency (LEP) _____
- other (please explain) _____

c. What is the current status of the complaint/lawsuit/*finding?
{summarize in the space below}

***If there is a finding by an administrative or investigative agency, what were the recommendations of the agency overseeing the investigation and have those recommendations been met? If not yet met, what is the timeline for meeting those recommendations?**

[Refer to attached spreadsheet](#)

d. Has the subrecipient complied with the requirement to submit to the OCR any findings of discrimination against the subrecipient issued by a federal or state court or federal or state administrative agency on the grounds of race, color, national origin, religion, gender, disability, or age?

Yes No

If no, notify the grantee that they are required to notify OCR and that they must do so immediately as OCJS is required to report the subrecipient.

POSTING NOTIFICATION:

2. Does the agency notify beneficiaries and employees that the agency does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, gender, disability, and age in the delivery of services (e.g. posters, inclusion in brochures or other program materials, etc.)?

Yes No

If yes, briefly describe how this notification occurs:

Notification is made by means of written directives, brochures, and on the agency's website.

3. Does the agency notify employees and beneficiaries through agency brochures, publications, posters, etc. that the agency does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, gender, disability, and age in employment practices?

Yes No

If yes, briefly describe how this notification occurs:

The Division of Police is an EEO employer and utilizes Columbus Civil Service for employment processes. They have established policies and notifications through their brochures and website.

4. Does the subrecipient have written policies or procedures in place for notifying program beneficiaries how to file complaints alleging discrimination by the subrecipient with the Ohio Civil Rights Commission or the federal Office of Justice Programs– Office of Civil Rights?

Yes No

If yes, provide an explanation of these policies and procedures:

Brochures are available in hard copy and instructions on filing complaints are available on the Division of Police website.

5. Does the subrecipient conduct any training for its employees on the requirements of complying with federal civil rights laws?

Yes No

SECTION 4: REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO PERSONS WITH HANDICAP²

THE REQUIREMENTS IN SECTION 4 ONLY APPLY TO GRANTEES THAT HAVE
50(+) EMPLOYEES & AWARD AMOUNT OF \$25,000(+)
IF THIS DOES NOT APPLY SKIP TO SECTION 5

If the subrecipient has 50 or more employees and receives DOJ funding of \$25,000 or more, has the subrecipient taken the following actions:

GRIEVANCE PROCEDURES:

1. Adopted grievance procedures that incorporate due process standards and provide for the prompt and equitable resolution of complaints alleging a violation of the DOJ regulations implementing Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973? [This Act can be found at 28 C.F.R. Part 42, Subpart G; it prohibits discrimination on the basis of a disability³ in employment practices and the delivery of services.]

Yes No

COMPLIANCE COORDINATOR:

2. Designated a person to coordinate compliance with the prohibitions against disability discrimination contained in 28 C.F.R. Part 42, Subpart G?

Yes No

- a. If yes, provide name of the designated person:

Nichole M. Brandon, Human Resources Director

POSTING NOTIFICATION:

3. Notified participants, beneficiaries, employees, applicants, and others that the subrecipient does not discriminate on the basis of disability?

Yes No

- a. If yes, describe how (e.g. posters, inclusion in brochures or other program materials, etc.):

The City of Columbus has established policies, posters, intranet access to City work rules, written literature provided during orientation and training courses, annual ethics training, and City-wide communication and training.

² Note: "handicap" is the term used in the legal definition in the Federal Code, which is why this term is being used rather than "disabled."

³ Disability or handicap under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 means any person who: (1) has a physical or mental impairment which substantially limits one or more major life activities, or (2) has a record of such an impairment, or (3) is regarded as having such an impairment – the perception of a disability.

SECTION 5: REQUIREMENTS FOR GRANTEES THAT OPERATE AN EDUCATION PROGRAM OR ACTIVITY

If the subrecipient operates an education program or activity, has the subrecipient taken the following actions:

GRIEVANCE PROCEDURES:

1. Adopted grievance procedures that provide for the prompt and equitable resolution of complaints alleging a violation of the DOJ regulations implementing Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972? [This Act can be found at 28 C.F.R. Part 54; it prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex.]

Yes No

COMPLIANCE COORDINATOR:

2. Designated a person to coordinate compliance with the prohibitions against sex discrimination contained in 28 C.F.R. Part 54?

Yes No

- a. If yes, provide name of the designated person:

Nichole M. Brandon, Human Resources Director

POSTING NOTIFICATION:

3. Notified applicants for admission and employment, employees, students, parents, and others that the subrecipient does not discriminate on the basis of sex in its educational programs or activities.

Yes No

- a. If yes, describe how (e.g. posters, inclusion in brochures or other program materials, etc.):

The City of Columbus is an EEO employer and utilizes the Civil Service Commission for the employment process. We have established policies, posters, intranet access to City work rules, written literature provided during orientation and training courses, annual ethics training with the Division of Police, and City-wide communication and training.

SECTION 6: LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY (LEP) REQUIREMENTS

1. What reasonable steps⁴ has the subrecipient taken to provide meaningful access to its programs and activities to persons who have limited English proficiency (LEP)?⁵
{summarize in the space below}

As an employer, English is a required language. Some specific jobs within the City of Columbus require bilingual skills. Civil Service testing and 3rd party evaluation are used to determine qualifications. For customer service purposes, the Division of Police utilizes the Language Line (1-800-874-9426) and ASIST Translations when interpretation services are needed for LEP individuals.

2. Does the agency have an LEP policy or a procedure for language assistance services?
 Yes No

SECTION 7: FAITH BASED ORGANIZATIONS

1. Does the agency engage in explicitly religious activities?
 Yes No

2. Does the subrecipient provide federal funded services to eligible beneficiaries regardless of religion, a religious belief, a refusal to hold a religious belief, or a refusal to attend or participate in religious practice?
 Yes No

3. If the subrecipient engages in explicitly religious activities, does it do the following:

- a. Separate the explicitly religious activities in either time or location from the federally funded activities?

Yes No

- b. Ensure that participation in the explicitly religious activities is voluntary for participants in the federal funded program?

Yes No

Comments:

⁴ Reasonable steps in the context of LEP requires a four-factor analysis: (1) the number and proportion of LEP persons served/encountered in the eligible service population – what language groups and how frequently they are encountered in the service area (2) the frequency with which LEP individuals come in contact with the program (3) the nature and importance of the program services – i.e. is the LEP individual asking for directions or looking for program area information (for example domestic violence); and (4) the resources available to the recipient.

⁵ Meaningful access in the context of LEP means effective and accurate communication between the grantee and the LEP individual.

4. Does the agency deny service to anyone on the basis of religion?

Yes No

Comments:

5. If the subrecipient is a religious institution or a faith-based organization, does the subrecipient do the following:

a. Provide appropriate notice to program beneficiaries or prospective beneficiaries that the subrecipient does not discriminate on the basis of religion in the delivery of services or benefits?

Yes No

b. Provide appropriate notice to program beneficiaries or prospective beneficiaries that if they object to the “religious character” of the subrecipient, the subrecipient will ensure that participation in the explicitly religious activities is voluntary for participants in the federal funded program?

Yes No

c. Keep a record of the requests for an alternative provider from beneficiaries or prospective beneficiaries who object to the subrecipient’s “religious character,” noting the subrecipient’s efforts to find an appropriate alternative provider and to follow up with the beneficiary or the prospective beneficiary?

Yes No

SECTION 8: VAWA AND OVW FUNDED PROGRAMS

1. If the subrecipient receives funding under VAWA or from OVW, does it serve male victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking?

Yes No

Comments:

2. If the subrecipient receives funding under VAWA or from OVW, does the subrecipient provide sex-segregated or sex-specific services?

Yes No

If yes, describe how the services are sex-segregated or sex specific.

If yes, has the subrecipient determined that providing services that are sex-segregated or sex specific is necessary to the essential operation of the program?

Yes No

If yes, describe how the subrecipient determined that providing sex-segregated or sex-specific services is necessary to the essential operation of the program.

Civil Rights Lawsuits and Administrative Complaints
12/17/2015 to 12/17/2018

Matter #	Closed Date	Case Name	Case #	Lead Atty	How Closed	Case Narrative
200.0014.001419	07/25/17	Abdur-Rahim, Ellen, et al. v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:17-cv-00601	LIT	Active	Police dispersed a crowd near statehouse
200.0014.001421	06/19/18	Aekins, Lori v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:17-cv-00386	LIT	Settled	Claims officer used excessive force during incident
200.0014.001350	12/21/16	Aldrich, Mark A. v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:15-cv-00404	LIT	Settled	Use of force/detention/parking lot
200.0014.001312	04/01/16	Alkebulan, Inc., et al. v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:13-cv-01249	LIT	Dismissed by Opp	Denial of permit to use park - Juneteenth
200.0014.001373	03/18/16	Allen, Junigh E. v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:15-cv-03035	LIT	Settled	Plaintiff alleges officer stepped on his neck.
200.0014.001356-001	03/22/16	Arega, Tizazu F. #A658-104 v. Coleman, Michael, et al.	15AP-629	LIT	Affirmed on Appeal - City	Inmate (pro se) challenges conviction; seeks damages for incarceration
200.0014.001339	06/07/16	Barber, James R. v. The City of Columbus, Ohio et al.	2:14-cv-2183	LIT	Settled	§ 1983; shooting (police)
200.0014.001381	04/27/17	Barton, Richard v. Columbus Officer Larry Bowman, et al.	16CV-05-4229	LIT	Settled	Plaintiff claims false charges and misidentification out of a robbery

Civil Rights Lawsuits and Administrative Complaints
12/17/2015 to 12/17/2018

Matter #	Closed Date	Case Name	Case #	Lead Atty	How Closed	Case Narrative
200.0014.001417	06/15/17	Beel, Carl A. v. Hurst, Lee A.	17CV-06-5002	LIT	Active/Settled	Officer detained a shoplifter in Reynoldsburg until Reynoldsburg PD arrived
200.0014.001279	08/09/16	Billups, Rhonda v. Scholl, Kyle, et al.	2:13-cv-0258	LIT	Appealed to Higher Court	Police/detention, force
200.0014.001279-001	03/13/17	Billups, Rhonda v. Scholl, Kyle, et al.	16-3950	LIT	Settled	Police/detention, force
200.0014.001389-001	09/29/17	Blakey, Daryl v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:16-cv-0722	LIT	Settled	Claim of unlawful detention and excessive force
200.0014.001390	11/30/18	Bostic, Sr., Teddy Glen v. Davis, Jeanette Arlene	2:15-cv-3029	LIT	Decision for City	Vague, rambling complaint of civil rights violation
200.0014.001250	03/22/16	Brash, Kimberli for Hanna, v. City of Columbus, et al.	12CV-08-10208	LIT	Dismissed	School resource officer/ City dismissed
200.0014.001386	10/25/18	Byrd-Givens, Sheronda v. Asch, Stephen E., et al.	2:16-cv-00410	LIT	Decision for City	Police shooting / death.
200.0014.001321-002	01/30/18	Caudill, Julie Ann W., destd v. City of Columbus, et al.	17AP-0129	LIT	Affirmed on Appeal - City	Wrongful death

Civil Rights Lawsuits and Administrative Complaints
12/17/2015 to 12/17/2018

Matter #	Closed Date	Case Name	Case #	Lead Atty	How Closed	Case Narrative
200.0014.001388	08/29/18	Claim of Allen, Jr., Timothy	Claim	LIT	Threatened Litigation Not Pursued	Arrest / prosecution, indicent 4/13/16
200.0014.001445	06/18/18	Claim of Anderson, Demarko	Claim	LIT	Settled	Officer used force during arrest of claimant
200.0014.001400	11/04/16	Claim of Beatty, Marcus Charles	Claim	LIT	Active	Vague claim regarding his incarceration and deprivation of medication.
200.0014.001455	08/21/18	Claim of Crockett, James	Claim	LIT	Active	Claimant asserts injury as a result of altercation with police on 7/6/18
200.0014.001393	10/18/17	Claim of Davis, Raymond and Reed, Aimee	Claim	LIT	Settled	Entry, search of residence 7/20/16
200.0014.001384	12/27/16	Claim of Ellis, Ava (Mother is Andrea Ellis)	Claim	LIT	Settled	Police-involved shooting, four year old child
200.0014.001451	06/21/18	Claim of Hall, John C.	Claim	LIT	Active	Claim of excessive force/false arrest - 3/11/18
200.0014.001378	04/12/18	Claim of Hussein, Ahmed	Claim	LIT	Settled	Claimant was accidentally shot when officer confronted and shot at person attempting to rob a

Civil Rights Lawsuits and Administrative Complaints
12/17/2015 to 12/17/2018

Matter #	Closed Date	Case Name	Case #	Lead Atty	How Closed	Case Narrative
200.0014.001433	01/09/18	Claim of Kelly, Madison	Claim	LIT	Settled	Police-involved shooting, Miss Kelly was in the residence at the time
200.0014.001449	06/18/18	Claim of Mohamed, Hussein	Claim	LIT		Arrest on a warrant - SWAT
200.0014.001398	01/29/18	Claim of Smith, Thomas	Claim	LIT	Threatened Litigation Not Pursued	Detention / search, says pants were pulled down
200.0014.001463	11/20/18	Claim of Vaillancourt, Joseph	Claim	LIT	Active	Claimant asserts that Officer Rosen interfered with his employment at Kahoots.
300.0014.001363	01/23/17	Cockerham, Steven T. v. City of Columbus, Division of Police	16CV-03-003072	LAB	Dismissed by Opp	Reverse gender discrimination
200.0014.001457	09/07/18	Cook, Chong Hun v. Government of Columbus, Ohio, et al.	2:18-cv-354	LIT	Active	Vague, relatively incomprehensible complaint against multiple entities and going back
200.0014.001434	07/23/18	Cooper, Christopher M. v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:17-cv-00948	LIT	Dismissed by Opp	Police-involved shooting death (Deaunte Bell)
200.0014.001351	05/26/17	Cremeans, Marilyn J., et al. v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:15-cv-0774	LIT	Summary Judgment for City	SWAT entry and shot dog

Civil Rights Lawsuits and Administrative Complaints
12/17/2015 to 12/17/2018

Matter #	Closed Date	Case Name	Case #	Lead Atty	How Closed	Case Narrative
200.0014.001424	01/09/18	Croft, Marcus v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:17-cv-0398	LIT	Settled	Plaintiff asserts unlawful stop by police on two occasions
200.0014.001383	11/29/17	Davis, Joseph v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:16-cv-00299	LIT	Dismissed	Police shooting outside of Lucky's Bar.
200.0014.001430	10/23/17	Davis, Timothy v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:17-cv-823	LIT	Active	Arrest at carryout; force used
200.0014.001405	03/01/17	England, James J. v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:17-cv-104	LIT	Active	Police-involved shooting in course of arrest on a warrant
200.0014.000003-001	03/15/16	Frizzell, Brenda, v. City of Columbus	15CV-04-3490	LIT	Decision for City	Plaintiff's father suffered heart attack while sitting in police cruiser. (Re-filed / previously dismissed by Plaintiff)
200.0014.001387	05/02/17	Gunnell, Kevin B. v. Cox, Anthony, et al.	2:16-cv-00553	LIT	Decision for City	Pro Se complaint / traffic stop and citation.
200.0014.001465	12/10/18	Harris, DeShawn A. v. Stephens, Darren, et al.	2:18-cv-00600	LIT	Active	Plaintiff asserts he was falsely accused/prosecuted for domestic violence
200.0014.001345-001	07/14/16	Harris, Howard v. The City of Columbus, et al.	15AP-08-000792	LIT	Hearing Decision for City	Excessive force

Civil Rights Lawsuits and Administrative Complaints
12/17/2015 to 12/17/2018

Matter #	Closed Date	Case Name	Case #	Lead Atty	How Closed	Case Narrative
300.0014.001431	12/28/17	Hassey, James v. City of Columbus	17AP-000726	LAB	Active/Asserts	Appeal
					conduct is governed by the CBA	
200.0014.001348	03/22/16	Helman, Jordan v. Pray, Officer Anthony, et al.	2:15-cv-398	LIT	Settled	Force / Assault - Police
200.0014.001370	04/01/16	Hester, Clarence O. v. City of Columbus, et al.	15CV-11-9972	LIT	Decision for City	Plaintiff was arrested for failing to comply with officer regarding a traffic citation
200.0014.001306-001	08/17/17	Hines, Joseph v. City of Columbus, et al.	16-3333	LIT	Settled	Excessive force
200.0014.001452	10/25/18	Hodge, Kiyaa v. City of Columbus, et al.	18CV-06-5373	LIT	Settled	Plaintiff alleges false arrest
200.0014.001413	06/09/17	Hood, Adrienne, Individually and as Administrator of the Estate of Henry Green V v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:17-cv-00471	LIT	Active	Civil Rights and wrongful death claims alleging excessive force and race discrimination - federal and state claims
200.0025.000159	05/11/17	Ibanez, Reverend Regina M. v. Ogunbiyi, Bayo	2:16-cv-01131	LIT	Dismissed	Rather incomprehensible pro se complaint against various officials
200.0014.001249-001	08/04/16	Jackson, Claude E. Jr. v. Blubaugh, David A. et al.	2:15-cv-72	LIT	Dismissed	Use of Force, False Arrest, False Imprisonment / Easton

Civil Rights Lawsuits and Administrative Complaints
12/17/2015 to 12/17/2018

Matter #	Closed Date	Case Name	Case #	Lead Atty	How Closed	Case Narrative
200.0014.001461	10/25/18	James, Aaron v. Kirby, Barry, et al.	2:18-cv-1269	LIT	Active	Plaintiff asserts he was unlawfully detained and subjected to false traffic charges and excessive force
200.0014.001401	01/09/18	Joseph, David A. v. Conley, Jared, et al.	16CV-10-10277	LIT	Dismissed	Pro se inmate complaint about his arrest and prosecution (Newark).
200.0014.001458	09/19/18	King, Dearrea, Admin. v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:18-cv-1060	LIT	Active	Police-involved shooting death of Tyre King, September 14, 2016
200.0014.001346	04/29/16	Latham, Jr., Larry M. v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:14-cv-2326	LIT	Decision for City	Excessive force
200.0014.001365	04/21/16	Law, David v. Belmonte, Pamela J.	15CV-07-005715	LIT	Dismissed by Opp	Plaintiff was charged with assault and acquitted in jury trial.
300.0014.001361	03/16/16	Lazar, Jeffrey v. Knight, Jennifer, et al.	2:16-cv-00195	LAB	Active	Alleging First Amendment retaliation and Fourteenth Amendment procedural due process
200.0014.001459	09/28/18	Loura, Nicholas K. v. City of Columbus, et al.	18CV-09-7964	LIT	Active	Plaintiff claims he was wrongfully arrested after a late-night fight outside a restaurant
200.0014.001335	08/31/16	Luke, Octavius v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:14-cv-01588	LIT	Dismissed	Detention at gun point

Civil Rights Lawsuits and Administrative Complaints
12/17/2015 to 12/17/2018

Matter #	Closed Date	Case Name	Case #	Lead Atty	How Closed	Case Narrative
300.0014.001373	09/23/16	Lyons, Randall, et al. v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:16-cv-00813	LIT	Active	Alleging First Amendment retaliation and Fourteenth Amendment procedural due process
200.0014.001374	08/02/17	Lytle, Jr., Robert L. v. Scott, Sheriff Zack, et al.	2:15-cv-03117	LIT	Decision for City	Pro se inmate alleges false arrest and excessive force.
300.0014.001385	02/06/18	Madry, Michael A. v. City of Columbus, et al.	17CV-02-1111	LIT	Dismissed by Opp	Alleged assault by another employee
300.0014.001333	04/04/16	Mancini, Nicole A., et al. v. City of Columbus, et al. - USDC	2:14-cv-1454	LIT	Settled	Discrimination - gender
200.0014.001406	04/20/18	McCormick, Moses v. Chen Lu, Hsiu, et al.	17CV-03-3086	LIT	Appealed to Higher Court	Rambling pro se complaint against more than fifteen agencies and people, asserting fraud, defamation, etc.
200.0014.001406-001	04/20/18	McCormick, Moses v. Chen Lu, Hsiu, et al.	18AP284	LIT	Active	Rambling pro se complaint against more than fifteen agencies and people, asserting fraud, defamation, etc.
300.0014.001447	06/22/18	McFadden, Melissa v. City of Columbus	2:18-cv-00544	LAB	Active	

Civil Rights Lawsuits and Administrative Complaints
12/17/2015 to 12/17/2018

Matter #	Closed Date	Case Name	Case #	Lead Atty	How Closed	Case Narrative
200.0014.001394-001	11/02/18	Mendenhall, Adam v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:17-cv-00677	LIT	Dismissed by Opp	Detention, use of force
200.0014.001355	04/08/16	Miles, Darlene R. v. United States of America, et al.	2:15-cv-1082	LIT	Dismissed	Rambling, incoherent pro se complaint against various federal, state and local entities
200.0014.001423	01/24/18	Miller, Michael H. v. Columbus City Police Department	17CV-08-7393	LIT	Decision for City	After conflict with COTA, plaintiff was arrested and alleges excessive force during arrest
200.0014.001453	08/03/18	Newsom, Euretta M., Admin. v. City of Columbus	18CV-07-6414	LIT	Active	Plaintiff complains about 911 response when James Garrett was shot and killed by intruder
200.0014.001464	11/29/18	Panda, Miranda L. et al. v. McKeckley, Shana, et al.	2:18-cv-1159	LIT	Active	Plaintiffs were arrested and charged a Kahoots Gentlemen's Club
200.0014.001327-002	05/17/16	Pariscoff, Mark v. City of Columbus	15-3591	LIT	Decision for City	Pro se complaint - excessive force
200.0014.001392-001	09/26/17	Pendergrass, Ahkilah v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:17-cv-695	LIT	Settled	Plaintiff alleges unlawful seizure, entry into residence and use of force

Civil Rights Lawsuits and Administrative Complaints
12/17/2015 to 12/17/2018

Matter #	Closed Date	Case Name	Case #	Lead Atty	How Closed	Case Narrative
200.0014.001395-001	11/01/18	Phillips, Dale v. Blair, Karen, et al.	18-4043	LIT	Active	Plaintiff alleges unlawful arrest, malicious prosecution and excessive force.
200.0014.001425	09/05/17	Phipps, Marica, Administrator of the Estate of Kareem Ali Nadir Jones v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:17-cv-00630	LIT	Active	Police-involved shooting; death of Kareem Ali Nadir Jones
200.0014.001442	03/01/18	Plumley, David v. Deputy Mayer, et al.	2:18-cv-50	LIT	Active/City was Dismissed	Plaintiff seemingly challenges his OVI arrest (also accuses County of excessive force)
200.0014.001462	11/19/18	Shaffer, Mary v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:18-cv-1457	LIT	Active	Plaintiff arrested for somestic violence/asserts her deafness wasn't addressed properly; ADA - Rehabilitation Act
200.0014.001438	07/09/18	Smith, James Lamont v. City of Columbus Police	17CV-08-7069	LIT	Dismissed	Relatively incomprehensible complaint appears to be related to Plaintiff's civil commitment
200.0014.001422	10/27/17	Smith, Regina J. v. Blunt, Charles D., et al.	17-1009	LIT	Dismissed	Plaintiff seeks to appeal to Ohio Supreme Court with respect to numerous frivolous cases. (all the Regina Smith cases) It is unclear if City is even a party.

Civil Rights Lawsuits and Administrative Complaints
12/17/2015 to 12/17/2018

Matter #	Closed Date	Case Name	Case #	Lead Atty	How Closed	Case Narrative
300.0014.001372	09/23/16	Sorrell, Wes v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:16-cv-00401	LAB	Active	Alleging discrimination
300.0014.001357	01/31/17	Stevens, Gregory L. v. City of Columbus	15CV-11-10647	LAB	Settled	State court claim for defamation.
200.0014.001338-001	12/22/17	Stevens-Rucker, Patti, Administrator of the Estate of Jason White, v. City of Columbus, et al.	17-3384	LIT	Active	Police shooting - wrongful death claim
200.0014.001291	07/05/16	Strickland, Denota S. v. Vass, Robert, et al.	15CV-04-2940	LIT	Dismissed by Opp	Re-filed case against police officers alleging false arrest, excessive force
200.0014.001363	07/09/18	Thomas, Lonnie E. v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:15-cv-2469	LIT	Dismissed	Police entered residence, arrested plaintiff. Allegations of unlawful entry and seizure, and unlawful arrest.
200.0014.001396	04/24/17	Thomas, Stephanie v. Columbus City Police Department, et al.	16CV-09-9037	LIT	Appealed to Higher Court	Plaintiff complains unlawful detention/civil commitment




Civil Rights Lawsuits and Administrative Complaints
12/17/2015 to 12/17/2018

Matter #	Closed Date	Case Name	Case #	Lead Atty	How Closed	Case Narrative
200.0014.001396-001	01/24/18	Thomas, Stephanie v. Columbus City Police Department, et al.	17AP-279	LIT	Decision for City	Plaintiff complains unlawful detention/civil commitment
200.0014.001328-002	05/30/17	Thomas, William, Administrator of the Estate of Destin Allan Thomas, deceased v. Kaufman, William T.	17CV-05-004615	LIT	Active	Police shooting/wrongful death (state law claim)
200.0014.001360	04/11/18	Thornton, Guilford v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:15-cv-1337	LIT	Affirmed on Appeal - City	Officers entered residence and shot plaintiff. Hung jury on aggravated menacing charges against plaintiff.
200.0014.001403	08/01/18	Triplett-Fazzone, Ragna v. United States of America, et al.	2:16-cv-1016	LIT	Dismissed by Court	Vague pro se complaint asserting various constitutional violations
200.0014.001368	07/13/17	Vigh, Robert Scott v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:15-cv-2767	LIT	Settled	Plaintiff was intoxicated at Gasworks, arrested, and alleges excessive force
300.0014.001380	01/12/17	Wheeler, Sarah A. v. City of Columbus	2:16-cv-01159	LAB	Active	Alleging gender discrimination under Title VII
200.0014.001435	11/03/17	Wiley, Admin., Chana v. City of Columbus, et al.	2:17-cv-00888	LIT	Active	Wrongful death claim (Jaron Thomas)
200.0014.001456	08/29/18	Williams, Shantel v. Rosser, Steven, et al.	18CV-08-7059	LIT	Active	Plaintiff alleges unlawful arrest and property damage

Civil Rights Lawsuits and Administrative Complaints
12/17/2015 to 12/17/2018

Matter #	Closed Date	Case Name	Case #	Lead Atty	How Closed	Case Narrative
300.0014.001359	02/16/16	Zimmerman, Tricia v. Knight, Jennifer, et al.	2:15-cv-3115	LAB	Active	Alleging discrimination

Total Cases: 91

-  **ALERT** - June 11, 2018: Entities registering in SAM must submit a [notarized letter](#) appointing their authorized Entity Administrator. Read our [updated FAQs](#) to learn more about changes to the notarized letter review process and other system improvements.
-  **ALERT** - There may be a delay in data updates between the Small Business Administration (SBA) and SAM. If you notice any issues with your entity's SBA status or trouble on the SBA Supplemental page, please contact the Federal Service Desk.
-  **SAM** users experienced intermittent connectivity issues with production web services the week of 12/17 - 12/20. Full functionality has now been restored. If users experience intermittent connectivity issues with SAM web services and if the issue persists longer than 24 hours, please contact the Federal Service Desk.

Entity Dashboard	COLUMBUS, CITY OF DUNS: 051577492 CAGE Code: 5UWMO Status: Active Expiration Date: 01/02/2020 Purpose of Registration: Federal Assistance Awards Only	605 SPRING ST COLUMBUS, TX, 78934-2318 , UNITED STATES
<ul style="list-style-type: none">▶ Entity Overview▶ Entity Registration<ul style="list-style-type: none">▶ Core Data▶ Assertions▶ Reps & Certs▶ POCs▶ Exclusions<ul style="list-style-type: none">▶ Active Exclusions▶ Inactive Exclusions	Entity Overview	
	Entity Registration Summary Name: COLUMBUS, CITY OF Business Type: US Local Government Last Updated By: Donald Warschak Registration Status: Active Activation Date: 01/02/2019 Expiration Date: 01/02/2020	



U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
National Institute of Justice

**AWARD CONTINUATION
SHEET
Grant**

PAGE 2 OF 14

PROJECT NUMBER 2018-CD-BX-0021

AWARD DATE 09/21/2018

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. Requirements of the award; remedies for non-compliance or for materially false statements

The conditions of this award are material requirements of the award. Compliance with any certifications or assurances submitted by or on behalf of the recipient that relate to conduct during the period of performance also is a material requirement of this award.

Failure to comply with any one or more of these award requirements -- whether a condition set out in full below, a condition incorporated by reference below, or a certification or assurance related to conduct during the award period -- may result in the Office of Justice Programs ("OJP") taking appropriate action with respect to the recipient and the award. Among other things, the OJP may withhold award funds, disallow costs, or suspend or terminate the award. The Department of Justice ("DOJ"), including OJP, also may take other legal action as appropriate.

Any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement to the federal government related to this award (or concealment or omission of a material fact) may be the subject of criminal prosecution (including under 18 U.S.C. 1001 and/or 1621, and/or 34 U.S.C. 10271-10273), and also may lead to imposition of civil penalties and administrative remedies for false claims or otherwise (including under 31 U.S.C. 3729-3730 and 3801-3812).

Should any provision of a requirement of this award be held to be invalid or unenforceable by its terms, that provision shall first be applied with a limited construction so as to give it the maximum effect permitted by law. Should it be held, instead, that the provision is utterly invalid or -unenforceable, such provision shall be deemed severable from this award.

2. Applicability of Part 200 Uniform Requirements

The Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements in 2 C.F.R. Part 200, as adopted and supplemented by DOJ in 2 C.F.R. Part 2800 (together, the "Part 200 Uniform Requirements") apply to this FY 2018 award from OJP.

The Part 200 Uniform Requirements were first adopted by DOJ on December 26, 2014. If this FY 2018 award supplements funds previously awarded by OJP under the same award number (e.g., funds awarded during or before December 2014), the Part 200 Uniform Requirements apply with respect to all funds under that award number (regardless of the award date, and regardless of whether derived from the initial award or a supplemental award) that are obligated on or after the acceptance date of this FY 2018 award.

For more information and resources on the Part 200 Uniform Requirements as they relate to OJP awards and subawards ("subgrants"), see the OJP website at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Part200UniformRequirements.htm>.

Record retention and access: Records pertinent to the award that the recipient (and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier) must retain -- typically for a period of 3 years from the date of submission of the final expenditure report (SF 425), unless a different retention period applies -- and to which the recipient (and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier) must provide access, include performance measurement information, in addition to the financial records, supporting documents, statistical records, and other pertinent records indicated at 2 C.F.R. 200.333.

In the event that an award-related question arises from documents or other materials prepared or distributed by OJP that may appear to conflict with, or differ in some way from, the provisions of the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, the recipient is to contact OJP promptly for clarification.



PROJECT NUMBER 2018-CD-BX-0021

AWARD DATE 09/21/2018

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

3. Compliance with DOJ Grants Financial Guide

References to the DOJ Grants Financial Guide are to the DOJ Grants Financial Guide as posted on the OJP website (currently, the "DOJ Grants Financial Guide" available at <https://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/index.htm>), including any updated version that may be posted during the period of performance. The recipient agrees to comply with the DOJ Grants Financial Guide.

4. Reclassification of various statutory provisions to a new Title 34 of the United States Code

On September 1, 2017, various statutory provisions previously codified elsewhere in the U.S. Code were editorially reclassified to a new Title 34, entitled "Crime Control and Law Enforcement." The reclassification encompassed a number of statutory provisions pertinent to OJP awards (that is, OJP grants and cooperative agreements), including many provisions previously codified in Title 42 of the U.S. Code.

Effective as of September 1, 2017, any reference in this award document to a statutory provision that has been reclassified to the new Title 34 of the U.S. Code is to be read as a reference to that statutory provision as reclassified to Title 34. This rule of construction specifically includes references set out in award conditions, references set out in material incorporated by reference through award conditions, and references set out in other award requirements.

5. Required training for Point of Contact and all Financial Points of Contact

Both the Point of Contact (POC) and all Financial Points of Contact (FPOCs) for this award must have successfully completed an "OJP financial management and grant administration training" by 120 days after the date of the recipient's acceptance of the award. Successful completion of such a training on or after January 1, 2016, will satisfy this condition.

In the event that either the POC or an FPOC for this award changes during the period of performance, the new POC or FPOC must have successfully completed an "OJP financial management and grant administration training" by 120 calendar days after-- (1) the date of OJP's approval of the "Change Grantee Contact" GAN (in the case of a new POC), or (2) the date the POC enters information on the new FPOC in GMS (in the case of a new FPOC). Successful completion of such a training on or after January 1, 2016, will satisfy this condition.

A list of OJP trainings that OJP will consider "OJP financial management and grant administration training" for purposes of this condition is available at <https://www.ojp.gov/training/fmts.htm>. All trainings that satisfy this condition include a session on grant fraud prevention and detection.

The recipient should anticipate that OJP will immediately withhold ("freeze") award funds if the recipient fails to comply with this condition. The recipient's failure to comply also may lead OJP to impose additional appropriate conditions on this award.

6. Requirements related to "de minimis" indirect cost rate

A recipient that is eligible under the Part 200 Uniform Requirements and other applicable law to use the "de minimis" indirect cost rate described in 2 C.F.R. 200.414(f), and that elects to use the "de minimis" indirect cost rate, must advise OJP in writing of both its eligibility and its election, and must comply with all associated requirements in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements. The "de minimis" rate may be applied only to modified total direct costs (MTDC) as defined by the Part 200 Uniform Requirements.



U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
National Institute of Justice

**AWARD CONTINUATION
SHEET
Grant**

PAGE 4 OF 14

PROJECT NUMBER 2018-CD-BX-0021

AWARD DATE 09/21/2018

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

7. Requirement to report potentially duplicative funding

If the recipient currently has other active awards of federal funds, or if the recipient receives any other award of federal funds during the period of performance for this award, the recipient promptly must determine whether funds from any of those other federal awards have been, are being, or are to be used (in whole or in part) for one or more of the identical cost items for which funds are provided under this award. If so, the recipient must promptly notify the DOJ awarding agency (OJP or OVW, as appropriate) in writing of the potential duplication, and, if so requested by the DOJ awarding agency, must seek a budget-modification or change-of-project-scope grant adjustment notice (GAN) to eliminate any inappropriate duplication of funding.

8. Requirements related to System for Award Management and Universal Identifier Requirements

The recipient must comply with applicable requirements regarding the System for Award Management (SAM), currently accessible at <https://www.sam.gov/>. This includes applicable requirements regarding registration with SAM, as well as maintaining the currency of information in SAM.

The recipient also must comply with applicable restrictions on subawards ("subgrants") to first-tier subrecipients (first-tier "subgrantees"), including restrictions on subawards to entities that do not acquire and provide (to the recipient) the unique entity identifier required for SAM registration.

The details of the recipient's obligations related to SAM and to unique entity identifiers are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/SAM.htm> (Award condition: System for Award Management (SAM) and Universal Identifier Requirements), and are incorporated by reference here.

This condition does not apply to an award to an individual who received the award as a natural person (i.e., unrelated to any business or non-profit organization that he or she may own or operate in his or her name).

9. Requirement to report actual or imminent breach of personally identifiable information (PII)

The recipient (and any "subrecipient" at any tier) must have written procedures in place to respond in the event of an actual or imminent "breach" (OMB M-17-12) if it (or a subrecipient)-- 1) creates, collects, uses, processes, stores, maintains, disseminates, discloses, or disposes of "personally identifiable information (PII)" (2 CFR 200.79) within the scope of an OJP grant-funded program or activity, or 2) uses or operates a "Federal information system" (OMB Circular A-130). The recipient's breach procedures must include a requirement to report actual or imminent breach of PII to an OJP Program Manager no later than 24 hours after an occurrence of an actual breach, or the detection of an imminent breach.

10. All subawards ("subgrants") must have specific federal authorization

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements for authorization of any subaward. This condition applies to agreements that -- for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements -- OJP considers a "subaward" (and therefore does not consider a procurement "contract").

The details of the requirement for authorization of any subaward are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/SubawardAuthorization.htm> (Award condition: All subawards ("subgrants") must have specific federal authorization), and are incorporated by reference here.



PROJECT NUMBER 2018-CD-BX-0021

AWARD DATE 09/21/2018

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

11. Specific post-award approval required to use a noncompetitive approach in any procurement contract that would exceed \$150,000

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements to obtain specific advance approval to use a noncompetitive approach in any procurement contract that would exceed the Simplified Acquisition Threshold (currently, \$150,000). This condition applies to agreements that -- for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements -- OJP considers a procurement "contract" (and therefore does not consider a subaward).

The details of the requirement for advance approval to use a noncompetitive approach in a procurement contract under an OJP award are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/NoncompetitiveProcurement.htm> (Award condition: Specific post-award approval required to use a noncompetitive approach in a procurement contract (if contract would exceed \$150,000)), and are incorporated by reference here.

12. Requirements pertaining to prohibited conduct related to trafficking in persons (including reporting requirements and OJP authority to terminate award)

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements (including requirements to report allegations) pertaining to prohibited conduct related to the trafficking of persons, whether on the part of recipients, subrecipients ("subgrantees"), or individuals defined (for purposes of this condition) as "employees" of the recipient or of any subrecipient.

The details of the recipient's obligations related to prohibited conduct related to trafficking in persons are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/ProhibitedConduct-Trafficking.htm> (Award condition: Prohibited conduct by recipients and subrecipients related to trafficking in persons (including reporting requirements and OJP authority to terminate award)), and are incorporated by reference here.

13. Compliance with applicable rules regarding approval, planning, and reporting of conferences, meetings, trainings, and other events

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable laws, regulations, policies, and official DOJ guidance (including specific cost limits, prior approval and reporting requirements, where applicable) governing the use of federal funds for expenses related to conferences (as that term is defined by DOJ), including the provision of food and/or beverages at such conferences, and costs of attendance at such conferences.

Information on the pertinent DOJ definition of conferences and the rules applicable to this award appears in the DOJ Grants Financial Guide (currently, as section 3.10 of "Postaward Requirements" in the "DOJ Grants Financial Guide"),

14. Requirement for data on performance and effectiveness under the award

The recipient must collect and maintain data that measure the performance and effectiveness of work under this award. The data must be provided to OJP in the manner (including within the timeframes) specified by OJP in the program solicitation or other applicable written guidance. Data collection supports compliance with the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010, and other applicable laws.

15. OJP Training Guiding Principles

Any training or training materials that the recipient -- or any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier -- develops or delivers with OJP award funds must adhere to the OJP Training Guiding Principles for Grantees and Subgrantees, available at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Implement/TrainingPrinciplesForGrantees-Subgrantees.htm>.



U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
National Institute of Justice

**AWARD CONTINUATION
SHEET
Grant**

PAGE 6 OF 14

PROJECT NUMBER 2018-CD-BX-0021

AWARD DATE 09/21/2018

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

16. Effect of failure to address audit issues

The recipient understands and agrees that the DOJ awarding agency (OJP or OVW, as appropriate) may withhold award funds, or may impose other related requirements, if (as determined by the DOJ awarding agency) the recipient does not satisfactorily and promptly address outstanding issues from audits required by the Part 200 Uniform Requirements (or by the terms of this award), or other outstanding issues that arise in connection with audits, investigations, or reviews of DOJ awards.

17. Potential imposition of additional requirements

The recipient agrees to comply with any additional requirements that may be imposed by the DOJ awarding agency (OJP or OVW, as appropriate) during the period of performance for this award, if the recipient is designated as "high-risk" for purposes of the DOJ high-risk grantee list.

18. Compliance with DOJ regulations pertaining to civil rights and nondiscrimination - 28 C.F.R. Part 42

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 42, specifically including any applicable requirements in Subpart E of 28 C.F.R. Part 42 that relate to an equal employment opportunity program.

19. Compliance with DOJ regulations pertaining to civil rights and nondiscrimination - 28 C.F.R. Part 54

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 54, which relates to nondiscrimination on the basis of sex in certain "education programs."

20. Compliance with DOJ regulations pertaining to civil rights and nondiscrimination - 28 C.F.R. Part 38

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 38, specifically including any applicable requirements regarding written notice to program beneficiaries and prospective program beneficiaries.

Among other things, 28 C.F.R. Part 38 includes rules that prohibit specific forms of discrimination on the basis of religion, a religious belief, a refusal to hold a religious belief, or refusal to attend or participate in a religious practice. Part 38 also sets out rules and requirements that pertain to recipient and subrecipient ("subgrantee") organizations that engage in or conduct explicitly religious activities, as well as rules and requirements that pertain to recipients and subrecipients that are faith-based or religious organizations.

The text of the regulation, now entitled "Partnerships with Faith-Based and Other Neighborhood Organizations," is available via the Electronic Code of Federal Regulations (currently accessible at <https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/ECFR?page=browse>), by browsing to Title 28-Judicial Administration, Chapter 1, Part 38, under e-CFR "current" data.



U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
National Institute of Justice

**AWARD CONTINUATION
SHEET
Grant**

PAGE 7 OF 14

PROJECT NUMBER 2018-CJ-BX-0021

AWARD DATE 09/21/2018

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

21. Restrictions on "lobbying"

In general, as a matter of federal law, federal funds awarded by OJP may not be used by the recipient, or any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, either directly or indirectly, to support or oppose the enactment, repeal, modification, or adoption of any law, regulation, or policy, at any level of government. See 18 U.S.C. 1913. (There may be exceptions if an applicable federal statute specifically authorizes certain activities that otherwise would be barred by law.)

Another federal law generally prohibits federal funds awarded by OJP from being used by the recipient, or any subrecipient at any tier, to pay any person to influence (or attempt to influence) a federal agency, a Member of Congress, or Congress (or an official or employee of any of them) with respect to the awarding of a federal grant or cooperative agreement, subgrant, contract, subcontract, or loan, or with respect to actions such as renewing, extending, or modifying any such award. See 31 U.S.C. 1352. Certain exceptions to this law apply, including an exception that applies to Indian tribes and tribal organizations.

Should any question arise as to whether a particular use of federal funds by a recipient (or subrecipient) would or might fall within the scope of these prohibitions, the recipient is to contact OJP for guidance, and may not proceed without the express prior written approval of OJP.

22. Compliance with general appropriations-law restrictions on the use of federal funds (FY 2018)

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable restrictions on the use of federal funds set out in federal appropriations statutes. Pertinent restrictions, including from various "general provisions" in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2018, are set out at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/FY18AppropriationsRestrictions.htm>, and are incorporated by reference here.

Should a question arise as to whether a particular use of federal funds by a recipient (or a subrecipient) would or might fall within the scope of an appropriations-law restriction, the recipient is to contact OJP for guidance, and may not proceed without the express prior written approval of OJP.

23. Reporting Potential Fraud, Waste, and Abuse, and Similar Misconduct

The recipient and any subrecipients ("subgrantees") must promptly refer to the DOJ Office of the Inspector General (OIG) any credible evidence that a principal, employee, agent, subrecipient, contractor, subcontractor, or other person has, in connection with funds under this award -- (1) submitted a claim that violates the False Claims Act; or (2) committed a criminal or civil violation of laws pertaining to fraud, conflict of interest, bribery, gratuity, or similar misconduct.

Potential fraud, waste, abuse, or misconduct involving or relating to funds under this award should be reported to the OIG by-- (1) mail directed to: Office of the Inspector General, U.S. Department of Justice, Investigations Division, 1425 New York Avenue, N.W. Suite 7100, Washington, DC 20530; and/or (2) the DOJ OIG hotline: (contact information in English and Spanish) at (800) 869-4499 (phone) or (202) 616-9881 (fax).

Additional information is available from the DOJ OIG website at <https://oig.justice.gov/hotline>.



U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
National Institute of Justice

**AWARD CONTINUATION
SHEET
Grant**

PAGE 8 OF 14

PROJECT NUMBER 2018-CD-BX-0021

AWARD DATE 09/21/2018

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

24. Restrictions and certifications regarding non-disclosure agreements and related matters

No recipient or subrecipient ("subgrantee") under this award, or entity that receives a procurement contract or subcontract with any funds under this award, may require any employee or contractor to sign an internal confidentiality agreement or statement that prohibits or otherwise restricts, or purports to prohibit or restrict, the reporting (in accordance with law) of waste, fraud, or abuse to an investigative or law enforcement representative of a federal department or agency authorized to receive such information.

The foregoing is not intended, and shall not be understood by the agency making this award, to contravene requirements applicable to Standard Form 312 (which relates to classified information), Form 4414 (which relates to sensitive compartmented information), or any other form issued by a federal department or agency governing the nondisclosure of classified information.

1. In accepting this award, the recipient--

a. represents that it neither requires nor has required internal confidentiality agreements or statements from employees or contractors that currently prohibit or otherwise currently restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict) employees or contractors from reporting waste, fraud, or abuse as described above; and

b. certifies that, if it learns or is notified that it is or has been requiring its employees or contractors to execute agreements or statements that prohibit or otherwise restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict), reporting of waste, fraud, or abuse as described above, it will immediately stop any further obligations of award funds, will provide prompt written notification to the federal agency making this award, and will resume (or permit resumption of) such obligations only if expressly authorized to do so by that agency.

2. If the recipient does or is authorized under this award to make subawards ("subgrants"), procurement contracts, or both--

a. it represents that--

(1) it has determined that no other entity that the recipient's application proposes may or will receive award funds (whether through a subaward ("subgrant"), procurement contract, or subcontract under a procurement contract) either requires or has required internal confidentiality agreements or statements from employees or contractors that currently prohibit or otherwise currently restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict) employees or contractors from reporting waste, fraud, or abuse as described above; and

(2) it has made appropriate inquiry, or otherwise has an adequate factual basis, to support this representation; and

b. it certifies that, if it learns or is notified that any subrecipient, contractor, or subcontractor entity that receives funds under this award is or has been requiring its employees or contractors to execute agreements or statements that prohibit or otherwise restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict), reporting of waste, fraud, or abuse as described above, it will immediately stop any further obligations of award funds to or by that entity, will provide prompt written notification to the federal agency making this award, and will resume (or permit resumption of) such obligations only if expressly authorized to do so by that agency.



PROJECT NUMBER 2018-CD-BX-0021

AWARD DATE 09/21/2018

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

25. Compliance with 41 U.S.C. 4712 (including prohibitions on reprisal; notice to employees)

The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must comply with, and is subject to, all applicable provisions of 41 U.S.C. 4712, including all applicable provisions that prohibit, under specified circumstances, discrimination against an employee as reprisal for the employee's disclosure of information related to gross mismanagement of a federal grant, a gross waste of federal funds, an abuse of authority relating to a federal grant, a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety, or a violation of law, rule, or regulation related to a federal grant.

The recipient also must inform its employees, in writing (and in the predominant native language of the workforce), of employee rights and remedies under 41 U.S.C. 4712.

Should a question arise as to the applicability of the provisions of 41 U.S.C. 4712 to this award, the recipient is to contact the DOJ awarding agency (OJP or OVW, as appropriate) for guidance.

26. Encouragement of policies to ban text messaging while driving

Pursuant to Executive Order 13513, "Federal Leadership on Reducing Text Messaging While Driving," 74 Fed. Reg. 51225 (October 1, 2009), DOJ encourages recipients and subrecipients ("subgrantees") to adopt and enforce policies banning employees from text messaging while driving any vehicle during the course of performing work funded by this award, and to establish workplace safety policies and conduct education, awareness, and other outreach to decrease crashes caused by distracted drivers.

27. Requirement to disclose whether recipient is designated "high risk" by a federal grant-making agency outside of DOJ

If the recipient is designated "high risk" by a federal grant-making agency outside of DOJ, currently or at any time during the course of the period of performance under this award, the recipient must disclose that fact and certain related information to OJP by email at OJP.ComplianceReporting@ojp.usdoj.gov. For purposes of this disclosure, high risk includes any status under which a federal awarding agency provides additional oversight due to the recipient's past performance, or other programmatic or financial concerns with the recipient. The recipient's disclosure must include the following: 1. The federal awarding agency that currently designates the recipient high risk, 2. The date the recipient was designated high risk, 3. The high-risk point of contact at that federal awarding agency (name, phone number, and email address), and 4. The reasons for the high-risk status, as set out by the federal awarding agency.

28. FFATA reporting: Subawards and executive compensation

The recipient must comply with applicable requirements to report first-tier subawards ("subgrants") of \$25,000 or more and, in certain circumstances, to report the names and total compensation of the five most highly compensated executives of the recipient and first-tier subrecipients (first-tier "subgrantees") of award funds. The details of recipient obligations, which derive from the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA), are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/FFATA.htm> (Award condition: Reporting Subawards and Executive Compensation), and are incorporated by reference here.

This condition, including its reporting requirement, does not apply to-- (1) an award of less than \$25,000, or (2) an award made to an individual who received the award as a natural person (i.e., unrelated to any business or non-profit organization that he or she may own or operate in his or her name).



U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
National Institute of Justice

**AWARD CONTINUATION
SHEET
Grant**

PAGE 10 OF 14

PROJECT NUMBER 2018-CD-BX-0021

AWARD DATE 09/21/2018

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

29. Generally Accepted Laboratory Practices

The recipient shall ensure that any forensic laboratory, forensic laboratory system, medical examiner's office, or coroner's office that will receive any portion of the award uses generally accepted laboratory practices and procedures as established by accrediting organizations or appropriate certifying bodies.

External Investigations

The recipient shall ensure that requirements associated with 34 U.S.C. section 10562(4) (which relate to processes in place to conduct independent external investigations into allegations of serious negligence or misconduct by employees or contractors) are satisfied with respect to any forensic laboratory system, medical examiner's office, coroner's office, law enforcement storage facility, or medical facility in the State that will receive a portion of the grant amount.

Accreditation

The recipient shall ensure that any forensic laboratory or forensic laboratory system (not including any medical examiner's office or coroner's office) that will receive any portion of the award either is accredited, or will use a portion of this award to prepare and apply for accreditation by not more than two years from the award date of this award.

Additionally, with respect to accreditation, the recipient shall ensure that for any subaward it makes under this award, it will require in a legally-binding and enforceable writing, such as the subaward documentation (for example, subaward terms and conditions), that its subrecipient: 1) if accredited, must continue to demonstrate such accreditation as a condition of receiving or using the subaward funds; or, 2) if not accredited, must use the subaward funds to prepare and apply for accreditation.

The Coverdell statute (see 34 U.S.C. section 10562(2)) and the Paul Coverdell Forensic Science Improvement Grants Program solicitation state certain requirements and guidance associated with proper accreditation and regarding what NIJ will consider to be acceptable documentation of accreditation. The recipient is to contact the NIJ grant manager for clarification or guidance if it should have any question as to what constitutes proper accreditation for the purposes of the Coverdell program. Award funds may not be used under this award by a forensic laboratory or forensic laboratory system with accreditation (or by such laboratory to obtain accreditation) that NIJ determines not to be consistent with the Coverdell law and the solicitation or to be otherwise deficient.

The recipient agrees to notify NIJ promptly upon any change in the accreditation status of any forensic science laboratory or forensic laboratory system that receives funding under this award.



U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
National Institute of Justice

**AWARD CONTINUATION
SHEET
Grant**

PAGE 11 OF 14

PROJECT NUMBER 2018-CJ-BX-0021

AWARD DATE 09/21/2018

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

30. Use of Funds; No Research

Funds provided under this award shall be used only for the purposes and types of expenses set forth in the solicitation. Funds shall not be used for general law enforcement functions or non-forensic investigatory functions, and shall not be used for research or statistical projects or activities. Use of award funds for construction of new facilities is restricted by statute. Any questions concerning this provision should be directed to the NIJ grant manager prior to incurring the expense or commencing the activity in question.

Performance Measures

To ensure compliance with the Government Performance and Results Act (Pub. L. No. 103-62) and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010 (Pub. L. No. 111-352), program performance under this award is measured by the following: (1) percent reduction in the average number of days from the submission of a sample to a forensic science laboratory to the delivery of test results to a requesting office or agency (calculated by reporting the average number of days to process a sample at the beginning of the grant period versus the average number of days to process a sample at the end of the grant period); (2) percent reduction in the number of backlogged forensic cases (calculated by reporting the number of backlogged forensic cases at the beginning of the grant period versus the number of backlogged forensic cases at the end of grant period), if applicable to the award; and (3) the number of forensic science or medical examiner/coroner's office personnel who completed appropriate training or educational opportunities with these Coverdell funds, if applicable to the award. Recipients are required to collect and report data relevant to these measures.

31. The recipient understands and agrees that gross income (revenues) from fees charged for forensic science or medical examiner services constitutes program income (in whole or in part), and that program income must be determined, used, and documented in accordance with the provisions of 2 C.F.R. 200.307, including as applied in the Department of Justice (DOJ) Grants Financial Guide, as it may be revised from time to time. The recipient further understands and agrees that both program income earned during the award period and expenditures of such program income must be reported on the quarterly and final Federal Financial Reports (SF 425) and are subject to audit.

The recipient understands and agrees that program income earned during the award period may be expended only for permissible uses of funds specifically identified in the solicitation for the Paul Coverdell Forensic Science Improvement Grants Program. The recipient further understands and agrees that program income earned during the award period may not be used to supplant State or local government funds, but instead may be used only to increase the amount of funds that would, in the absence of Federal funds or program income, be available from State or local government sources for the permissible uses of funds listed in the solicitation.

The recipient understands and agrees that program income that is earned during the final ninety (90) days of the award period may, if appropriate, be obligated (as well as expended) for permissible uses during the ninety-day (90-day) period following the end of the award period. The recipient further understands and agrees that any program income earned during the award period that is not obligated and expended within ninety (90) days of the end of the award period must be returned to OJP.

32. The recipient understands and agrees that, throughout the award period, it must promptly notify NIJ if it either starts or stops charging fees for forensic science or medical examiner services, or if it revises its method of allocating fees received for such services to program income. Notice must be provided in writing to the NIJ grant manager for the award within ten (10) business days of implementation of the change.
33. The recipient agrees that it will submit quarterly financial status reports to OJP on-line (at <https://grants.ojp.usdoj.gov>) using the SF 425 Federal Financial Report form (available for viewing at <https://www.gsa.gov/forms-library/federal-financial-report>), not later than 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter. The final report shall be submitted not later than 90 days following the end of the award period.



U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
National Institute of Justice

**AWARD CONTINUATION
SHEET
Grant**

PAGE 12 OF 14

PROJECT NUMBER 2018-CD-BX-0021

AWARD DATE 09/21/2018

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

34. The recipient shall submit semiannual progress reports. Progress reports shall be submitted within 30 days after the end of the reporting periods, which are June 30 and December 31, for the life of the award. These reports will be submitted to the Office of Justice Programs, on-line through the Internet at <https://grants.ojp.usdoj.gov/>.
35. The recipient agrees to submit a final report, at the end of this award, documenting all relevant project activities during the entire period of support under this award. This report will include the following: (1) a summary and assessment of the program carried out with this grant, which shall include a comparison of pre-grant and post-grant forensic science capabilities (and shall cite the specific improvements in quality and/or timeliness of forensic science or medical examiner/coroner's office services); (2) the average number of days between submission of a sample to a forensic science laboratory or forensic science laboratory system in that State operated by the State or by a unit of local government and the delivery of test results to the requesting office or agency; (3) an identification of the number and type of cases currently accepted by the forensic science laboratory or forensic science laboratory system; and (4) with respect to any unaccredited forensic science service provider receiving funds from this award for accreditation, full details on the progress of any such provider toward obtaining accreditation. The recipient is required to collect data necessary for this report. This report is due no later than 90 days following the close of the award period or the expiration of any extension periods. The report can be filed online through the Internet at: <https://grants.ojp.usdoj.gov/>.
36. The recipient acknowledges that, as stated in the solicitation for the Paul Coverdell Forensic Science Improvement Grants Program, NIJ assumes that recipients (and subrecipients) of Coverdell funds will make use of the process referenced in their certification as to external investigations and will refer allegations of serious negligence or misconduct substantially affecting the integrity of forensic results to government entities with an appropriate process in place to conduct independent external investigations, such as the government entity (or entities) identified in the grant application. The recipient shall submit the following information as part of its final report: (1) the number and nature of any allegations of serious negligence or misconduct substantially affecting the integrity of forensic results received during the 12-month period of the award; (2) information on the referrals of such allegations (e.g., the government entity or entities to which referred, the date of referral); (3) the outcome of such referrals (if known as of the date of the report); and (4) if any such allegations were not referred, the reason(s) for the non-referral. Should the project period for this award be extended, the recipient shall submit the above information as to the first twelve months of the award as part of the first semi-annual progress report that comes due after the conclusion of the first twelve months of the project period, and shall submit the required information as to subsequent twelve-month periods every twelve months thereafter (as part of a semi-annual progress report) until the close of the award period, at which point the recipient shall submit the required information as to any period not covered by prior reports as part of its final report. The recipient understands and agrees that funds may be withheld (including funds under future awards), or other related requirements may be imposed, if the required information is not submitted on a timely basis.
37. Recipient integrity and performance matters: Requirement to report information on certain civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings to SAM and FAPIIS

The recipient must comply with any and all applicable requirements regarding reporting of information on civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings connected with (or connected to the performance of) either this OJP award or any other grant, cooperative agreement, or procurement contract from the federal government. Under certain circumstances, recipients of OJP awards are required to report information about such proceedings, through the federal system for Award Management (known as "SAM"), to the designated federal integrity and performance system (currently, "FAPIIS").

The details of recipient obligations regarding the required reporting (and updating) of information on certain civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings to the federal designated integrity and performance system (currently, "FAPIIS") within SAM are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/FAPIIS.htm> (Award condition: Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters, including Recipient Reporting to FAPIIS), and are incorporated by reference here.



U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
National Institute of Justice

**AWARD CONTINUATION
SHEET
Grant**

PAGE 13 OF 14

PROJECT NUMBER 2018-CD-BX-0021

AWARD DATE 09/21/2018

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

38. The recipient agrees to cooperate with any assessments, national evaluation efforts, or information or data collection requests, including, but not limited to, the provision of any information required for the assessment or evaluation of any activities within this project.
39. To assist in information sharing, the award recipient shall provide the NIJ grant manager with a copy of publications (including those prepared for conferences and other presentations) resulting from this award, prior to or simultaneous with their public release. NIJ defines publications as any written, visual or sound material substantively based on the project, formally prepared by the award recipient for dissemination to the public. Submission of publications prior to or simultaneous with their public release aids NIJ in responding to any inquiries that may arise. Any publications - excluding press releases and newsletters - whether published at the recipient's or government's expense, shall contain the following statement: "This project was supported by Award No. _____, awarded by the National Institute of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication/program/exhibition are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect those of the Department of Justice." This statement shall appear on the first page of written publications. For audio and video publications, it shall be included immediately after the title of the publication in the audio or video file.
40. The recipient shall transmit to the NIJ grant manager copies of all official award-related press releases at least ten (10) working days prior to public release. Advance notice permits time for coordination of release of information by NIJ where appropriate and to respond to press or public inquiries.
41. Copyright; Data rights
- The recipient acknowledges that OJP reserves a royalty-free, non-exclusive, and irrevocable license to reproduce, publish, or otherwise use, and authorize others to use (in whole or in part, including in connection with derivative works), for Federal purposes: (1) any work subject to copyright developed under an award or subaward; and (2) any rights of copyright to which a recipient or subrecipient purchases ownership with Federal support.
- The recipient acknowledges that OJP has the right to (1) obtain, reproduce, publish, or otherwise use the data first produced under an award or subaward; and (2) authorize others to receive, reproduce, publish, or otherwise use such data for Federal purposes. "Data" includes data as defined in Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) provision 52.227-14 (Rights in Data - General).
- It is the responsibility of the recipient (and of each subrecipient, if applicable) to ensure that this condition is included in any subaward under this award.
- The recipient has the responsibility to obtain from subrecipients, contractors, and subcontractors (if any) all rights and data necessary to fulfill the recipient's obligations to the Government under this award. If a proposed subrecipient, contractor, or subcontractor refuses to accept terms affording the Government such rights, the recipient shall promptly bring such refusal to the attention of the OJP program manager for the award and not proceed with the agreement in question without further authorization from the OJP program office.
42. Justification of consultant rate
- Approval of this award does not indicate approval of any consultant rate in excess of \$650 per day. A detailed justification must be submitted to and approved by the OJP program office prior to obligation or expenditure of such funds.



U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
National Institute of Justice

**AWARD CONTINUATION
SHEET
Grant**

PAGE 14 OF 14

PROJECT NUMBER 2018-CD-BX-0021

AWARD DATE 09/21/2018

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

43. The recipient agrees to comply with all Federal, State, and local environmental laws and regulations applicable to the development and implementation of the activities to be funded under this award.

Environmental Assessment (EA): The recipient agrees and understands that funded activities (whether conducted by the recipient or subrecipients or contractors) may require the preparation of an EA as defined by the Council on Environmental Quality's Regulations for implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), found at 40 CFR Part 1500. An EA is a concise public document that briefly provides sufficient analysis for determining whether to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) or a finding of no significant impact for the proposed activity. If in completing an EA for a proposed activity, potential adverse environmental impacts are identified, the EA will serve as a vehicle for developing either alternative approaches or mitigation measures for avoiding or reducing the identified adverse environmental impacts.

Modifications: Throughout the term of this award, the recipient agrees that for any activity that is the subject of a completed EA, it will inform NIJ of-- (1) any change(s) that it is considering making to the previously assessed activity that may be relevant to environmental impact; or (2) any proposed new activities or changed circumstances that may require assessment as to environmental impact, such as new activities that involve the use of chemicals or involve construction or major renovation. The recipient will not implement a proposed change or new activity until NIJ, with the assistance of the recipient, has determined whether the proposed change or activity (or changed circumstances) will require additional review under NEPA. Approval for implementation will not be unreasonably withheld as long as any requested modification(s) is consistent with eligible program purposes and found acceptable under an NIJ-conducted environmental impact review process.

44. The recipient may not obligate, expend, or draw down any funds until the program office has verified that the recipient has submitted all necessary documentation required to comply with the Department of Justice Procedures for Implementing the National Environmental Policy Act found at 28 CFR Part 61 (including Appendix D), and a Grant Adjustment Notice (GAN) has been issued removing this condition.
45. The recipient may not obligate, expend, or draw down any funds under this award until: (1) the recipient has submitted documentation sufficient to demonstrate that any forensic laboratory or forensic laboratory system that will receive any portion of the grant amount either is accredited or will (or will be required to) prepare and apply for accreditation consistent with the Coverdell law and (2) a Grant Adjustment Notice has been issued removing this special condition.
46. The recipient may not obligate, expend, or draw down any funds under this award until: (1) the recipient has submitted a list of all forensic science laboratories in the State that specifies each forensic science laboratory's accreditation status (and the accrediting body) and the scope of each accreditation and (2) a Grant Adjustment Notice has been issued removing this special condition.
47. The recipient may not obligate, expend, or draw down any funds under this award until a revised budget reflecting the final award amount has been received and approved by the National Institute of Justice, and a Grant Adjustment Notice (GAN) has been issued removing this condition.
48. The recipient may not obligate, expend, or draw down any funds under this award until-- (1) it has submitted a revised budget specifying "opioid-related" versus "non-opioid related" line items and a statement (as described in the solicitation) regarding proposed use of funds to address challenges posed by the opioid abuse crisis (if the recipient plans to allocate less than fifty-seven (57) per cent of the final award amount to fund opioid-related projects); (2) the National Institute of Justice has received and approved such submission; and (3) a Grant Adjustment Notice (GAN) has been issued removing this condition.