

ORD 1151-2005

Information to be included in all Legislation authorizing Entering into Contracts:

The names of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ

The location by City and State of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ

The status, Majority, MBE or FBE, of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ

A full description of all work to be performed including a full description of work to be performed during any known phasing of the contract.

A narrative timeline for the contract including a beginning date, beginning and ending dates for known phases of the contract and a projected ending date.

An estimate of the full estimated cost of the Contract including a separate estimate of any and all phases or proposed future contract modifications.

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying Contracts:

The names of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.
EMH&T, Inc.

C.F. Bird & R.J. Bull, Inc.

Civil Engineering Associates

The location by City and State of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

EMH&T, Inc. Gahanna, Ohio

C.F. Bird & R.J. Bull, Inc. Worthington, Ohio

Civil Engineering Associates Columbus, Ohio

The status, Majority, MBE, FBE, of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

EMH&T, Inc. Majority

C.F. Bird & R.J. Bull, Inc. Majority

Civil Engineering Associates Majority

The name and location of the firm awarded the original contract and the Contract Number.

EMH&T, Inc. Gahanna, Ohio

CT 18073

A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.

EMH&T, was contracted to design a 24" water line along S.R. 317 from Parsons Avenue to Alum Creek Drive. Modification #1 was needed to split the jobs into two parts when a problem to acquire a rail road easement developed. Modification #2 was needed to change the alignment to the opposite side of the roadway due to right of way and easement problems. EMH&T finished designing part one and it was constructed. During the final design of part 2,

Modification #3 was written to have EMH&T design an additional 14,000 feet of 24" water line to serve the Intermodal Site at Rickenbacker International Airport. Midway through this design the alignment of the new road that will service the proposed development was changed. This modification is needed to complete the design of the water line that will provide water to this area.

An updated contract timeline to contract completion.

The design of the water line will be completed by August 2005. The engineering contract with EMH&T will not be completed until after the construction of the water line.

A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately)

Modification #1 \$8,000.00 CT 18073

Modification #2 \$20,305.00 CT 18073

Modification #3 \$117,000.00 CT 18073

This Modification #1 \$27,000.00 CT 18073

A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation)

The original alignment of the water line followed the proposed roadway through the airport development. This modification provides for additional engineering costs associated with subsequent roadway alignment changes. The Airport Authority has agreed to reimburse the City of Columbus for these addition design costs.

If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

See above

An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation)

1) Time is of the essence.

2) Only part of the alignment was changed, it would be inefficient to have two design engineers working on the same water line.

A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (List each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

Original Contract \$122,385.00

Modification #1 \$8,000.00

Modification #2 \$20,305.00

Modification #3 \$117,000.00

This Modification #1 \$27,000.00

Total \$294,690.00

Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline.

This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.

4/28/05