

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying Contracts:

The names of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

No formal bidding took place; the original contract was awarded to SPL WorldGroup Inc., under sole source provisions, as they are the proprietor of the Computerized Maintenance Management System software.

The location by City and State of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

SPL WorldGroup, Inc. – San Francisco, CA

The status, Majority, MBE, FBE, of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

Majority

The name and location of the firm awarded the original contract and the Contract Number.

SPL WorldGroup, Inc. – San Francisco, CA

A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.

Work performed to date: Implementation of the Computerized Maintenance Management System (CMMS) for the Power section of the Division of Power and Water. The original Purchase Order stated that this project will be performed in 2 phases during 2006, because Power did not have funds available in 2005.

An updated contract timeline to contract completion.

Expected completion date is at the end of December 2006.

A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately)

This is the first modification to this contract.
\$300,000 is needed.

A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation)

Implementation of the Computerized Maintenance Management System (CMMS). The exact same services in phase 1 will be conducted in phase 2, just for the Power section of the Division.

If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

This modification was expected. The original contract stated that this project will be performed in 2 phases in 2006. We are just encumbering \$300,000 for the second phase of this project.

An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation)

Original contract was set up as a sole source contract. The software used is proprietary to SPL WorldGroup Inc.

A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (List each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

Original contract =\$1,600,000 (EL005533, September 19, 2005)

Modification #1 = \$ 300,000

\$1,900,000