

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying* a Contract:**

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.**

| <u>Name</u> | <u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u> | <u>City/State</u> | <u>Status</u> |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Complete General Construction George J. Igel & Co., Inc. Darby Creek Excavating Shelly & Sands (original contract) | 31-4366382 | Columbus, OH | MAJ |

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).**

Competitive Bid

3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**

1. Complete General Construction = \$2,828,996
2. George J. Igel & Co., Inc. = \$2,973,831
3. Darby Creek Excavating = \$3,439,230
4. Shelly & Sands = \$4,169,186
(original contract bid amounts)

4. **Complete address, contact name, phone number, and e-mail address for the successful bidder only.**

Complete General Construction
1221 East Fifth Avenue
Columbus, OH 43219
Matt Guzzo
614-258-9515
mguzzo@completegeneral.com

5. **A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.**

Work consists of raising manhole frames, reconstructing manholes to grade, sewer point repairs and other such work as may be necessary to complete the contract, in accordance with the technical specifications, and City of Columbus Construction and Material Specifications. The project is City wide.

6. **An updated contract timeline to contract completion.**

Work is to be completed within 365 calendar days after the effective date of the Notice to Proceed. Due to the nature of the contract, the completion date may be extended as funds remain.

7. A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.

This project was initiated to respond to emergency needs and miscellaneous sanitary and storm sewer structural repairs and installations as directed by the Division of Sewerage and Drainage.

8. A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)

No previous modifications

9. A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modifications. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

The proposed modification will allow the Division of Sewerage and Drainage to respond to additional emergency sewer needs and structural repairs.

10. If the contract modifications was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modifications of the contract scope and amount.)

Additional sewer work has been identified which was not known at the time of bidding but is critical to the sewer system infrastructure.

11. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modifications cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

Additional sewer work has been identified which cannot be performed with internal forces or wait until subsequent contracts are bid.

12. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modifications to date (list each modifications separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

| | <u>610500-100001</u> | <u>650745-100009</u> | <u>690236-100116</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Original Contract | \$921,500.00 | \$1,907,496.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$2,828,996.00 |
| Proposed Contract Mod. | <u>\$2,504,934.58</u> | <u>\$ 0.00</u> | <u>\$300,000.00</u> | <u>\$2,804,934.58</u> |
| CONTRACT TOTAL | \$3,421,500.00 | \$1,907,496.00 | \$300,000.00 | \$5,633,930.58 |

13. An explanation of how the cost of the modifications was determined.

An engineering cost estimate was developed based on bid prices from this and other contracts.

14. Subconsultant information

N/A

*****Effective 2/1/2018, Section 329 of the Municipal Code differentiates between contract modifications and renewals. Modifications are unforeseen circumstances that require additional funding and time with the same vendor for the same project as the original contract. Renewals are planned contract modifications that are expected and detailed in the ordinance for the original contract.**