

From: [Kelsey, Mark](#)
To: [Bauman, Max A](#)
Cc: [Austin, Patti A.](#); [Bell, Timothy A.](#); [Bowman, Randall](#); [Cordetti, Steven R.](#); [Crabill, Melanie J.](#); [Figley, Russ U.](#); [Gallagher, Jennifer L.](#); [Giffin, Benjamin M.](#); [Johnson, Daniel L.](#); [Lewis, William A.](#); [Lundine, Mark A.](#); [Miller Jr, Richard A.](#); [O'Callaghan, Timothy L.](#); [Parks, Duane M.](#); [Roberts, Doug](#); [Robinson, Valuise E.](#); [Steege, Jerry K.](#); [Stephens, Thomas H.](#); [Tilton, Rick C.](#); [Wentzel, Steve J.](#); [Zahran, Hassan Y.](#); [Cofield, Alex A.](#)
Subject: RE: Recommendation: Bridge Rehabilitation - General Engineering (2102)
Date: Tuesday, December 11, 2012 10:29:26 AM

I approve of the recommended consultant for selection

From: Bauman, Max A
Sent: Tuesday, December 11, 2012 8:51 AM
To: Kelsey, Mark
Cc: Austin, Patti A.; Bauman, Max A; Bell, Timothy A.; Bowman, Randall; Cordetti, Steven R.; Crabill, Melanie J.; Figley, Russ U.; Gallagher, Jennifer L.; Giffin, Benjamin M.; Johnson, Daniel L.; Lewis, William A.; Lundine, Mark A.; Miller Jr, Richard A.; O'Callaghan, Timothy L.; Parks, Duane M.; Roberts, Doug; Robinson, Valuise E.; Steege, Jerry K.; Stephens, Thomas H.; Tilton, Rick C.; Wentzel, Steve J.; Zahran, Hassan Y.; Cofield, Alex A.
Subject: Recommendation: Bridge Rehabilitation - General Engineering (2102)

To: Mark Kelsey, Director

Department of Public Service

From: Max Bauman, Management Analyst I

Date: December 11, 2012

Subject: Bridge Rehabilitation – General Engineering (2012)

The Department of Public Service solicited Requests for Proposals for the Bridge Rehabilitation – General Engineering (2012) Contract. The budget is \$300,000; amount of the contract shall be \$300,000 funded by 2012 bond funds.

The intent of this project is to provide the City of Columbus, Department of Public Service, additional resources to perform various engineering and surveying tasks for all divisions in the department. The projects developed under this program are typically small to moderate size improvements, and would include a significant emphasis on work associated with bridges. Non-standard plan formats may be used for the simplest projects where there is no apparent benefit from developing standard construction drawings.

The project was formally advertised on the Vendor Services web site from November 15, 2012, to December 6, 2012. The city received seven (7) responses. All proposals were deemed responsive and were fully evaluated when the Evaluation Committee met on December 10, 2012. The responding firms were:

CONSULTANT

Sub-consultant	Business Enterprise Status	Contract Compliance Number	Active	% OF WORK	% MAJ.	% OTH.
E. L. Robinson	MAJ 311725058	Y	65.00%	75.00%	MAJ 25.00%	OTH
Prime Engineering, Inc.	ASN 260546656	Y	20.00%			
W. E. Stilson Consulting Group	MAJ 311702689	Y	10.00%			
DHDC, Inc.	ASN 320376762	Y	5.00%			
Jones-Stuckey, Ltd.	MAJ 310723296	Y	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
W. E. Stilson Consulting Group	MAJ 311702689	Y	TBD			
CTL Engineering, Inc.	ASN 310680767	Y	TBD			
Advanced Engineering Consultants, Ltd.	ASN 311612308	Y	TBD			
Columbus Engineering Consultants	ASN 310716498	Y	80.00%	10.00%	MAJ 90.00%	OTH
W. E. Stilson Consulting Group	MAJ 311702689	Y	10.00%			
CTL Engineering, Inc.	ASN 310680767	Y	10.00%			
DLZ, Ohio	ASN 311268980	Y	80.00%	0.00%	MAJ 100.00%	OTH
Resource International, Inc.	FBE 310669793	Y	15.00%			
Dynotec, Inc.	MBE 311319961	Y	5.00%			
URS Corporation	MAJ 340939859	Y	75.00%	80.00%	MAJ 20.00%	OTH
Advanced Engineering Consultants, Ltd.	FBE 311612308	Y	10.00%			
Dynotec, Inc.	MBE 311319961	Y	10.00%			
S&ME, Inc.	MAJ 560791580	Y	5.00%			
American Structurepoint	MAJ 351127317	Y	80.00%	80.00%	MAJ 20.00%	OTH
Dynotec, Inc.	MBE 311319961	Y	15.00%			
CTL Engineering, Inc.	ASN 310680767	Y	5.00%			
Mannik & Smith Group	MAJ 341206380	Y	85.00%	85.00%	MAJ 15.00%	OTH
Blue-J Surveying, LLC	FBE 264803478	Y	15.00%			

This RFP Evaluation Committee included three (3) voting members from the Department of Public Service – Hassan Zahran, Patti Austin, and Jennifer Gallagher. Non-voting members, but attendees, included Duane Parks (a representative from EBOCO) and Max Bauman.

E.L. Robinson received 87.00 points from the Evaluation Committee (out of a possible 100). The next closest firm was URS Corporation with 84 points.

The following is a list of the results of the Evaluation Committee’s assessment of the seven evaluated proposals for your final selection.

E. L. Robinson	87.00
----------------	-------

URS Corporation	84.00
American Structurepoint	81.67
Columbus Engineering Consultants	79.00
Jones-Stuckey, Ltd.	77.67
DLZ, Ohio	77.33
Mannik & Smith Group	76.00

The Committee would like to submit E. L. Robinson for the Director's review and recommendation. The Committee felt that E. L. Robinson submitted the strongest overall proposal for the following reasons:

1. E. L. Robinson has a very strong project team in regards to their qualifications to successfully generate the required deliverables for this contract.
2. E. L. Robinson and their team members have qualifications that complement each other and enhance the overall ability of the team to successfully reach the objectives required by the City.
3. The Project Manager for E. L. Robinson is very good in regards to keeping control of the objectives of the project in order to meet established milestones.
4. The team has done similar work for ODOT and are good in terms of innovation, communication with City, and public involvement

Per City Code 329.14(h), please provide direction for proceeding on this project by replying to this e-mail. Four options include:

1. Approve of the committee's recommendation, E. L. Robinson
2. Reject the committee's recommendations and designate a firm
3. Call for an oral presentation of the three highest scoring firms
4. Other

Max Bauman

Management Analyst I

Department of Public Service

Office of Support Services

109 North Front Street, Ground Floor

Columbus, Ohio 43215

mabauman@columbus.gov

614.645.5470