

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.**

Name	C.C. No.	/ Exp. Date	City/State	Status
AECOM	952661922	9/23/16	Chicago, IL	MAJ
Brown and Caldwell	941446346	8/26/17	Columbus, OH	MAJ
Burgess & Niple, Inc.	310885550	9/12/16	Columbus, OH	MAJ
CH2M Hill	320100027	12/2/16	Dallas, TX	MAJ
EMH&T	310685594	9/23/17	New Albany, OH	MAJ
Gresham Smith	621736493	1/10/16	Dublin, OH	MAJ
HDR	470680568	8/13/16	Columbus, OH	MAJ
ME/IBI Group	311442777	9/25/16	Westerville, OH	MAJ
ms consultants	346546916	3/7/16	Youngstown, OH	MAJ
Pomeroy & Associates	311568332	2/11/16	Worthington, OH	MAJ
Stantec	112167170	9/10/17	Chicago, IL	MAJ
Strand	391020418	9/25/17	Madison, WI	MAJ
URS	340939859	7/1/17	Atlanta, GA	MAJ

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).**
RFP

3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**

Strand

URS

EMH&T

Brown and Caldwell

Stantec

Burgess & Niple, Inc.

Gresham Smith

HDR

AECOM

ms consultants

ME/IBI Group

CH2M Hill

Pomeroy & Associates

4. **The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm awarded the original contract.**

Strand Associates, Inc.

Kris Ruggles, P.E.

4433 Professional Parkway

Columbus, OH 43215

(614) 835-0460

5. **A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.**

It is the objective of the City to mitigate overflows of DSR 335 to the 10-year level of service. The City has determined the LOS is best achieved with strictly I&I elimination with GI.

To accomplish this objective, the original scope of work and modification #1 for this project included all the requisite investigation, evaluation, formulation, and design to produce fully independent construction documents for the remediation of inflow and infiltration (I/I), green infrastructure (GI) technologies and other related activities and/or documents necessary for project completion.

The scope of work for this modification is to: complete additional GI layout analysis, all necessary plan changes required due to the new analysis, preparation of bid documents, conduct engineering services during construction as well as the two year warranty period and prepare the final record plans. All of this work is to complete the preliminary design, to prepare construction documents for the remediation of inflow and infiltration (I/I), and the improvement of water quantity and water quality within the project area(s) using Integrated Solutions.

6. An updated contract timeline to contract completion.

It is anticipated that the construction plans will be completed in the 4th quarter of 2016 which will allow for the project to be advertised for construction in late 2016 or early 2017.

7. A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.

The City had embarked upon its previously approved Wet-Weather Management Plan (WWMP). The former plan was almost entirely comprised of a series of treatment plant capacity upgrades, storage tanks, tunnels, and other piping system augmentations; collectively known as “gray” infrastructure. After approval of the original WWMP, the use of more renewable, sustainable, and environmentally friendly systems, commonly referred to as “green” infrastructure, has been recognized and implemented in many communities as a potential solution to many of the elements associated with consent order requirements.

Recently, the City received approval from Ohio EPA to implement Blueprint Columbus which will allow the City to meet the WWMP goals and future stormwater regulations with green infrastructure and I&I control on public and private property.

It is anticipated there will be a greater impact to both economic impact and advantages by implementing a group of smaller projects which would be conducive for competition with local suppliers and vendors as opposed to the large scale, previously devised, WWMP projects.

Community and Outreach for the project has been conducted by the City and its sub-consultants.

8. A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)

Modification #1:	\$ 249,859.40
Modification #2:	\$ 836,391.10

9. A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

The scope of work for this modification is to: complete additional GI layout analysis, all necessary plan changes required due to the new analysis, preparation of bid documents, conduct engineering services during construction as well as the two year warranty period and prepare the final record plans.

10. If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

This was a planned contract modification but the cost has increased above the previous estimate due to the additional GI analysis and plan changes.

11. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

Re-bid of the project under the new requirements will likely result in a higher project costs as much of the project history would be lost and required to be rediscovered by another consultant unless won by the same consultant. In such a case, we would have wasted significant time in acquiring and evaluating the new proposals without significant benefit.

12. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

Initial Contract:	\$1,344,735.12
Modification #1:	\$ 249,859.40
Modification #2:	\$ 836,391.10
Total	\$2,430,985.62

13. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.

The costs of Mod #2 were determined by negotiations between Strand and DOSD.

14. Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No.</u>	<u>/</u>	<u>Exp. Date</u>	<u>Status</u>
Resource International	310669793		6/30/17	FBE
Dreier & Maller	341681027		5/21/16	MAJ

15. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

Resource International: \$40,640.12
Services shall include site survey, geotechnical investigations, and SUE locates. These services were covered by the original contract.

Dreier & Maller: \$0.00
Services shall include CCTV and cleaning of the storm sewer system and the CCTV of the sanitary service laterals. These services were covered by the original contract.

Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline.

This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.

Revised Date: 05-23-11