

**TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness**

version: 2/22/2017

<b>PROJECT:</b>	<b>Old Beechwood Area SSI's, Water Line &amp; Roadway Imp's</b>	<b>Bid Date:</b>	<b>May 12, 2021</b>
<b>Department/Division:</b>	<b>Public Utilities/DOSD/DOW/DPS</b>	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bidder:</b>	<b>Elite Excavating</b>
<b>Section:</b>	<b>Stormwater/Water/DPS</b>	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bid :</b>	<b>\$ 6,948,336.60</b>

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
<b>CIP No.</b>	<b>610804-1, 690236-140, 530282-1</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>
<b>Project Manager:</b>	<b>Mike Griffith, P.E.</b>	<b>Elite Excavating</b>	<b>Underground Util.</b>	<b>Complete Gen'l</b>			
<b>Engineer's Estimate:</b>	<b>\$ 12,665,502.00</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>
		<b>\$ 6,948,336.60</b>	<b>\$ 8,054,276.88</b>	<b>\$ 8,213,478.70</b>			
		<b>15.92%</b>	<b>1.98%</b>	<b>-100.00%</b>			

PART IA: Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid)					
<b>a</b>	The bidder is <b>pre-qualified</b> responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>b</b>	The bid <b>contains</b> the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) <b>FORM B1</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>c</b>	The bidder <b>submitted</b> , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) <b>FORM B9</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>d</b>	If applicable, the bidder listed a (sub)contractor(s) with an <b>active water or sewer contractor tappers license (CMS 107.02)</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>e</b>	Digital ID on bid is from a person authorized to enter into contract on behalf of the company ("name of person signing contract" on Signature Affidavit must match the name on the Digital ID). <b>Proposal Signature Affidavit</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>f</b>	There is <b>no evidence</b> of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
	<b>BID IS:</b>	<b>RESPONSIVE</b>	<b>RESPONSIVE</b>	<b>RESPONSIVE</b>			

**TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness**

version: 2/22/2017

<b>PROJECT:</b>	<b>Old Beechwood Area SSI's, Water Line &amp; Roadway Imp's</b>	<b>Bid Date:</b>	<b>May 12, 2021</b>
<b>Department/Division:</b>	<b>Public Utilities/DOSD/DOW/DPS</b>	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bidder:</b>	<b>Elite Excavating</b>
<b>Section:</b>	<b>Stormwater/Water/DPS</b>	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bid :</b>	<b>\$ 6,948,336.60</b>

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
<b>CIP No.</b>	<b>610804-1, 690236-140, 530282-1</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>
<b>Project Manager:</b>	<b>Mike Griffith, P.E.</b>	<b>Elite Excavating</b>	<b>Underground Util.</b>	<b>Complete Gen'l</b>			
<b>Engineer's Estimate:</b>	<b>\$ 12,665,502.00</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>
		<b>\$ 6,948,336.60</b>	<b>\$ 8,054,276.88</b>	<b>\$ 8,213,478.70</b>			

PART IB: Responsiveness Criteria, Subcontractors (329.20)		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid)					
<b>a</b>	The bidder <b>provided a list of subcontractors</b> with bid or indicated there were not any subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1) <b>FORM B5</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>b</b>	If subcontractors are listed, Bidder <b>indicated</b> which subcontractors are licensed construction trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) <b>FORM B5 (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>			
<b>c</b>	If licensed trade subcontractors are listed, the bidder <b>proposed</b> pre-qualified responsible or provisionally responsible licensed trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h) <b>(If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>			
<b>d</b>	If subcontractors are listed, the bidder listed the division/section of work under which the sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) <b>(If no subcontractors are listed, enter "NA") FORM B5</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>			
<b>e</b>	If subcontractors are listed and the bidder listed more than one subcontractor per division/section of work, did the bidder explain why more than one sub is listed for the division/section of work? (329.20)(h)(5) <b>(If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA") FORM B5</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>			
<b>f</b>	Bidder <b>stated, via affidavit</b> , that its proposed licensed construction trade subcontractors are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(h)(3) <b>Form B9 (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>			
<b>g</b>	Bidder <b>did not bid</b> subcontractors who were currently suspended or debarred by the city. (329.20)(h)(4) <b>(see links on Tab 5)</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>BID IS:</b>		<b>RESPONSIVE</b>	<b>RESPONSIVE</b>	<b>RESPONSIVE</b>			

**TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness**

version: 2/22/2017

<b>PROJECT:</b>	<b>Old Beechwood Area SSI's, Water Line &amp; Roadway Imp's</b>	<b>Bid Date:</b>	<b>May 12, 2021</b>
<b>Department/Division:</b>	<b>Public Utilities/DOSD/DOW/DPS</b>	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bidder:</b>	<b>Elite Excavating</b>
<b>Section:</b>	<b>Stormwater/Water/DPS</b>	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bid :</b>	<b>\$ 6,948,336.60</b>

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
<b>CIP No.:</b>	<b>610804-1, 690236-140, 530282-1</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>
<b>Project Manager:</b>	<b>Mike Griffith, P.E.</b>	<b>Elite Excavating</b>	<b>Underground Util.</b>	<b>Complete Gen'l</b>			
<b>Engineer's Estimate:</b>	<b>\$ 12,665,502.00</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>
		<b>\$ 6,948,336.60</b>	<b>\$ 8,054,276.88</b>	<b>\$ 8,213,478.70</b>			

PART IC: Responsiveness Criteria, Bid Specific (329.23)		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid)					
<b>a</b>	Bidder <b>did not</b> submit more than one bid for same work. (See referenced code section for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	<b>NA</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>b</b>	Bidder <b>acknowledged</b> addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>c</b>	Bidder <b>complied</b> with technical pre-qualification requirements/specification requirements where applicable. (329.23)(f)(1)(c)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>d</b>	The bid <b>contains</b> no conditions or qualifications not provided in the invitation for bid. (329.23)(f)(1)(d)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>e</b>	Bidder added <b>no</b> provisions reserving right to accept or reject award. (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>f</b>	Bidder <b>submitted</b> a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) <b>FORM B2</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>g</b>	Bidder <b>did not submit</b> an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) <b>FORM B2</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>h</b>	Bid prices <b>are</b> materially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) <b>FORM B3</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>i</b>	Bid contains <b>no</b> irregularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid amount. (329.23)(f)(1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>j</b>	Bidder <b>submitted</b> a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(g) <b>FORM B3 (If a unit price is not an option, enter "NA")</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>k</b>	Bidder <b>submitted</b> a lump sum price if <b>required</b> . (329.23)(f)(1)(h) <b>FORM B3 (If lump sum is not an option, enter "NA")</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>l</b>	Bidder <b>has complied</b> with section 329.20(h) regarding subcontractors. (329.23)(f)(1)(k) <b>FORM B5</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>m</b>	Bid <b>does not</b> contain alterations, omissions, errors, such that it <b>does</b> respond to the bid documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>n</b>	Bid <b>responds</b> to bid documents in all material respects. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			

**TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness**

version: 2/22/2017

<b>PROJECT:</b>	Old Beechwold Area SSI's, Water Line & Roadway Imp's	<b>Bid Date:</b>	May 12, 2021
<b>Department/Division:</b>	Public Utilities/DOSD/DOW/DPS	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bidder:</b>	Elite Excavating
<b>Section:</b>	Stormwater/Water/DPS	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bid :</b>	\$ 6,948,336.60

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
<b>CIP No.</b>	610804-1, 690236-140, 530282-1	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>
<b>Project Manager:</b>	Mike Griffith, P.E.	Elite Excavating	Underground Util.	Complete Gen'l			
<b>Engineer's Estimate:</b>	\$ 12,665,502.00	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>
		\$ 6,948,336.60	\$ 8,054,276.88	\$ 8,213,478.70			
<b>o</b>	Bid is complete. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES			
	<b>BID IS:</b>	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE			

**TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness**

version: 2/22/2017

<b>PROJECT:</b>	Old Beechwood Area SSI's, Water Line & Roadway Imp's	<b>Bid Date:</b>	May 12, 2021
<b>Department/Division:</b>	Public Utilities/DOSD/DOW/DPS	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bidder:</b>	Elite Excavating
<b>Section:</b>	Stormwater/Water/DPS	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bid :</b>	\$ 6,948,336.60

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
<b>CIP No.</b>	610804-1, 690236-140, 530282-1	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>
<b>Project Manager:</b>	Mike Griffith, P.E.	Elite Excavating	Underground Util.	Complete Gen'l			
<b>Engineer's Estimate:</b>	\$ 12,665,502.00	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>
		\$ 6,948,336.60	\$ 8,054,276.88	\$ 8,213,478.70			

<b>PART ID: Responsiveness Criteria, Contract Compliance</b>	A "no" answer in Part IE will not necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have a valid contract compliance no. prior to contract execution.						
a	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has an active contract compliance number at the time of bid.	YES	YES	YES			

<b>Bid Responsiveness, Waiver Considerations:</b>	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office
<b>Proceed to Part II?</b>	YES	YES	YES			

<b>LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I</b>	\$ 6,948,336.60	← Manually Enter Amount
<b>LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I</b>	Elite Excavating	← Manually Enter Information

**TAB II: Assessing Responsibility**

<b>PROJECT:</b>	<b>Old Beechwold Area SSI's, Water Line &amp; Roadway Imp's</b>	<b>Bid Date:</b>	<b>May 12, 2021</b>
-----------------	---	------------------	---------------------

<b>Department/Division:</b>	<b>Public Utilities/DOSD/DOW/DPS</b>	<b>Responsive Low Bidder (from Tab I):</b>	<b>Elite Excavating</b>
<b>Section:</b>	<b>Stormwater/Water/DPS</b>	<b>Responsive Low Bid (from Tab I):</b>	<b>\$ 6,948,336.60</b>

<b>CIP No.</b>	<b>610804-1, 690236-140, 530282-1</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>
<b>Project Manager:</b>	<b>Mike Griffith, P.E.</b>	Elite Excavating	Underground Util.	Complete Gen'l	NA	NA	NA
<b>Engineer's Estimate:</b>	<b>\$ 12,665,502.00</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>
		\$ 6,948,336.60	\$ 8,054,276.88	\$ 8,213,478.70	0	0	0

<b>PART IIA: 329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria</b>		<b>INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (1 point for each "yes", 0 for "no")</b>					
<b>a</b>	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). <b>FORM B3, SPECIFICATIONS</b>	YES	NA	NA			
<b>b</b>	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate <b>SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT</b> for the project. <b>FORM B6, SPECIFICATIONS</b>	YES	YES	YES			
<b>c</b>	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate <b>HUMAN RESOURCES</b> for the project. <b>FORM B6, SPECIFICATIONS</b>	YES	YES	YES			
<b>d</b>	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. <b>FORM B6</b>	YES	YES	YES			
<b>e</b>	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. <b>FORM B6</b>	YES	YES	YES			
<b>f</b>	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. <b>FORM B7</b>	YES	NA	NA			
<b>g</b>	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. <b>FORM B7</b>	YES	YES	NA			
<b>h</b>	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. <b>FORM B8</b>	YES	NA	YES			
<b>j</b>	The bidder has submitted <b>FORM B10- Green Infrastructure Experience</b> that meets the Department's Criteria	YES	NA	NA			
<b>Responsibility Score:</b>		<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Continue to TAB 3?</b>		<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			

↑  
Manually Enter Information

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

<b>PROJECT:</b>	<b>Old Beechwold Area SSI's, Water Line &amp; Roadway Imp's</b>	<b>Bid Date:</b>	<b>May 12, 2021</b>
-----------------	---	------------------	---------------------

<b>Department/Division:</b>	<b>Public Utilities/DOSD/DOW/DP:</b>	<b>Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I and II):</b>	<b>Elite Excavating</b>
<b>Section:</b>	<b>Stormwater/Water/DPS</b>	<b>Evaluated Low Bid (from Tabs I and II) :</b>	<b>\$ 6,948,336.60</b>

<b>CIP No.</b>	<b>610804-1, 690236-140, 530282-</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>
<b>Project Manager:</b>	<b>Mike Griffith, P.E.</b>	Elite Excavating	Underground Util.	Complete Gen'l	NA	NA	NA
<b>Engineer's Estimate:</b>	<b>\$ 12,665,502.00</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>
		\$ 6,948,336.60	\$ 8,054,276.88	\$ 8,213,478.70	NA	NA	NA
<b>PART III: 329.(01)(u) and 329.212 Local Bidder</b>							

<b>IS BID LOCAL?</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>			
----------------------	-----------	-----------	------------	--	--	--

To look up if a bidder is local, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's list located at [X:\Construction Prequalification](#)

<b>PART IIIA:</b>	
<b>step 1</b>	<p>IF <b>APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL</b>, STOP. Skip steps 2-5 and enter data in Steps 6 &amp; 7 and continue to TAB IV.</p> <p>IF <b>APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL</b>, CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information)</p>

<b>Part IIIB:</b>							
<b>step 2</b>	Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	<b>0.000%</b>	<b>15.917%</b>	<b>18.208%</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>
<b>step 3</b>	Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW BID?	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>
<b>step 4</b>	If step 3 is "yes", SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. DID AGENCY FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO CITY CODE SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?						
<b>step 5</b>	INPUT NEW BID AMOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO (\$0)						

<b>Part IIIC:</b>	
<b>step 6</b>	<p><b>REVISED LOW BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB:</b></p> <p>\$ 6,948,336.60</p>
<b>step 7</b>	<p><b>REVISED LOW BIDDER, TAB IIIB:</b></p> <p>Elite Excavating</p>

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

<b>PROJECT:</b>	<b>Old Beechwood Area SSI's, Water Line &amp; Roadway Imp's</b>	<b>Bid Date:</b>	<b>May 12, 2021</b>
<b>Department/Division:</b>	<b>Public Utilities/DOSD/DOW/DPS</b>	<b>Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I, II and III):</b>	<b>Elite Excavating</b>
<b>Section:</b>	<b>Stormwater/Water/DPS</b>	<b>Evaluated Low Bid (from Tabs I, II and III) :</b>	<b>\$ 6,948,337</b>

<b>CIP No.</b>	<b>610804-1, 690236-140, 530282-17</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>
<b>Project Manager:</b>	<b>Mike Griffith, P.E.</b>	Elite Excavating	Underground Util.	Complete Gen'l	NA	NA	NA
<b>Engineer's Estimate:</b>	<b>\$ 12,665,502.00</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>
		\$ 6,948,336.60	\$ 8,054,276.88	\$ 8,213,478.70	NA	NA	NA
<b>PART IV: 329.(17) Environmental Preference</b>							
<b>Apparent Low Bid:</b>	<b>\$ 6,948,336.60</b>	<b>Apparent Low Bidder:</b>	<b>Elite Excavating</b>				

<b>PART IV: 329.(17) Environmental Preference</b>							
<b>step 1</b>	Did apparent/evaluated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer "YES" or "NO" for apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA"	YES	NA	NA			
<b>step 2</b>	Excluding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid?	NA	NO	YES			
<b>step 3</b>	<b>STOP.</b> If apparent/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bid, then the apparent/evaluated low bidder is lead bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data in Steps 4-6, complete Step 7, and proceed to Tab 5.  If the apparent/evaluated low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bid, proceed to Steps 6 and 7.						
<b>step 4</b>	Calculate environmental preference for each bid. It is up to five percent (5%) of the non-environmental low bid, for a maximum of \$20,000 per bid.	0.000%	15.917%	18.208%	NA	NA	NA
<b>step 5</b>	Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied).	\$ -	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	NA	NA	NA
<b>step 6</b>	Bid amount less environmental preference, except if both claim environmental preference; in that case, both claiming EP cancels it for both	\$ 6,948,336.60	\$ 8,034,276.88	\$ 8,193,478.70	NA	NA	NA
<b>step 7</b>	<b>Low Bid Amount:</b> \$ 6,948,336.60	<b>Low Bidder:</b> Elite Excavating					

↑  
Manually Enter Amount

↑  
Manually Enter Information



# ORD 1628-2021

## TAB 6: U.S. and Ohio EPA Requirements

version: April 28, 2015

<b>PROJECT:</b>	<b>Old Beechwood Area SSI's, Water Line &amp; Roadway Imp's</b>	<b>Bid Date:</b>	<b>May 12, 2021</b>
-----------------	---	------------------	---------------------

<b>Department/Division:</b>	<b>Public Utilities/DOSD/DOW/DPS</b>	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bidder:</b>	<b>Elite Excavating</b>
<b>Section:</b>	<b>Stormwater/Water/DPS</b>	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bid :</b>	<b>\$ 6,948,336.60</b>

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
<b>CIP No.</b>	<b>610804-1, 690236-140, 530282-</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>
<b>Project Manager:</b>	<b>Mike Griffith, P.E.</b>	<b>Elite Excavating</b>	<b>Underground Util.</b>	<b>Complete Gen'l</b>			
<b>Engineer's Estimate:</b>	<b>\$ 12,665,502.00</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>
		<b>\$ 6,948,336.60</b>	<b>\$ 8,054,276.88</b>	<b>\$ 8,213,478.70</b>			
		15.92%	1.98%	-100.00%			
<b>Responsiveness Criteria, WPCLF/WSRLA Compliance</b>		A "NO" answer will not necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have all forms completed and required information submitted prior to contract execution. Delay in providing this information may result in delay of loan award and affect project schedule.					
<b>FORM B11</b>	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the Contractor Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Certification Form	Yes	Yes	Yes			
<b>FORM B12</b>	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the US EPA Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters Form	Yes	Yes	Yes			
<b>FORM B13.2</b>	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has provided a completed and signed US EPA Form 6100-3 from each proposed subcontractor	Yes	Yes	Yes			
<b>FORM B13.3</b>	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned US EPA Form 6100-4	Yes	Yes	Yes			
<b>GOOD FAITH EFFORTS</b>	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has NOT met the EPA Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Utilization Goals of 1.2% MBE and 1.8% WBE, so documentation of Good Faith Efforts to solicit DBE participation has been provided	Yes	Yes	NA			
<b>FORM B14</b>	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the American Iron and Steel (AIS) Acknowledgement Form	Yes	Yes	Yes			

<b>LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB 1</b>	<b>\$ 6,948,336.60</b>	Manually Enter Amount
<b>LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB 6</b>	<b>Elite Excavating</b>	Manually Enter Information

TAB V. Miscellaneous

<b>Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:</b>	<b>Elite Excavating</b>	<b>\$ 6,948,336.60</b>
<b>Project:</b>	<b>Old Beechwold Area SSI's, Water Line &amp; Roadway Imp's</b>	

Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

Bidders	Elite Excavating	Underground Util.	Complete Gen'l	0	0	0
Bid	\$ 6,948,336.60	\$ 8,054,276.88	\$ 8,213,478.70	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Percent Difference btwn Bid and Next Highest Bid	15.92%	1.98%	-100.00%			

Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder?

YES
YES

If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h?

If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.

**Bid Guarantee Requirements**

Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.

Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?

YES
YES

Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarantee submitted?

Bid Bond

Bond on City's bond form

Surety power of attorney

Most recent surety financial statement

Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance

**Contract Compliance**

Are all subcontractors contract compliant? Required before the contract can be executed.

YES
-----

If there are not any subcontractors, choose N/A.

**Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp**

Is the corporation foreign or the individual or partnership a non-resident of the State of Ohio?

NO
N/A

If yes, business entity shall register with the Ohio Secretary of State's Office for the purpose of accepting service of summons, in any action in law or equity, or both, brought in the State of Ohio.

This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid

<http://www.sos.state.oh.us/>

Award recommendation: After evaluation of the bids, I recommend the following contractor be awarded the contract for:

Project Name:	<b>Old Beechwold Area SSI's, Water Line &amp; Roadway Imp's</b>
Recommended Bidder:	<b>Elite Excavating Co. of Ohio, Inc.</b>
Contract Amount:	<b>\$ 6,948,336.60</b>

Evaluator's Name:	M. Smith
Evaluation Completion Date:	5/13/2021

# ORD 1628-2021

## TAB VII: Notes

### Overall bid questions:

(IC-c): 1. Are there any technical requirements / specifications required? If so, does the lowest bidder meet them?

### PM's responses:

Yes

### Elite Excavating Co. of Ohio

(IIA-a): Statistically comparable:  
Elite is 45% lower than E.E. and 16% lower than 2nd lowest bidder.  
PM please evaluate & respond.

NA, Neither the project designer nor the City PM find issue with the low bid.

(IIA-j): Green Infrastructure  
  
I have no experience evaluating G.I.; PM please evaluate & respond.

NA, Low bidder has required GI experience.

(Tab 6): Loan  
Rath Builders (EDGE & WBE).  
Submitted GFE

### Underground Utilities:

(IIA-a): Statistically comparable:  
Underground is 36% lower than E.E. and 16% higher than lowest bidder. Marked "NA" to continue evaluation.

(IIA-f): Meet deadlines?  
Did not submit dates so cannot evaluate. Marked "NA".

(IIA-h): Availability.  
PM would have to evaluate this. Marked "NA".

(IIA-j): Green Infrastructure  
I have no experience evaluating G.I.; PM would have to evaluate.  
Marked "NA" to continue evaluation.

### Complete General Construction:

(IIA-a): Statistically comparable:  
Complete General is 35% lower than E.E. and 18% higher than lowest bidder. Marked "NA" to continue evaluation.

(IIA-f & g): Meet deadlines/costs?  
Neither project met but CO's listed so marked "NA" to continue evaluation.

(IIA-j): Green Infrastructure  
I have no experience evaluating G.I.; PM would have to evaluate.  
Marked "NA" to continue evaluation.

(Tab 6): Loan  
Has met goals. GFE not necessary.