

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES
Scoring Summary
For Requests for Proposals

Committee Members

		<u>Name</u>	<u>Function</u>
Division:	Operational Support	Rex Wilburn	Evaluation Committee Member
Project:	Telephony System	Keith Hunsberger	Evaluation Committee Member
Est. Cost:	\$250,000.00	Ken Rau	Evaluation Committee Member
Due Date of RFP:	7-Jun-06	Pam Davis	Evaluation Committee Member
Project End Date:	8-Jun-07	Stephanie Smith	Evaluation Committee Member

Criteria	<u>How Criteria will be Scored and Associated Point Breakdown</u>	<u>Points</u>
Competence	These are accumulative:	
Total Points: <input style="width: 50px;" type="text" value="25"/>	If they are I3 CIC 2.3 or higher Certified	15
	Plus they have MCSE certification	5
	Plus they have Cisco CCNA or higher	5

Criteria	<u>How Criteria will be Scored and Associated Point Breakdown</u>	<u>Points</u>
Ability	What is the on-site minimum response time:	
Total Points: <input style="width: 50px;" type="text" value="20"/>	Two (2) hours or less	10
	Two (2) hours or more	5
	Ability to provide 24 by 7 immediate phone support	10
	Provide call-back service	5

Criteria	<u>How Criteria will be Scored and Associated Point Breakdown</u>	<u>Points</u>
Project Approach	How effectively does the consultants approach address the project conditions.	10
Total Points: <input style="width: 50px;" type="text" value="20"/>	Are there any special features	10

Criteria	<u>How Criteria will be Scored and Associated Point Breakdown</u>	<u>Points</u>
Past Performance	They have performed multiple installs of multi sites with fail-over in the last three (3) years	25
Total Points: <input style="width: 50px;" type="text" value="25"/>	They have performed multi sites installs with fail-over in the last three (3) years	20
	They have performed multiple multi sites installs without fail-over in the last three (3) years	15
	They have performed multiple installs in the last three (3) years	10
	They have performed installs with fail-over	5

Criteria	<u>How Criteria will be Scored and Associated Point Breakdown</u>	<u>Points</u>
Local Work force	At least 90% of Teams Project labor cost are assignable to employees paying CoC Income tax	10
Total Points: <input style="width: 50px;" type="text" value="10"/>	At least 75% of Teams Project labor cost are assignable to employees paying CoC Income tax	7
	At least 50% of Teams Project labor cost are assignable to employees paying CoC Income tax	5
	At least 25% of Teams Project labor cost are assignable to employees paying CoC Income tax	2
	Not even 25% of Teams Project labor cost are assignable to employees paying CoC Income tax	0

**Department of Public Utilities
Scoring Summary
for Requests for Statements of Qualifications**

Committee Members

Division: Sewers and Drains _____
Project: Technology Master Plan _____
Est. Cost: _____
Date: 5-Mar-04 _____

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>How Criteria will be Scored and Associated Point Breakdown</u>	<u>Points</u>
Competence Total Points: <input style="width: 40px; text-align: center;" type="text" value="20"/>	Does the project manager have the appropriate education and training?	5
	Does the offeror have utility and government experience?	5
	Does the personnel proposed by the offeror have experience with projects of similar size, complexity, etc.	5
	Will they be dedicated to this project only?	5

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>How Criteria will be Scored and Associated Point Breakdown</u>	<u>Points</u>
Ability Total Points: <input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>		

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>How Criteria will be Scored and Associated Point Breakdown</u>	<u>Points</u>
Past Performance Total Points: <input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>		

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>How Criteria will be Scored and Associated Point Breakdown</u>	<u>Points</u>
Total Points: <input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>		

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>How Criteria will be Scored and Associated Point Breakdown</u>	<u>Points</u>
Total Points: <input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>		