Ordinance Number 1989-2006

MDL Holding Company LLC, formerly The Erora Group LLC, contract modification for Power Supply Planning

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying Contracts:

The names of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

Six proposals received (SA001210) on August 4, 2004

GDS Associates, Inc.
The Erora Group (Name has changed to MDL Holding Company, LLC)
Sawvel and Associates, Inc.
Benatec Associates
Navigant Consulting, Inc.
DaCott Energy Services Ltd.

The location by City and State of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

GDS Associates, Inc., Marietta, GA
The Erora Group, Louisville, KY
Sawvel and Associates, Inc., Findlay, OH
Benatec Associates, New Cumberland, PA
Navigant Consulting, Inc., Gahanna, OH 43230
DaCott Energy Services Ltd., Bellaire, TX

The status, Majority, MBE, FBE, of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

All proposals received were from majority-owned businesses.

The name and location of the firm awarded the original contract and the Contract Number.

Erora Group LLC, Louisville, KY, EL004767

A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.

Part A-Assistance with current power supply contract(s) analyses and negotiations including investigation and resolution of billing related issues that involve PJM transmission and ancillary services.

Part B – Periodic technical support, analysis and recommendations regarding Transmission and Standard Market Design (SMD) regulatory changes related to planning, power supply and transmission issues including transmission transition under PJM regional transmission organization.

Part C - Preparation of short-term and long-term power supply master plan including evaluation of power supply alternatives. A final report with recommendations shall be prepared and submitted.

 $Part\ D-Power\ supply\ RFP\ preparation\ and\ analyses\ as\ required.\ Evaluation,\ analysis\ and\ final\ recommendations\ and\ contract\ negotiations\ shall\ be\ performed\ and\ provided.$

Part E – Provide an electric rate study based upon cost of service and meeting revenue requirements. Included but not exclusive in the rate study would be economic development rates, street lighting rates, load management and interruptive rates with other rate incentive features. To provide recommendations to City officials as needed. A final report and an excel spreadsheet of the rate model would be submitted.

Part F – An overhead rate study analysis with report of final recommendation for overhead charges for miscellaneous billing.

An updated contract timeline to contract completion.

Original contract completion date was December 31, 2006. This modification would extend the contract through December 31, 2007.

A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately)

The Original Contract Number is EL004767, in the amount of \$112,500.00. There have been no modifications to date.

A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation)

Under this modification, MDL Holding Company, LLC, formerly the Erora Group, would continue to provide professional services relating to purchase power as outlined above.

If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

Due to unforeseen conditions set forth in the negotiated purchase power contract with American Electric Power it will be necessary to go back out for bid for 25% of our load requirements in 2007 and 50% in 2008.

An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation)

It would not be feasible or cost effective to start over with a new consultant.

A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (List each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

Original Contract EL004767 Amount: \$112,500

This modification Amount: \$90,000

Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline. This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.

8/29/05