#### <u>Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:</u>

## 1. The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.

Name	C.C. No./Exp. Date	City/State	Status
Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.	13-2653703 - 3/9/12	Columbus, OH	MAJ
URS Corporation - Ohio	34-0939859 - 8/28/11	Columbus, OH	MAJ
ms consultants, inc.	34-6546916 - 5/27/12	Columbus, OH	MAJ

#### 2. What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).

Requests for Proposals (RFP's) were received on October 26, 2007.

### 3. List the ranking and order of all bidders.

- 1. Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.
- 2. ms consultants, inc.
- 3. URS Corporation Ohio

### 4. The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm awarded the original contract.

Malcolm Pirnie, Inc. 1900 Polaris Parkway, Suite 200 Columbus, OH 43240-2020 James E. Hays, P.E., (614) 430-2627 EL008380

### 5. A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.

Provide full-service assistance to the City by developing a Comprehensive Water Master Plan and a Water Distribution System Master Plan. For the Comprehensive Water Master Plan, the selected professional service firm is conducting a broad assessment of Division of Power & Water's (Water) entire system and developing coordinated planning recommendations related to supply, treatment, water quality, and operations. For the Water Distribution System Master Plan, the selected professional service firm is assessing the adequacy of the current distribution system to meet present and projected hydraulic and water quality needs relative to the distribution system, and recommend future modifications and operational changes.

#### 6. An updated contract timeline to contract completion.

Contract expected to be completed in the next six to eight months

### 7. A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)

None

### 8. A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

This modification is needed for further evaluation, development and coordination of additional planning documents, including business case evaluations on near term recommendations made by the consultant relating to water supply, treatment and distribution projects. Included in this work is an analysis and recommendation of a compliance strategy to meet the Ohio EPA Approved Capacity Criteria for the water supply and treatment plants, and development of an Emergency Response Plan needed to accommodate the schedule for the various upgrades and expansion of the existing source, treatment and distribution facilities. Additional project management budget is also required due to the extension of the project to complete the tasks that needed further evaluation.

# 9. If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

The original legislation stated that a modification was anticipated and explained in the original legislation that a modification would be necessary to address topics not originally addressed in the contract. These topics were identified during the course of the project, and were determined to be important issues that needed further evaluation by the consultant performing the master plans.

## 10. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

The consultant and the Department have invested a significant amount of time and effort in preparing these master plans. During the course of the project the consultant has gained a unique understanding of our operations. This unique understanding allows the consultant to perform the requested work that is directly related and an integral part of this contract in the most efficient and cost effective manner for the Department.

# 11. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

Original Contract Amount \$3,321,747.00

Modification Requested \$1,142,225.00

Total \$4,463,972.00

#### 12. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.

Costs were submitted by the consultant and reviewed and deemed acceptable by the Division of Power & Water.