# CITY OF COLUMBUS **BID EVALUATION FORM** (FOR CONTRACTS OVER \$20,000)

Department: Public Utilities
Division: Water

Lowest, Best, Most Responsive, Responsible Rid Tab Amount: \$1 434 945 97

| Lowest, Best, Most Responsive, Responsible Bid Tab Amount: |  | \$1,434,945.97      |                |                |
|--|--|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
|  | Vendor Name:   | Shelly Co.          | Decker         | Strawser       |
|  | Bid Tab Amount:  | \$1,434,945.97      | \$1,506,101.62 | \$1,613,184.31 |
|  |  | 7-4, 10-1,2-10-12-1 |                |                |
|  | <u>FACTORS</u>   |                     |                |                |
| Pag ) &  |  |                     |                |                |
| Ogs. ) & orm 1 (Pg.  |  |                     |                |                |
|  | Bidder (& subs) have active Contract Compliance No., or          |                     |                |                |
|  | submitted on-line (Y/N)  | yes                 | yes            | y              |
| orm 2 -  | submitted on the (1/1)   | jes                 | jes            |                |
| aims &   |  |                     |                |                |
|  | Bidder has a record of claims against performance bonds or       |                     |                |                |
| <u>images</u>  | has paid liquidated damages, in the past 3 years (Y/N)           | no                  | no             |                |
|  | Negative Factors:  | 0                   | 0              |                |
| 2 6  |  |                     |                |                |
|  | pliance with Various Local, State, and Federal Laws &            |                     |                |                |
| egulations:  | Bidder has a record, within the past 3 years, of violations with |                     |                |                |
|  | the following (Y/N):   |                     |                |                |
|  | the following (Y/N):  1. Fair Labor Standards Act                |                     |                |                |
|  |  | no                  | no             |                |
|  | 2. Prevailing Wage Laws  | no                  | no             |                |
|  | 3. Unemployment Compensation Laws 4. Workers' Compensation Laws  | no                  | no             |                |
|  | •  | no                  | no             |                |
|  | 5. Bidder has a record of non-compliance with any                |                     |                |                |
|  | governmental agency regarding affirmative action programs        |                     |                |                |
|  | (Y/N)  | no                  | no             |                |
|  | 6. Bidder qualifies for an Bureau of Workers Compensation        |                     |                |                |
|  | EMR (Y/N)  | yes                 | yes            |                |
|  | 7. Bidder will make financial statements available upon          |                     |                |                |
|  | request (Y/N)  | yes                 | yes            | <u> </u>       |
|  | Negative Factors:  | 0                   | 0              |                |
| 4 0  |  |                     |                |                |
|  | and Federal Health and Safety Laws:                              |                     |                |                |
|  | Bidder has a record of "Willful or "Serious" OSHA                |                     |                |                |
|  | violations within the past 3 years (verify on OSHA.gov)          | yes                 | no             |                |
|  | 2. Bidder has a health and safety plan compliant w/current       |                     |                |                |
|  | OSHA standards (Y/N)   | yes                 | yes            | y              |
|  | 3. Would bidder provide copy of their on-site safety plan        | yes                 | yes            | y              |
|  | 4. Would bidder provide OSHA 200-300 log (up to 3 yrs.)          |                     |                |                |
|  | (Y/N)  | yes                 | yes            | <u> </u>       |
|  | 5. Bidder has a record of EPA violations within the last 3 years |                     |                |                |
|  | (Y/N)  | no                  | no             |                |
|  | Negative Factors:  | -1                  | 0              |                |
|  |  |                     |                |                |
|  | 1. Has bidder been debarred/suspended from doing business        |                     |                |                |
| orm <u>5</u> -   | with any govt. contracting agency within the last 3 years        |                     |                |                |
| <u>barment</u>   | (Y/N)  | no                  | no             |                |
|  | Negative Factors:  | 0                   | 0              |                |
| (  |  |                     |                |                |
| <u>rm 6 -</u>  | What percentage of bidder's emloyees is drawn from               |                     |                |                |
|  | Columbus, Franklin Co., or contiguous counties                   | 28.57%              | 60.0%          | 80.0           |
| INJUICE  |  | 28.37%              | 0U.U%          | 80.0           |
|  | Negative Factors:  |                     |                |                |
| rm 7 - Heal  | th Care and Pension/Retirement Programs                          |                     |                |                |
|  | 1. Bidder has health insurance? (Y/N)                            | yes                 | yes            | y              |
|  | 2. If yes, percentage paid into plan:                            | ER: 70% - EE: 30%   | ER: 100%       | ER: 100        |

|  | -2014<br><u>Vendor Name:</u>   | Shelly Co.                     | <u>Decker</u>         | <u>Strawser</u>        |
|--|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
|  | Bid Tab Amount:  | \$1,434,945.97                 | \$1,506,101.62        | \$1,613,184.31         |
|  | 3. Bidder has retirement or pension plan? (Y/N)  | yes                            | yes                   | yes                    |
|  | 4. If yes, percentage paid into plan:  | ER: 75% - EE: 25%              | ER: 100%              | ER: 100%               |
|  | Negative Factors:  | 0                              | 0                     | 224 100/0              |
|  |  |                                |                       |                        |
| <u>Form 8 - </u>   |  |                                |                       |                        |
| Apprenticeship<br>Programs   | 1. Bidder has bona-fide apprenticeship program? (Y/N)  | yes                            | yes                   | no                     |
|  | Negative Factors:  | 0                              | 0                     | -1                     |
| Form 9 - Exn   | herience, Competency, and Resources - NON-SPECIALIZED  |                                |                       |                        |
|  | Bidder has adequate qualified safety personnel (Y/N)   | yes                            | yes                   | yes                    |
|  | Bidder has adequate non-specialized equipment for project  |                                |                       |                        |
| Form 10 - Ex   | (Y/N) perience, Competency, and Resources - SPECIALIZED  | yes                            | yes                   | yes                    |
| 20 20  | Bidder has adequate project management team (Y/N)  | yes                            | yes                   | yes                    |
|  | Bidder has adequate specialized equipment for project (Y/N)  | yes                            | yes                   | yes                    |
| Form 11 - De   | eadlines and Cost Control  | jes                            | jes                   | <u> </u>               |
|  | Bidder has met deadlines & controlled costs on similar   |                                |                       |                        |
|  | construction projects (Y/N)  | ves                            | see comment           | see comment            |
| Form 12 - Av   |  | <i>y</i> 20                    |                       |                        |
|  | Bidder does not have substantial uncompleted projects (Y/N)  | yes                            | yes                   | yes                    |
| Form 13 - Tra  | ade Licenses and Certificates  |                                | ,                     | <b>V</b> ***           |
|  | Bidder agrees to provide licensed workforce (Y/N)  | yes                            | yes                   | yes                    |
|  | Will bidder engage subcontractors to comply w/licensing  | •                              |                       | •                      |
|  | requirements (Y/N) License and/or Certificate No:  | No City license # listed.      | yes<br>SW0011, G02155 | yes<br>SW00179, G02223 |
|  | Negative Factors:  | No City license # listed.      | 0                     | 3 W 00179, G 022223    |
|  |  |                                |                       |                        |
|  | Lowest Bid Dollar of non-environmental preferred bidder  | \$1,506,101.62                 |                       |                        |
|  | Percent Over Low Bid:  | -4.7%                          | 0.0%                  | 7.11%                  |
|  | Fundamentally Equivalent Bid ('I.e., within 10% of low bid)  | yes                            | yes                   | yes                    |
| n n .  | I I D  |                                |                       |                        |
| Pg Environ   | nmental Preference   |                                |                       |                        |
|  | Does bidder documentation meet guidelines stated in bid  |                                |                       |                        |
|  | book? (Y/N)  | yes                            | no                    | no                     |
|  | Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable   | 4.720/                         | 0.000/                | 7 10/                  |
|  | bidder (%) Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable  | -4.72%                         | 0.00%                 | 7.1%                   |
|  | bidder (\$)  | (\$71,155.64)                  | \$0.00                | \$107,082.69           |
|  | Does bidder receive environmental preference (Y/N)? Mark   |                                |                       |                        |
|  | USZ U (C1 : 1 : 1 : 70/ ANTD 1 . d . 000 000 C.d   |                                |                       |                        |
|  | "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$20,000, of the   |                                |                       |                        |
|  | lowest non-envionmentally preferred bid.   | no; already lowest bidder      | no                    | no                     |
|  | lowest non-envionmentally preferred bid.  If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita   |                                | no                    | no                     |
|  | lowest non-envionmentally preferred bid.   | no; already lowest bidder  N/A | no                    | no                     |
|  | Iowest non-envionmentally preferred bid.  If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita Musser been notified?  Lents and Affidavits   |                                | no                    | no                     |
| Statement 1:   | Iowest non-envionmentally preferred bid.  If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita Musser been notified?  Lents and Affidavits  Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/N)   |                                | no                    |                        |
| Statement 1:   | Iowest non-envionmentally preferred bid.  If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita Musser been notified?  Lents and Affidavits   | N/A                            |                       | yes                    |
| Statement 1:<br>Statement 2:   | Iowest non-envionmentally preferred bid.  If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita Musser been notified?  Lents and Affidavits  Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/N)   | N/A<br>yes                     | yes                   | yes<br>yes             |
| Statement 1:<br>Statement 2:<br>Statement 3:   | lowest non-envionmentally preferred bid.  If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita Musser been notified?  In the second of t | yes<br>yes<br>yes              | yes<br>yes<br>yes     | yes<br>yes<br>yes      |
| Statement 1:<br>Statement 2:   | lowest non-envionmentally preferred bid.  If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita Musser been notified?  In the second of t | N/A yes yes                    | yes<br>yes            | yes<br>yes<br>yes      |
| Statement 1:<br>Statement 2:<br>Statement 3:   | lowest non-envionmentally preferred bid.  If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita Musser been notified?  In the second of t | yes<br>yes<br>yes              | yes<br>yes<br>yes     | yes<br>yes<br>yes      |
| Statement 1:<br>Statement 2:<br>Statement 3:   | lowest non-envionmentally preferred bid.  If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita Musser been notified?  In the second of t | yes<br>yes<br>yes              | yes<br>yes<br>yes     | yes<br>yes<br>yes      |
| Statement 1:<br>Statement 2:<br>Statement 3:<br>Affidavit  Pg Addenda Acknigmt.                    | lowest non-envionmentally preferred bid.  If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita Musser been notified?  In the second of t | yes<br>yes<br>yes              | yes<br>yes<br>yes     | yes yes yes 0          |
| Statement 1:<br>Statement 2:<br>Statement 3:<br>Affidavit  Pg Addenda                              | lowest non-envionmentally preferred bid.  If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita Musser been notified?  In the second of t | yes<br>yes<br>yes              | yes yes yes yes       | yes yes yes 0          |
| Statement 1: Statement 2: Statement 3:  Affidavit  Pg Addenda Acknlgmt. Proposal (Pg. ) - Proposal | lowest non-envionmentally preferred bid.  If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita Musser been notified?  Pents and Affidavits  Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/N)  Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/N)  Affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N)  Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affiant & notarized (Y/N)  Negative Factors:  Bidder has acknowledged any addendums issued on project (Y/N)  | yes<br>yes<br>yes<br>yes       | yes yes yes yes yes   | yes yes yes yes  yes   |
| Statement 1: Statement 2: Statement 3:  Affidavit  Pg Addenda Acknigmt. Proposal (Pg.) -           | lowest non-envionmentally preferred bid.  If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita Musser been notified?  Pents and Affidavits  Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/N)  Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/N)  Affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N)  Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affiant & notarized (Y/N)  Negative Factors:  Bidder has acknowledged any addendums issued on project (Y/N)  Bidder has completed the Proposal Signature (Y/N)   | yes<br>yes<br>yes              | yes yes yes yes yes   | yes yes yes  yes  yes  |
| Statement 1: Statement 2: Statement 3:  Affidavit  Pg Addenda Acknlgmt. Proposal (Pg. ) - Proposal | lowest non-envionmentally preferred bid.  If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita Musser been notified?  Pents and Affidavits  Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/N)  Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/N)  Affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N)  Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affiant & notarized (Y/N)  Negative Factors:  Bidder has acknowledged any addendums issued on project (Y/N)  | yes<br>yes<br>yes<br>yes       | yes yes yes yes yes   | yes yes yes yes        |

| )KD#     | Vandan Nama  | Chally Co                           | Decker               | Ctnorroom        |
|----------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
|          | Vendor Name:   | Shelly Co.                          |                      | Strawser         |
|          | Bid Tab Amount:  | \$1,434,945.97                      | \$1,506,101.62       | \$1,613,184.31   |
|          | Bidder has completed Proposal Bond including Surety name   |                                     |                      |                  |
|          | (Y/N)  | yes                                 | yes                  | y                |
|          | Bidder has attached Surety Power of Attorney (Y/N)   | yes                                 | yes                  | у                |
|          | Bidder has attached Surety's Financial Statement (Y/N)   | yes                                 | yes                  | У                |
|          | Bidder has attached Ohio Dept. of Insurance's Certificate of   |                                     |                      |                  |
|          | Compliance (Y/N)   | yes                                 | yes                  | y                |
|          | Negative Factors:  | 0                                   | 0                    |                  |
|          | Grand Total Negative Factors:  | -1                                  | 0                    |                  |
|          | Grand Total Negative Factors.  | -1                                  | U                    |                  |
|          | Division's Recommendation:   |                                     | The Shelly Company   |                  |
| Additio  | nal Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section  |                                     | · · · ·              |                  |
| Additio  | nal Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section  Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under   |                                     | · · · ·              |                  |
| Additio  | nal Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section  Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)   |                                     | · · · ·              | 1                |
| additio  | nal Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section  Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  | 102.08, not covered above           | e in RED.            |                  |
| dditio   | nal Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section  Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)   | 102.08, not covered above           | e in RED.            |                  |
| Addition | nal Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section  Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  | 102.08, not covered above           | e in RED.            | 1                |
| dditio   | nal Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section  Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)  Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all  | 102.08, not covered above           | e in RED.            | 1                |
| dditio   | nal Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section  Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)   | 102.08, not covered above           | e in RED.            | 1                |
| dditio   | nal Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section  Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)  Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)  | 102.08, not covered above           | e in RED.            | 1                |
| dditio   | nal Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section  Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)  Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or  | 102.08, not covered above no no no  | e in RED.            |                  |
| dditio   | nal Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section  Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)  Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)  | 102.08, not covered above no no no  | e in RED.            | <u> </u>         |
| dditio   | nal Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section  Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)  Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)  Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to   | 102.08, not covered above no no yes | e in RED.  no no yes | <u> </u>         |
|          | nal Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section  Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)  Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)  Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N)  | 102.08, not covered above no no yes | e in RED.  no no yes | )                |
| )        | nal Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section  Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)  Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)  Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N)  Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract                    | 102.08, not covered above no no ves | e in RED.  no no yes | )<br>)           |
| dditio   | nal Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section  Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)  Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)  Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N)  Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N) | 102.08, not covered above no no ves | e in RED.  no no yes | 1<br>1<br>1<br>y |

|                            | Department:  | CIP Number:           | 690384-1   | 100001               |
|----------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|----------------------|
|                            | Division:  | _                     | Watershed Roadway Imp's - Griggs Reservo Water Bonds |                      |
|                            | Division.  | Funding Source:       |  |                      |
|                            |  |                       |  |                      |
|                            |  | Bid Opening Date:     | 10/1/  |                      |
| L                          | owest, Best, Most Responsive, Responsible Bid Tab Amount:  | Engineer's Estimate   | \$2,144,183.69                                       |                      |
|                            |  |                       |  |                      |
|                            |  | Ohio Paving & Constr. | Park Enterprise                                      | Cols. Asphalt Paving |
|                            | Bid Tab Amount:  | \$1,633,483.42        | \$1,882,158.95                                       | \$1,908,308.71       |
|                            | <u>FACTORS</u>   |                       |  |                      |
|                            |  |                       |  |                      |
| gs.) &                     |  |                       |  |                      |
| orm 1 (Pg.                 | ■/   |                       |  |                      |
| Contract                   | Bidder (& subs) have active Contract Compliance No., or  |                       |  |                      |
| mpliance                   | submitted on-line (Y/N)  | yes                   | yes  | ye                   |
| rm 2 -                     |  |                       |  |                      |
| aims &                     |  |                       |  |                      |
| quidated                   | Bidder has a record of claims against performance bonds or   |                       |  |                      |
| mages                      | has paid liquidated damages, in the past 3 years (Y/N)   | no                    | no   | r                    |
|                            | Negative Factors:  | 0                     | 0  |                      |
|                            | regative Factors.  |                       | 0  |                      |
| orm 3 - Co                 | mpliance with Various Local, State, and Federal Laws &   |                       |  |                      |
| gulations:                 |  |                       |  |                      |
|                            | Bidder has a record, within the past 3 years, of violations with   |                       |  |                      |
|                            | the following $(Y/N)$ :  |                       |  |                      |
|                            |  |                       |  |                      |
|                            | 1. Fair Labor Standards Act  | no                    | no   |                      |
|                            | 2. Prevailing Wage Laws  | no                    | no   |                      |
|                            | 3. Unemployment Compensation Laws  | no                    | no   |                      |
|                            | 4. Workers' Compensation Laws  | no                    | no   |                      |
|                            | F Diddon has a record of non-compliance with one   |                       |  |                      |
|                            | 5. Bidder has a record of non-compliance with any  |                       |  |                      |
|                            | governmental agency regarding affirmative action programs  |                       |  |                      |
|                            | (Y/N)  | no                    | no   | r                    |
|                            | 6. Bidder qualifies for an Bureau of Workers Compensation  |                       |  |                      |
|                            | EMR (Y/N)  | yes                   | yes  | y                    |
|                            | 7. Bidder will make financial statements available upon  |                       |  |                      |
|                            | request (Y/N)  | yes                   | yes  | y                    |
|                            | Negative Factors:  | 0                     | 0  | J                    |
|                            | Tregutive Luctors.   | V                     | U  |                      |
| rm 4 - Sta                 | te and Federal Health and Safety Laws:   |                       |  |                      |
|                            | 1. Bidder has a record of "Willful or "Serious" OSHA   |                       |  |                      |
|                            | violations within the past 3 years (verify on OSHA.gov)  | no                    | 200  |                      |
|                            |  | no                    | no   |                      |
|                            | 2. Bidder has a health and safety plan compliant w/current   |                       |  |                      |
|                            | OSHA standards (Y/N)   | yes                   | yes  | J                    |
|                            | 3. Would bidder provide copy of their on-site safety plan  | yes                   | yes  | у                    |
|                            | 4. Would bidder provide OSHA 200-300 log (up to 3 yrs.)  |                       |  |                      |
|                            | (Y/N)  | yes                   | yes  | у                    |
|                            | 5. Bidder has a record of EPA violations within the last 3 years   |                       | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                |                      |
|                            | (Y/N)  | no                    | no   | ] .                  |
|                            | Negative Factors:  | 0                     | 0  | 1                    |
|                            | Negative Factors:  | U                     |  |                      |
|                            | 1 Hoo hidden been deberred/error and d.C   |                       |  |                      |
|                            | 1. Has bidder been debarred/suspended from doing business  |                       |  |                      |
|                            |  |                       |  | 1                    |
|                            | with any govt. contracting agency within the last 3 years  |                       |  |                      |
|                            |  | no                    | no   | 1                    |
| orm 5 <u>-</u><br>barment  | with any govt. contracting agency within the last 3 years  | no<br>0               | no<br>0  | 1                    |
| <u>barment</u>             | with any govt. contracting agency within the last 3 years (Y/N)  |                       |  | 1                    |
| orm 6 -                    | with any govt. contracting agency within the last 3 years (Y/N)  Negative Factors:   |                       |  | 1                    |
| <u> </u>                   | with any govt. contracting agency within the last 3 years (Y/N)  Negative Factors:  1. What percentage of bidder's emloyees is drawn from  | 0                     |  |                      |
| orm 6 -                    | with any govt. contracting agency within the last 3 years (Y/N)  Negative Factors:   |                       |  | 60.0                 |
| orm 6 -                    | with any govt. contracting agency within the last 3 years (Y/N)  Negative Factors:  1. What percentage of bidder's emloyees is drawn from  | 0                     | 0  |                      |
| rm 6 <u>-</u> cal rkforce  | with any govt. contracting agency within the last 3 years (Y/N)  Negative Factors:  1. What percentage of bidder's emloyees is drawn from Columbus, Franklin Co., or contiguous counties  Negative Factors:  | 0                     | 0  |                      |
| rm 6 <u>-</u> cal          | with any govt. contracting agency within the last 3 years (Y/N)  Negative Factors:  1. What percentage of bidder's emloyees is drawn from Columbus, Franklin Co., or contiguous counties  Negative Factors:  alth Care and Pension/Retirement Programs | 0                     | 0  |                      |
| orm 6 -<br>cal<br>orkforce | with any govt. contracting agency within the last 3 years (Y/N)  Negative Factors:  1. What percentage of bidder's emloyees is drawn from Columbus, Franklin Co., or contiguous counties  Negative Factors:  | 0                     | 0  |                      |

|  |  | Vendor Name  | Ohio Paving & Constr.                                 | Park Enternrise                          | Tais Ashnait Pavino                        |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|
|  |  | Bid Tab Amount:  | \$1,633,483.42  | <u>Park Enterprise</u><br>\$1,882,158.95 | <b>Cols. Asphalt Paving</b> \$1,908,308.71 |
|  | 3. Bidder has retirement or pension plan? (  | Y/N)   | yes   | yes                                      | ye.  |
|  | 4. If yes, percentage paid into plan:  | ,=,=,,   | see comment   | ER: 100%                                 | ER: 100%                                   |
|  | y y y r y y r y y r y y r  | <b>Negative Factors:</b>   | 0   | 0  | (  |
|  |  |  |   |  |  |
| Form 8 -   |  |  |   |  |  |
| Apprenticeship   |  |  |   |  |  |
| <u>Programs</u>  | Bidder has bona-fide apprenticeship prog   | gram? (Y/N)  Negative Factors:   | no<br>-1  | yes<br>0                                 | ye   |
|  |  | regulive Luctors.  | -1  | v  |  |
| Form 9 - Exp   | erience, Competency, and Resources - NO  |  |   |  |  |
|  | Bidder has adequate qualified safety person  |  | yes   | yes                                      | ye   |
|  | Bidder has adequate non-specialized equip  | ment for project   |   |  |  |
|  | (Y/N)  |  | yes   | yes                                      | ye   |
| <u>Form 10 - Ex</u>  | perience, Competency, and Resources - SP   |  |   |  |  |
|  | Bidder has adequate project management to  |  | yes   | yes                                      | ye   |
|  | Bidder has adequate specialized equipment  | for project (Y/N)  | yes   | "N/A"                                    | yes  |
| <u> Form 11 - De</u>   | adlines and Cost Control   |  |   |  |  |
| İ  | Bidder has met deadlines & controlled cost   | s on similar   |   |  |  |
|  | construction projects (Y/N)  |  | see comment   | see comment                              | ye   |
| Form 12 - Av   |  |  |   |  |  |
|  | Bidder does not have substantial uncomplete  | ted projects (Y/N)   | yes   | yes                                      | yes  |
| Form 13 - Tro  | ude Licenses and Certificates  |  | •   | •  |  |
|  | Bidder agrees to provide licensed workforc   | e (Y/N)  | yes   | yes                                      | ye   |
|  | Will bidder engage subcontractors to comp  | ly w/licensing   |   | •  | •  |
|  | requirements (Y/N)   | ,  | yes   | yes                                      | no   |
|  | License and/or Certificate No:   |  | no City license # listed                              | No City license # listed                 | No City license # listed                   |
|  |  | Negative Factors:  | 0   | 0  | (  |
|  |  | 11084110 2 4000150   | v   | v  |  |
|  | Lowest Bid Dollar of non-environmenta  | l preferred hidder   |   |  |  |
|  |  | ent Over Low Bid:  | 8.46%   | 24.97%                                   | 26.71%                                     |
|  |  |  |   |  |  |
| I  | fundamentally Equivalent Rid ('I e. with   | in IIIV, of low hid)   | VAC   | no                                       | n/   |
| I  | <mark>'undamentally Equivalent Bid ('I.e., with</mark>   | in 10% of low bid)   | yes   | no                                       | no   |
|  |  | in 10% of low bid)   | yes   | no                                       | ne   |
|  | mental Preference  |  | yes   | no                                       | ne   |
|  | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines   |  | · ·   |  |  |
|  | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)   | s stated in bid  | no  | no                                       |  |
|  | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen  | s stated in bid  | no  | no                                       | ne   |
|  | Does bidder documentation meet guidelines<br>book? (Y/N)<br>Difference versus lowest non-environmen<br>bidder (%)  | s stated in bid  | · ·   |  | ne   |
|  | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen  | s stated in bid  | no<br>8.5%  | 25.0%                                    | no<br>26.7%                                |
|  | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  | s stated in bid tal preferrable tal preferrable  | no  | no                                       | ne   |
|  | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer  | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark   | no<br>8.5%  | 25.0%                                    | no<br>26.7%                                |
|  | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$2  | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark   | no<br>8.5%  | 25.0%                                    | ne<br>26.7%                                |
|  | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer  | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark   | no<br>8.5%  | 25.0%                                    | 26.7%<br>\$402,207.09                      |
|  | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$2  | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark 20,000, of the  | 8.5%<br>\$127,381.80                                  | 25.0%<br>\$376,057.33                    | 26.7%<br>\$402,207.09                      |
|  | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$2 lowest non-environmentally preferred bid.  | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark 20,000, of the  | 8.5%<br>\$127,381.80                                  | 25.0%<br>\$376,057.33                    | 26.7%<br>\$402,207.09                      |
|  | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$2 lowest non-environmentally preferred bid.  If awarded vendor is environmentally prefe  | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark 20,000, of the  | 8.5%<br>\$127,381.80                                  | 25.0%<br>\$376,057.33                    | 26.7%<br>\$402,207.09                      |
| Pg Environ   | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$2 lowest non-environmentally preferred bid.  If awarded vendor is environmentally prefe Musser been notified?  | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark 20,000, of the  | 8.5%<br>\$127,381.80                                  | 25.0%<br>\$376,057.33                    | 26.7%<br>\$402,207.09                      |
| Pg Environ   | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$2 lowest non-environmentally preferred bid.  If awarded vendor is environmentally prefe Musser been notified?  | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark 20,000, of the  rable, has Anita  | 8.5%<br>\$127,381.80                                  | 25.0%<br>\$376,057.33                    | 26.7%<br>\$402,207.09                      |
| Pg Environ  Pgs Statem Statement 1:  | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$2 lowest non-environmentally preferred bid.  If awarded vendor is environmentally prefe Musser been notified?  ents and Affidavits  Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/   | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark 20,000, of the  rable, has Anita  | no<br>8.5%<br>\$127,381.80                            | 10<br>25.0%<br>\$376,057.33<br>10<br>yes | 26.7%<br>\$402,207.09                      |
| Pg Environ  Pgs Statem Statement 1: Statement 2:   | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$2 lowest non-environmentally preferred bid.  If awarded vendor is environmentally prefe Musser been notified?  ents and Affidavits  Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/Non-Collusion)   | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark 20,000, of the  rable, has Anita  | no<br>8.5%<br>\$127,381.80<br>no                      | 25.0%<br>\$376,057.33<br>no              | 26.7%<br>\$402,207.09                      |
| Pg Environ  Pgs Statem Statement 1: Statement 2:   | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$2 lowest non-environmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally prefe Musser been notified?  ents and Affidavits  Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/Affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N   | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark 20,000, of the  rable, has Anita  N)  //N)  | no<br>8.5%<br>\$127,381.80                            | 10<br>25.0%<br>\$376,057.33<br>10<br>yes | 26.7%<br>\$402,207.09                      |
| Pgs Statem Statement 1: Statement 2: Statement 3:  | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$2 lowest non-environmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally prefe Musser been notified?  ents and Affidavits  Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/A affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affia   | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark 20,000, of the  rable, has Anita  N)  //N)  | no<br>8.5%<br>\$127,381.80<br>no<br>yes<br>yes<br>yes | 988 yes yes                              | ye. ye.                                    |
| Pg Environ  Pgs Statem  Statement 1:  Statement 2:   | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$2 lowest non-environmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally prefe Musser been notified?  ents and Affidavits  Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/Affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N   | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark 20,000, of the  rable, has Anita  N)  //N)  N)  nt & notarized                                      | no<br>8.5%<br>\$127,381.80<br>no                      | yes yes yes yes                          | ye: ye: ye:                                |
| Pgs Statem Statement 1: Statement 2: Statement 3:  | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$2 lowest non-environmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally prefe Musser been notified?  ents and Affidavits  Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/A affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affia   | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark 20,000, of the  rable, has Anita  N)  //N)  | no<br>8.5%<br>\$127,381.80<br>no<br>yes<br>yes<br>yes | 988 yes yes                              | ye ye ye ye                                |
| Pgs Statem Statement 1: Statement 2: Statement 3: Affidavit                                    | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$2 lowest non-environmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally prefe Musser been notified?  ents and Affidavits  Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/A affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affia   | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark 20,000, of the  rable, has Anita  N)  //N)  N)  nt & notarized                                      | no<br>8.5%<br>\$127,381.80<br>no<br>yes<br>yes<br>yes | yes yes yes yes                          | yes yes                                    |
| Pgs Statem Statement 1: Statement 2: Statement 3: Affidavit                                    | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$2 lowest non-environmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally prefe Musser been notified?  ents and Affidavits  Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/Affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affia (Y/N)   | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark 20,000, of the  rable, has Anita  N) //N) N) nt & notarized  Negative Factors:                      | no<br>8.5%<br>\$127,381.80<br>no<br>yes<br>yes<br>yes | yes yes yes yes                          | ye: ye: ye:                                |
| Pgs Statem Statement 1: Statement 3: Affidavit  Pg Addenda                                     | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$2 lowest non-environmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally prefe Musser been notified?  ents and Affidavits  Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/A affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affia (Y/N)  Bidder has acknowledged any addendums in the sidner of the s | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark 20,000, of the  rable, has Anita  N) //N) N) nt & notarized  Negative Factors:                      | no 8.5% \$127,381.80  no  yes yes yes yes             | yes yes yes 0                            | ye ye ye                                   |
| Pgs Statem Statement 1: Statement 3: Affidavit  Pg Addenda Acknlgmt.                           | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$2 lowest non-environmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally prefe Musser been notified?  ents and Affidavits  Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/Affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affia (Y/N)   | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark 20,000, of the  rable, has Anita  N) //N) N) nt & notarized  Negative Factors:                      | no<br>8.5%<br>\$127,381.80<br>no<br>yes<br>yes<br>yes | yes yes yes yes                          | ye ye ye                                   |
| Pgs Statem Statement 1: Statement 3: Affidavit  Pg Addenda Acknlgmt. Proposal                  | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$2 lowest non-environmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally prefe Musser been notified?  ents and Affidavits  Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/A affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affia (Y/N)  Bidder has acknowledged any addendums in the sidner of the s | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark 20,000, of the  rable, has Anita  N) //N) N) nt & notarized  Negative Factors:                      | no 8.5% \$127,381.80  no  yes yes yes yes             | yes yes yes 0                            | ye ye ye                                   |
| Pg Statem Statement 1: Statement 3: Affidavit  Pg Addenda Acknlgmt. Proposal (Pg.) -           | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$2 lowest non-environmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally prefe Musser been notified?  ents and Affidavits  Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/A affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affia (Y/N)  Bidder has acknowledged any addendums in the sidner of the s | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark 20,000, of the  rable, has Anita  N) //N) N) nt & notarized  Negative Factors:                      | no 8.5% \$127,381.80  no  yes yes yes yes             | yes yes yes 0                            | ye. ye.                                    |
| Pg Statem Statement 1: Statement 3: Affidavit  Pg Addenda Acknlgmt. Proposal (Pg.) - Proposal  | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$2 lowest non-environmentally preferred bid.  If awarded vendor is environmentally prefe Musser been notified?  ents and Affidavits  Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/N Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affia (Y/N)  Bidder has acknowledged any addendums in (Y/N)  | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark 20,000, of the  rable, has Anita  N)  Y/N)  N)  nt & notarized  Negative Factors:  ssued on project | 9   | yes yes yes yes yes                      | yei<br>yei<br>yei                          |
| Pgs Statem Statement 1: Statement 3: Affidavit  Pg Addenda Acknlgmt. Proposal (Pg.) -          | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$2 lowest non-environmentally preferred bid. If awarded vendor is environmentally prefe Musser been notified?  ents and Affidavits  Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/A affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affia (Y/N)  Bidder has acknowledged any addendums in the sidner of the s | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark 20,000, of the  rable, has Anita  N)  Y/N)  N)  nt & notarized  Negative Factors:  ssued on project | no 8.5% \$127,381.80  no  yes yes yes yes             | yes yes yes yes yes yes                  | yes yes yes                                |
| Pgs Statem Statement 1: Statement 3: Affidavit  Pg Addenda Acknlgmt. Proposal (Pg.) - Proposal | mental Preference  Does bidder documentation meet guidelines book? (Y/N)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (%)  Difference versus lowest non-environmen bidder (\$)  Does bidder receive environmental preferer "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$2 lowest non-environmentally preferred bid.  If awarded vendor is environmentally prefe Musser been notified?  ents and Affidavits  Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/N Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affia (Y/N)  Bidder has acknowledged any addendums in (Y/N)  | s stated in bid  tal preferrable  tal preferrable  nce (Y/N)? Mark 20,000, of the  rable, has Anita  N)  Y/N)  N)  nt & notarized  Negative Factors:  ssued on project | 9   | yes yes yes yes yes                      | yes yes                                    |

| Bidder has completed Proposal Bond including Surety name (Y/N)  Bidder has attached Surety Power of Attorney (Y/N)  Bidder has attached Surety's Financial Statement (Y/N)  Bidder has attached Ohio Dept. of Insurance's Certificate of Compliance (Y/N)  Negative Factors:  Grand Total Negative Factors:  -1  | k Enterprise | Cols. Asphalt Paving |
|--|--------------|----------------------|
| (Y/N)   yes  | ,882,158.95  | \$1,908,308.71       |
| Bidder has attached Surety Power of Attorney (Y/N)  Bidder has attached Surety's Financial Statement (Y/N)  Bidder has attached Ohio Dept. of Insurance's Certificate of Compliance (Y/N)  Negative Factors:  O  Crand Total Negative Factors:  Division's Recommendation:  The Shel  Additional Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Sectiv  Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)  Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)  Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N)  Did the bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N)  Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where |              |                      |
| Bidder has attached Surety's Financial Statement (Y/N)  Bidder has attached Ohio Dept. of Insurance's Certificate of Compliance (Y/N)  Negative Factors:  O  Grand Total Negative Factors:  Division's Recommendation:  The Shel  Additional Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Sectiv  Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)  Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)  Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N)  Did the bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N)  Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where   | yes          | yes                  |
| Bidder has attached Ohio Dept. of Insurance's Certificate of Compliance (Y/N)  Negative Factors:  O  Grand Total Negative Factors:  Division's Recommendation:  The Shel  Additional Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Sective  Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)  Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)  Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N)  Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N)  Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where   | yes          | ye:                  |
| Compliance (Y/N)  Negative Factors:  O  Grand Total Negative Factors:  Division's Recommendation:  The Shel  Additional Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Sective  Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)  Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)  Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N)  Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N)  Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where   | yes          | ye ye                |
| Negative Factors:   0  |              |                      |
| Carand Total Negative Factors:   -1  | yes          | ye ye                |
| Division's Recommendation:  The Shel  Additional Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section  Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N) no no Does the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N) no Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N) no Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N) yes Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N) no Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N) no Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where   | 0            |                      |
| Division's Recommendation:  The Shel  Additional Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section  Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N) no no Does the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N) no Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N) no Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N) yes Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N) no Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N) no Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where   | 0            |                      |
| Additional Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section  Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N) no no Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N) no Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N) yes Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N) no Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N) no Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where   | U            |                      |
| Additional Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section  Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N) no no Does the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N) no Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N) no Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N) yes Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N) no Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N) no Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where   | elly Company |                      |
| Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)  Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)  Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N)  Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N)  Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where   |              |                      |
| Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)  Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)  Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N)  Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N)  Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where  | no           | no                   |
| Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)  Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N)  Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N)  Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where   | no           | no                   |
| Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)  Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N)  Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N)  Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where   |              |                      |
| material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)  Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N)  Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N)  Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where   | no           | n                    |
| deviations? (Y/N)  Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N)  Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N)  Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where   |              |                      |
| Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N)  Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N)  Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where  |              |                      |
| accept or reject an award? (Y/N)  Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N)  Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where  | yes          | s ye                 |
| Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N)  Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where  |              |                      |
| ) item listed? (Y/N) no Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where   | no           | no                   |
| Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where   |              |                      |
|  | no           | no                   |
| )   required: (Y/N)   no   |              |                      |
| Negative Factors: 0  |              |                      |