

ORD. 1711-2012

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying Contracts

The names of all companies bidding, or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

Columbus Southern Power, now called Ohio Power Company d/b/a AEP Ohio

The location by City and State of all companies bidding, or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

Columbus Southern Power, now called Ohio Power Company d/b/a AEP Ohio
CC # 314271000 expires 7/11/2014

The status, Majority, MBE, FBE, of all companies bidding, or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

None of the responding vendors hold MBE or FBE status. A Columbus Southern Power now called Ohio Power Company d/b/a AEP Ohio is a publicly held company.

The name and location of the firm awarded the original contract and the Contract Number.

AEP, Columbus, OH

A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.

AEP Ohio along with AT & T hired a contractor to do a county wide pole audit. Under the existing contract, this audit is to be conducted every five years, and the City is required to pay a portion of the cost. This is the first county audit.

An updated contract timeline to contract completion.

The audit will begin as soon as possible end no later than December 31, 2012. The term of the contract will not be modified.

A description of any and all modification to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number Associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)

See cost summary below.

A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

The proposed modification only increases the maximum financial obligation for participation in the county wide pole audit during 2012 and does not change the scope of the initial contract.

If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions are not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

This modification was anticipated and as stated above it is only for an increase in funding to meet the contractual obligation given in the initial agreement through OR #0793-2007 and the joint use agreement signed by the Director on 10/19/2010.

An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

The City is obligated to participate in the pole audit through the term of the existing agreement signed by Director Arsh on 10/19/2010.

A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (List each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

| | |
|------------------------------|--------------|
| This modification in process | \$ 65,000.00 |
| Total | \$65,000.00 |

This contract, upon City Council's approval, will be increased as needed to provide funding for pole audits as necessary.

Note: The contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline. This includes the original contract and any an all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.

7/18/2012