

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.**

| <u>Name</u> | <u>C.C. No. - Exp. Date</u> | <u>City/State</u> | <u>Status</u> |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Lithko Restoration Technologies | 01-0817704 – 4/12/14 | Columbus, OH | MAJ |
| Ohio Concrete Restoration Co. | 31-1411855 – 11/16/14 | Orient, OH | MAJ |
| Boone Concrete Restoration | 56-2450715 – 11/13/14 | Columbus, OH | MAJ |

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).**

The original agreement was established under informal bidding guidelines, utilizing Operating funds in the amount of \$12,850.00.

3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**

| | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Lithko Restoration Technologies | \$12,850.00 |
| 2. Ohio Concrete Restoration Co. | \$15,300.00 |
| 3. Boone Concrete Restoration | \$18,800.00 |

4. **The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm awarded the original contract.**

Lithko Restoration Technologies LLC
1059 Cable Avenue
Columbus, OH 43222
Terry Nye, Project Manager, (614) 221-0711

5. **A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.**

The original project scope consisted of repairing of certain elements of the pedestrian bridge at the entrance to the Utilities Complex building at 910 Dublin Road. The work included repair to the steel support beam, bridge deck concrete, and surface coating. The original scope was strictly maintenance work with the intent that the work be performed in the fall of 2012 prior to the exposed bridge being subjected to winter weather conditions.

Due to the early and extreme weather conditions in the winter of 2012/2013, the bridge experienced additional deterioration. When the contractor began to perform the work in the spring of 2013 the condition was considerably worse than originally anticipated. With input from the professional structural engineering consultant a revised scope of work was prepared that includes more extensive demolition / removal / reconstruction of a portion of the concrete bridge deck and concrete masonry unit walls, coating of the entire bridge deck and walls, and forming and pouring new concrete wall caps.

This current proposed contract modification (No. 1) will perform this revised scope of work. As this work is now demolition and reconstruction work anticipated to last more than 20 years it will be funded with Capital funds. No future phasing of the work is anticipated.

6. An updated contract timeline to contract completion.

All work to be completed within 60 days from Notice to Proceed.

7. A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.

The pedestrian bridge is needed for public access to the Utilities Complex which is a public building utilized for rate-payers bill payments, contractors securing water and sewer permits, and consultants accessing water and sewer map and permit records. No community outreach was sought and there are no environmental factors in the project scope of work.

8. A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)

None

9. A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

Work to be performed with this contract modification include demolition / removal / reconstruction of a portion of the concrete bridge deck and concrete masonry unit walls, coating of the entire bridge deck and walls, forming and pouring new concrete wall caps. This more extensive work than initially anticipated was due to the conditions of the existing bridge being more deteriorated and compromised than originally anticipated at the start of the project.

10. If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

The original contract was established through the informal bidding process with 3 invited bidders providing for competition (bidders were recommended by the professional structural consulting engineer). As the contractor began the work it was apparent the deterioration was more extensive than originally anticipated. The contractor performed a portion of the original scope of work to stabilize the pedestrian bridge. The professional structural engineering consultant prepared a revised scope of work that includes more extensive demolition / removal / reconstruction of a portion of the concrete bridge deck and concrete masonry unit walls, coating of the entire bridge deck and walls, and forming and pouring new concrete wall caps.

11. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

The current contractor has already mobilized to the site and performed a portion of the original scope of work. They are familiar with the current site conditions and will be available to quickly resume work on the compromised bridge as soon as the contract modification is executed. This bridge is the only public access to the Utilities Complex building and reconstruction work must be performed before any further deterioration is experienced.

12. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

CONTRACT AMOUNT:

| | |
|--|--------------------|
| Original contract amount (ED048219) | \$12,850.00 |
| Modification #1 (current request, includes bond & 10% contingency) | <u>\$41,513.00</u> |
| Current new contract amount | \$54,363.00 |

13. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.

A revised scope of work prepared by the professional structural consulting engineer was transmitted to the contractor. The contractor prepared a cost estimate and schedule for the new scope of work. The professional structural consulting engineer and the City project manager reviewed the cost and schedule and determined it to be appropriate.