PROJECT:	HCWP Bulk Chemical	<b>Building Improvements</b>	Bid Date:	December 23, 2015	
Department/Division:	Utilities/Water	Initial Appare	nt Low Bidder:		Kokosing
Section:	Tech Support / Supply	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	4,549,428.00

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690532-100000	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Stacia Eckenwiler, P.E.	Kokosing	Adams Rob.				
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 4,054,473.50	Initial Bid Amount					
		\$ 4,549,428.00	\$5,847,600.00				
		28.53%	-100.00%				

PART IA: R	esponsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
а	The bidder <b>is pre-qualified</b> responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES	YES				
b	The bid <b>contains</b> the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) <b>FORM B1</b>	YES	YES				
с	The bidder <b>submitted</b> , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) <b>FORM B10</b>	YES	YES				
d	If applicable, the bidder listed a (sub)contractor(s) with an active water or sewer contractor tappers license (CMS 107.02)	NA	NA				
е	There is <b>no evidence</b> of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES	YES				
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE				

PROJECT:	HCWP Bulk Chemica	al Building Improvements Bid Date:			ecember 23, 2015
Department/Division:	Utilities/Water	Initial Appare	nt Low Bidder:		Kokosing
Section:	Tech Support / Supply	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	4,549,428.00

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690532-100000	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Ma	anager:	Stacia Eckenwiler, P.E.	Kokosing	Adams Rob.				
Engineer'	s Estimate:	\$ 4,054,473.50	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 4,549,428.00	\$5,847,600.00				
PART IB: R	esponsiveness Cri	teria, Subcontractors (329.20)	INPUT "YES" FO	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for r	esponsive bid)
a		ed a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1)	YES	YES				
b	licensed construction	re listed, Bidder <b>indicated</b> which subcontractors are on trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) <b>FORM B6</b> de subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	YES	YES				
С	qualified responsibl	bcontractors are listed, the bidder <b>proposed</b> pre- e or provisionally responsible licensed trade 9.20)(h) ( <b>If no licensed trade subcontractors are</b>	YES	YES				
d	work under which the	re listed, the bidder listed the division/section of the sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no listed, enter "NA") FORM B6	YES	YES				
e	subcontractor per d more than one sub (329.20)(h)(5) (If n "NA") FORM B6	e listed and the bidder listed more than one livision/section of work, did the bidder explain why is listed for the division/section of work? o licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter	NA	NA				
f	trade subcontractor responsible. (329.2	affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction is are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally 0)(h)(3) Form B10 (If no licensed trade le listed, enter "NA")	YES	YES				
g		subcontractors who were currently suspended or (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	YES	YES				
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE				

PROJECT:	HCWP Bulk Chemical Building Improvements BIQ Date: December 23				ecember 23, 2015
Department/Division:	Utilities/Water	Initial Annaro	nt Low Bidder:		Kokosing
	Tech Support / Supply	Initial Appare		¢	4,549,428.00
Section.	recir support / suppry	IIIIliai Appai	elit Low blu .	Ą	4,343,420.00

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	
CIP No.		690532-100000	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
Project Ma	anager:	Stacia Eckenwiler, P.E.	Kokosing	Adams Rob.					
Engineer'	s Estimate:	\$ 4,054,473.50	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	
			\$ 4,549,428.00	\$5,847,600.00					
PART IC: R	Responsiveness Cri	iteria, Bid Specific (329.23)	INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid)						
	referenced code se	mit more than one bid for same work. (See ection for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES	YES					
b	PAGE, page 2	ged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) BID SIGNATURE	YES	YES					
С	requirements/speci (329.23)(f)(1)(c)	vith technical pre-qualification fication requirements where applicable.	YES	YES					
d	The bid <b>contains</b> n invitation for bid. (3	no conditions or qualifications not provided in the 29.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES	YES					
е	Bidder added <b>no</b> pr (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	rovisions reserving right to accept or reject award.	YES	YES					
f	Bidder submitted a	a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2, FORM	YES	YES					
g	Bidder did not sub FORM B2, FORM I	omit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) B3	YES	YES					
h	Bid prices are mate	erially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) <b>FORM B4</b>	YES	YES					
		egularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid $(1)(j)$ and $(329.23)(f)(1)(e)$	YES	YES					
j		a unit price for each contract item listed, if required.  ORM B4 (If a unit price is not an option, enter	YES	YES					
k		a lump sum price if <b>required</b> . (329.23)(f)(1)(h) <b>sum is not an option, enter "NA")</b>	YES	NA					
1	Bidder has complicated (329.23)(f)(1)(k)	ed with section 329.20(h) regarding subcontractors. ORM B6	YES	YES					
		ain alterations, ommissions, errors, such that it e bid documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	YES	YES					
n	Bid <b>responds</b> to bid (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	d documents in all material respects.	YES	YES					
0	Bid is complete. (3	29.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES					
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE					

PR	OJECT:	HCWP Bulk Chemical	<b>Building Improve</b>	ments	Bid	Date:	Decembe	er 23, 2015		
	nt/Division:	Utilities/Water		* * *	nt Low Bidder:		Kokosing			
Section:	Section: Tech Support / Supply			Initial Appar	ent Low Bid :		\$	4,549,428.00		
		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th		
CIP No.		690532-100000	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME		
Project Ma	anager:	Stacia Eckenwiler, P.E.	Kokosing	Adams Rob.						
Engineer's	s Estimate:	\$ 4,054,473.50	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount		
			\$ 4,549,428.00	\$5,847,600.00						
PART ID: Re	esponsiveness C	riteria, Contract Compliance	A "no" answer in Part IE will not necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have a valid contract compliance no. prior to contract execution.							
а	The "Evaluated Lo	ow Bidder" has an active contract compliance e of bid.	YES	YES						
-										
			Bid Responsive,	Bid Responsive,	Waiver Legislation	Waiver Legislation	Waiver Legislation	Waiver Legislation		
	Bid Res	sponsivness, Waiver Considerations:	Continue to Part II	Continue to Part II	Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office					
					Contact riscar Office	Contact riscar office	Contact riscar office	Contact riscar office		
		Proceed to Part II?	YES	YES						
	LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I \$ 4,549,42			¢	Manually Enter Amou	unt				
	LOV	VEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	Kokosing		Manually Enter Information					

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	HCWP Bulk Chemical Building Improvements			Bid Date:		December 23, 2015		
Department/Division:	Utilities/Water	Responsive Low Bidder (from Tab I):				Kokosing		
Section:	Tech Support / Supply		Responsive Low	Bid (from Tab I):		\$	4,549,428.00	
							•	
CIP No.	690532-100000	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
Project Manager:	Stacia Eckenwiler, P.E.	Kokosing	Adams Rob.	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 4,054,473.50	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	
		\$ 4,549,428.00	\$ 5,847,600.00	0	0	0	0	

PART IIA: 3	229.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria	INPUT"	YES" FOR POSITIVE RES	PONSE OR "NO" FOR N	EGATIVE RESPONSE (1	point for each "yes", 0 f	or "no")
а	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others).  FORM B4, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES				
b	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B7, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES				
С	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B7, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES				
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B8,	YES	YES				
е	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B8	YES	YES				
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B8	YES	YES				
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B8	YES	YES				
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. <b>FORM B9</b>	YES	YES				
	Responsibility Score:	8	8	0	0	0	0
	Continue to TAB 3?	YES	YES				



Tab 2: 5 of 11

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

DI:	ROJECT:	HCWP Bulk Chemica	l Ruilding Impro	vements	Bid I	Date:	Decembe	r 23, 2015
	(00201.	THE VIT BUIK CHEMICA	in building impro-	Vernents	Bia i	Dato.	Decembe	1 23, 2013
Departme	ent/Division:	Utilities/Water			er (from Tabs I and II):		Koko	osing
Section:		Tech Support / Supply		Evaluated Low Bid (from Tabs I and II) :			\$	4,549,428.00
CIP No.		690532-100000	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	anager:	Stacia Eckenwiler, P.E.	Kokosing	Adams Rob.	NA	NA	NA	NA
Engineer'	's Estimate:	\$ 4,054,473.50	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
			\$ 4,549,428.00	\$ 5,847,600.00	NA	NA	NA	NA
PART III: 3	29.(01)(u) and 329.21	2 Local Bidder						
								_
		IS BID LOCAL?	YES	NO				
To look up	if a bidder is local, u	se the Office of Construction Prequalification's lis	st located at	X:\Construction Prequal	ification			<u> </u>
PART IIIA:								
7	IE ADDADENT I	OW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip	stons 2-5 and enter					
	IF AFFARENT L	data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to Ta						
		data in Steps 0 & 7 and continue to 17	AD IV.					
step 1								
	IF APPARENT L	OW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINU	,					
		above for apparent low bid and bidder information	ation)					
Part IIIB:								
step 2	Percentage difference	ce of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	0.000%	28.535%	NA	NA	NA	NA
	la amazont in miss at	ep WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW						
step 3	BID?	ep WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW	YES	NO	NA	NA	NA	NA
	If step 3 is "yes", S	EE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE.						
step 4		OW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO DNS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?						
	CITY CODE SECTION	DNS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?						
	INDUT NEW DID 44	MOLINIT FOR RIDDER IF ARRUSARIE OR 7520						
step 5	(\$0)	MOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO						
	(+-)							
Part IIIC:				I				
raitino.								
step 6	REVISED LOW	BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB:	\$ 4,549,428.00		Manually Enter Amoun	t		
-to- 7	BEVISED LOW	DIDDED TAR IIIR.	Walandan		Manually Enter Inform	ation.		
step 7	KEVISED LOW	BIDDER, TAB IIIB:	Kokosing		Manually Enter Informa	ation		

**TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference** 

PROJECT: HCWP Bulk Chemical Bu	uilding Improveme	nts	Bid l	Date:	Decembe	er 23, 2015
nent/Division: Utilities/Water		Evaluated Low Bidder				osing
Tech Support / Supply		Evaluated Low Bid (fi	rom Tabs I, II and III) :		\$	4,549,428
690532-100000	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Manager: Stacia Eckenwiler, P.E.	Kokosing	Adams Rob.	NA	NA	NA	NA
er's Estimate: \$ 4,054,473.50	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
	\$ 4,549,428.00	\$ 5,847,600.00	NA	NA	NA	NA
329.(17) Environmental Preference		17-1	alma			
parent Low Bid: \$ 4,549,428.00	Apparent Low Bidder:	Koko	osing			
329.(17) Environmental Preference						
Did apparent/evaluated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer "YES" or "NO" for apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA"	YES	NA				
Excluding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid?	NA	YES				
STOP. If apparent/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bid, then the apparent/evaluated low bidder is lead bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data in Steps 4-6, complete Step 7, and proceed to Tab 5.  If the apparent/evaluated low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bid, proceed to Steps 6 and 7.						
Calculate environmental preference for each bid. It is up to five percent (5%) of the non-environmental low bid, for a maximum of \$20,000 per bid.	0.000%	28.535%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied).	\$ -	\$ 20,000.00	NA	NA	NA	NA
Bid amount less environmental preference, except if both claim environmental preference; in that case, both claiming EP cancels it for both	\$ 4,549,428.00	\$ 5,827,600.00	NA	NA	NA	NA
Low Bid Amount: \$ 4,549,428.00 Low Bidder:	Kokosing					
preference, in that case,		both claiming EP cancers it for both	both claiming EP canceis it for both			

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

#### TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Kokosing	\$ 4,549,428.00
Project:	HCWP Bulk Chemical Building Improvements	

Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

Bidders	Kokosing	Adams Rob.	U	U	U	U
Bid	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	\$ 5,847,600.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Percent Difference btwn Bid and						
Next Highest Bid	28.53%	-100.00%				

Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder?

If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h?

If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.

YES YES

Bid Gurantee Requirements

Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.

Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?

Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarentee submitted?

YES YES

Bid Bond Bond on City's bond form

Surety power of attorney

Most recent surety financial statement

Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance

Certified/Cashier's Check

Drawn on a solvant bank

NO

N/A

Contract Compliance

Are all subcontractors contract compliant? Required before the contract can be executed.

If there are not any subcontractors, choose N/A.

YES

Letter of Credit

LOC on City's LOC form

Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp

Is the corporation foreign or the individual or partnership a non-resident of the State of Ohio?

If yes, then did they file with the Secretary of State a power of attorney designating them or their agent or the Secretary of State, as agent, for the purpose of accepting service of summons, in any action in law or equity,

or both, brought in the State of Ohio

This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid

http://www.sos.state.oh.us/

Award recommendation: After evaluation of the bids, I recommend the following contractor be awarded the contract for:

**HCWP Bulk Chemical Building Improvements** Project Name:

Kokosing Construction Co. Recommended Bidder: Contract Amount: 4.549.428.00

Evaluator's Name: Missy Smith 645-3776 **Evaluation Completion Date:** 1/4/2016

**Additional Information for Departments:** 

State of Ohio - Ohio Auditor

This is the link to the State of Ohio Auditor's web site to check for "findings for recovery"

https://ohioauditor.gov/findings.html

Federal - System for Award Management

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Kokosing	\$ 4,549,428.00
Project:	HCWP Bulk Chemical Building Improvements	

This is the link to the Federal System for Awards Management site to check for "findings for recovery"

https://www.sam.gov/portal/SAM/#1

#### City of Columbus

Contact the Purchasing Office if you have a question about the City's list of debarred vendors.

### **State of Ohio Debarment**

This is the link to multiple state agencies that lists debarred companies.

http://ofcc.ohio.gov/Resources/Debarments.aspx

#### OSHA

This is the link to the US Department of Labor's website for OSHA violations

https://www.osha.gov

### City of Columbus Office of Prequalifications

Pre-Qualification Office email: <a href="mailto:prequalification@columbus.gov">prequalification@columbus.gov</a>

Pre-Qualification Office phone no: 645-0359

# TAB 6: U.S. and Ohio EPA Requirements

version: April 28, 2015

PROJ	IECT:	HCWP Bulk Chemical	<b>Building Improven</b>	nents	Bid D	Oate:	December	· 23, 2015
Department Section:	nt/Divisio	Utilities/Water Tech Support / Supply			nt Low Bidder: ent Low Bid :		Koko \$4,549,	-
		Bids from Apparent lowest to higher	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690532-100000	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Man	nager:	Stacia Eckenwiler, P.E.	Kokosing	Adams Rob.				
Engineer's I	Estimate:	\$ 4,054,473.50	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$4,549,428.00	\$5,847,600.00				
			28.53%	-100.00%				
Responsiver	ness Criteria	a, WPCLF/WSRLA Compliance	A "NO" answer wi	Il not necessarily rende	er a bid non-responsive.	Bidder must have all for	orms completed and req	uired information
FORM B12	returned the	ated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and contractor Equal Employment Opportunity fication Form	YES	YES				
FORM B13	returned the	ated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and by US EPA Certification Regarding Debarment, and Other Responsibility Matters Form	YES	YES				
	show it has Enterprises	ated Low Bidder" has provided documentation to met the EPA Disadvantaged Business (DBE) Utilization Goals of 1.2% MBE and 1.8% D," Good Faith Efforts must be documented - see below)	NO	No; Hurt's Trucking only 0.34% of bid.				
FORM B14.2		ated Low Bidder" has provided a completed and EPA Form 6100-3 from each proposed or	NO	YES				
FORM B14.3		ated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and EPA Form 6100-4	YES	YES				
GOOD FAITH EFFORTS	Disadvantagof 1.2% MB	ated Low Bidder" has NOT met the EPA ged Business Enterprise (DBE) Utilization Goals E and 1.8% WBE, so documentation of Good to solicit DBE participation has been provided.	YES	YES				
FORM B15	returned the	ated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and e American Iron and Steel (AIS) gement Form	NO	YES				

LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$4,549,428.00	Manually Enter Amount
LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB 6	Kokosing	Manually Enter Information

Kokosing Construction		Adams Robinson
1	ab 1, Part IA-1: Contract Compliance	
		Kirk Williams Co. inactive.
Tab 1C-h	& Tab 2, Part IIA-a: Statistically Comparab	ole?
	<= Kesponse from Gary Pittman	
	(1/14/16): discrepancies were due to	
2. Some of Kokosing's extended totals	increased material costs of suppliers,	2. 44.23% higher than E.E. &
are much higher than E.E.	which can be unpredictable.	28.5% higher than Kokosing.
Tab 2	2, Part IIA-f & g: Meet Deadlines / Costs?	
A. North East Regional Sewer District	<= Response from Gary Pittman	A. Met costs: 0 out of 3
(2nd reference): contract went from	(1/14/16): \$4M+ in change orders was	B. Met deadlines: 1 out of 3
\$456k to \$4.67M (\$4.2M change	due to a progressive design-build project	t (but only gave month/year)
order)seems odd.	that had large scope changes.	Marked "Yes" for both.
B. All 3 references over budget		
C. Met deadlines: 2 out of 3		
4 pages of C.O. info givennot sure		
which projects they are for.		
	Tab 6, WSRLA Loan Forms:	
Submitted Good Faith Effort		Submitted Good Faith Effort
documentation.		documentation and listed
		"Hurt's Trucking LLC" for MBE
		but only 0.34% of bid total.