# **TAB I:** Assessing Responsiveness

version: April 28, 2015

PROJECT:	Alum Creek Pump Station Imp's			Bid I	May 13			
Department/Division: Section:	Public Utilities/Water Supply		Kokosing Co					
CIP No.	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low  COMPANY NAME	2nd COMPANY NAME	3rd COMPANY NAME	4th COMPANY NAME	5th COMPANY NAME		
Project Manager:	Cynthia Moorhead, P.E.	Kokosing Construction						
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 6,561,000.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount		
\$ 9,775,000.00 -100.00%								

PART IA: F	desponsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for r
а	The bidder <b>is pre-qualified</b> responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES				
b	The bid <b>contains</b> the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) <b>FORM B1</b>	YES				
С	The bidder <b>submitted</b> , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) <b>FORM B10</b>	YES				
d	There is <b>no evidence</b> of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES				
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE				

# **TAB I:** Assessing Responsiveness

version: A	pril 28, 2015						
PF	ROJECT:	Alum Creek Pu	imp Station Imp's		Bid I	May 13	
Departm	ent/Division:	Public Utilities/Water		Initial Appare	nt Low Bidder:		Kokosing Co
Section:		Supply		Initial Appar	ent Low Bid :		\$
		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th
CIP No.		690441-100000	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
			Kokosing				
Project N	/lanager:	Cynthia Moorhead, P.E.	Construction				
Engineer	r's Estimate:	\$ 6,561,000.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 9,775,000.00				
PART IB:	Responsiveness Cr	iteria, Subcontractors (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for r
а		ed a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1) FORM	YES				
b	subcontractors are (329.20)(h)(2) FOI listed, enter "NA"		YES				
С	qualified responsib	bcontractors are listed, the bidder <b>proposed</b> pre- le or provisionally responsible licensed trade 29.20)(h) ( <b>If no licensed trade subcontractors are</b>	YES				
d	work to be subcont	more than one subcontractor for each portion of tracted. (329.20)(h)(5) <b>FORM B6</b>	YES				
е	trade subcontracto responsible. (329.2	affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction rs are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally 20)(h)(3) Form B10 (If no licensed trade re listed, enter "NA")	YES				
f		subcontractors who were currently suspended or y. (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	YES				
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE				
PART IC:	Responsiveness Cr	iteria, Bid Specific (329.23)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for r
а	referenced code se	omit more than one bid for same work. (See ection for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES				
b	Bidder acknowled PAGE, page 2	ged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) BID SIGNATURE	YES				

# **TAB I:** Assessing Responsiveness

Bid **is** complete. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)

	oril 28, 2015	esponsiveness					
PF	PROJECT: Alum Creek Put		mp Station Imp's		Bid	May 13	
	ent/Division:	Public Utilities/Water			nt Low Bidder:		Kokosing Co
Section:		Supply		Initial Appar	ent Low Bid :		\$
		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th
CIP No.		690441-100000	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project N	lanager:	Cynthia Moorhead, P.E.	Kokosing Construction				
Engineer	's Estimate:	\$ 6,561,000.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 9,775,000.00				
С		with technical pre-qualification cification requirements where applicable.	YES				
d	The bid <b>contains</b> invitation for bid. (	no conditions or qualifications not provided in the 329.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES				
е	Bidder added <b>no</b> (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	provisions reserving right to accept or reject award.	YES				
f	B3	a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) <b>FORM B2, FORM</b>	YES				
g	FORM B2, FORM		YES				
h	Bid prices are ma	terially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B4	YES				
i	amount. (329.23)	regularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid (f)(1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES				
j	(329.23)(f)(1)(g)   "NA")	a unit price for each contract item listed, if required.  FORM B4 (If a unit price is not an option, enter	YES				
k		a lump sum price if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(h) p sum is not an option, enter "NA")	YES				
- 1	Bidder has comp (329.23)(f)(1)(k)	<b>lied</b> with section 329.20(h) regarding subcontractors. <b>FORM B6</b>	YES				
m		tain alterations, ommissions, errors, such that it <b>does</b> I documents. $(329.23)(f)(1)(j)$	YES				
n	Bid <b>responds</b> to (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	oid documents in all material respects.	YES				
	_						

YES

# ORD# 1516-2015

# **TAB I:** Assessing Responsiveness

version: April 28, 2015

PROJEC	T: Alum Creek P	Alum Creek Pump Station Imp's			Bid Date:		
Department/Divis	sion: Public Utilities/Water	Initial Apparent			nt Low Bidder:		
Section:	Supply		Initial Appa	rent Low Bid :		\$	
	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th		
CIP No.	690441-100000	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
		Kokosing					
Project Manager	-	Construction					
Engineer's Estim	hate: \$ 6,561,000.00	Initial Bid Amount \$ 9,775,000.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	
	BID IS:						
	ט עום:	RESPONSIVE					
	iveness Criteria, Other	INPUT "YES	5" FOR POSITIVE RESPO	ONSE OR "NO" FOR NEG	GATIVE RESPONSE (all "	yesses" needed for res	
a with the	me contractor <b>is not currently</b> debarred from doing business city by one or more fo the following: the city, state, or federal ment. (see links on Tab 5)	YES					
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE					
PART IE: Responsi	veness Criteria, Contract Compliance	A "no" answer in Pa	rt IE will not necessari		oonsive. Bidder must he execution.	nave a valid contract cor	
3	valuated Low Bidder" has an active contract compliance at the time of bid.	YES					
		In: Lp	last to the state of				
	Bid Responsivness, Waiver Considerations:	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office				
	Proceed to Part II?	YES					
	LOWIST DESPONSIVE DID. TAD I	<b>1.</b>	1	N. B. Garana Har E. Charles			
	LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 9,775,000.00 KOKOSING		Manually Enter Amou	INT		
	LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	Construction	•	Manually Enter Inform	nation		

	_		_	
2	20	17	5	
,	_	, _	•	

9,775,000.00

COMPANY NAME
Initial Bid Amount

esponsive bid)

3, 2015
onstruction
9,775,000.00
9,775,000.00
6th
COMPANY NAME
Initial Did Amazont
Initial Bid Amount
esponsive bid)
osponsivo hid)
esponsive bid)

•	2	n	1	Е
ν,	_	U	4	Э

9,775,000.00

6th
COMPANY NAME
Initial Bid Amount

3, 2015

onstruction 9,775,000.00

6th

**COMPANY NAME** 

**Initial Bid Amount** 

onsive bid)

npliance no. prior to

Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office

Tab 1: 8 of 14

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	Alum Creek Pump Station Imp's		Bid Date:	May 13, 2015
Department/Division:	Public Utilities/Water	Responsive Low B	lidder (from Tab I):	Kokosing Construction
Section:	Supply	Responsive Low	Bid (from Tab I):	\$ 9,775,000.00

CIP No.	690441-100000	COMPANY NAME					
		Kokosing					
Project Manager:	Cynthia Moorhead, P.E.	Construction	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 6,561,000.00	Initial Bid Amount					
		\$ 9,775,000.00	0	0	0	0	0

PART IIA: 3	29.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria	INPUT "	YES" FOR POSITIVE RES	PONSE OR "NO" FOR N	EGATIVE RESPONSE (1	point for each "yes", 0 f	or "no")
a	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction an adequate and appropriate BONDING CAPACITY for the project. FORM B2, FORM B3	YES					
b	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B4, SPECIFICATIONS	NA					
	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B7, SPECIFICATIONS	YES					
	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B7, SPECIFICATIONS	YES					
Δ	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B8,	YES					
f	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B8	YES					
	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B8	YES					
	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B8	YES					
	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B9	YES					
	Responsibility Score:	8	0	0	0	0	0

PART IIB:	Responsibility Criteria - Serious, Willful OSHA Violations	INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (1 point for each "yes", 0 for "no")						
	The bidder has <b>no</b> serious or willful OSHA violations in the past 5	NA						
a	years. (See link in Tab 5)	INA						
	Responsibility Score:	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Total Responsibility Score:	8	0	0	0	0	0	
	Continue to TAB 3?	YES						



TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

PROJECT: Alum Creek Po		ump Station Imp	's	Bid I	Date:	May 13, 2015			
Departme Section:	nt/Division:	Public Utilities/Water Supply	Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I and II): Evaluated Low Bid (from Tabs I and II) :			Kokosing Construction \$ 9,775,000.0			
CIP No. 690441-100000  Project Manager: Cynthia Moorhead, P.E. Engineer's Estimate: \$ 6,561,000.00  PART III: 329.(01)(u) and 329.212 Local Bidder		COMPANY NAME Kokosing Construction Bid Amount \$ 9,775,000.00	NA Bid Amount NA	NA NA Bid Amount NA NA		NA Bid Amount NA	NA Bid Amount NA		
		IS BID LOCAL?	YES						
To look up	if a bidder is local, u	use the Office of Construction Prequalification's lis		X:\Construction Prequa	ification				
step 1		OW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TA  OW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINUADOVE for apparent low bid and bidder information.							
Part IIIB:	T						T		
step 2	Percentage differen	ce of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	0.000%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
step 3	Is amount in prior st BID?	ep WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW	YES	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
step 4	If step 3 is "yes", SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. DID AGENCY FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO CITY CODE SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?								
step 5	INPUT NEW BID AI (\$0)	MOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO							
Part IIIC:									
step 6	REVISED LOW	BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB:	\$ 9,775,000.00		Manually Enter Amoun	ı			
step 7	REVISED LOW	BIDDER, TAB IIIB:	Manually Enter Information						

**TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference** 

	ager: stimate: 17) Environmental nt Low Bid:	Public Utilities/Supply  690441-100000  Cynthia Moorhe \$  Preference	ead, P.E. 6,561,000.00	COMPANY NAME Kokosing Construction Bid Amount \$ 9,775,000.00		(from Tabs I, II and III): rom Tabs I, II and III):  COMPANY NAME  NA	COMPANY NAME	Kokosing C \$ COMPANY NAME	9,775,000  COMPANY NAME
CIP No. Project Mana Engineer's Es	estimate:  17) Environmental ont Low Bid:	690441-100000 Cynthia Moorhe \$ Preference	ead, P.E. 6,561,000.00	Kokosing Construction Bid Amount	COMPANY NAME  NA	COMPANY NAME		COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manag Engineer's Es PART IV: 329.(1	estimate:  17) Environmental ont Low Bid:	Cynthia Moorhe \$ Preference	ead, P.E. 6,561,000.00	Kokosing Construction Bid Amount	NA				
Engineer's Es	estimate:  17) Environmental ont Low Bid:	\$ Preference	6,561,000.00	Construction  Bid Amount		NA	NA	NΛ	NA.
PART IV: 329.(1	17) Environmental nt Low Bid:	Preference		1 1 1	Bid Amount			l IVA	NA
	nt Low Bid:			\$ 9,775,000.00		Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
	nt Low Bid:				NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Apparen		7	9 775 000 00	Apparent Low Bidder:	Kokosina C	onstruction			
			3,773,000.00	Apparent Low Bidder.	Nokosing c	onstruction .			
PART IV: 329.(1	17) Environmental	Preference							
		d low bidder submit "enviro parent low bidder. For all	onmentally preferable" bid? Answer other bidder's, input "NA"	YES					
step 2 Exc		ow bidder, did bidder su	bmit "environmentally preferable"	NA					
step 3 bid, in S	I, then the apparent/ Steps 4-6, complete	evaluated low bidder is lead Step 7, and proceed to Ta							
	he apparent/evaluat I, proceed to Steps (		nit an environmentally preferable						1
		al preference for each bid. bid, for a maximum of \$2	It is up to five percent (5%) of the 0,000 per bid.	0.000%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	eference amount for plied).	each eligible bid (if 0, the	n no environmental preference was	\$ -	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		onmental preference, exce e, both claiming EP cance	pt if both claim enviornmental Is it for both	\$ 9,775,000.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 7	ow Bid Amount:	\$ 9,775,000.00	Low Bidder:	Kokosing Construction					

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

#### TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Kokosing Construction	\$ 9,775,000.00
Project:	Alum Creek Pump Station Imp's	

Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

Bidders	Kokosing Construction		0	0	0		0	0
Bid		0.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
Percent Difference btwn Bid and								
Next Highest Bid	-100.00%							

Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder?

If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h?

If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.

State of Ohio - Ohio Auditor

This is the link to the State of Ohio Auditor's web site to check for "findings for recovery" against a prime/sub contractor.

Are there any findings against the prime contractor or any of the subs?

If yes, the bid shall be deemed non-responsive. Inform the Office of Prequalification for further instruction.

Cross Referenced in Tab 1, Part ID

https://ohioauditor.gov/findings.html

Pre-Qualification Office email:

YES

YES

Pre-Qualification Office phone no: 645-0359

Federal - System for Award Management

This is the link to the Federal System for Awards Management site to check for "findings for recovery" against a prime/sub contractor.

Are there any findings against the prime contractor or any of the subs?

If yes, the bid shall be deemed non-responsive. Inform the Office of Prequalification for further instruction.

Cross Referenced in Tab 1, Part ID

https://www.sam.gov/portal/SAM/#1

prequalification@columbus.gov

Pre-Qualification Office email: prequalification@columbus.gov

645-0359 Pre-Qualification Office phone no:

City of Columbus

Contact the Purchasing Office if you have a question about the City's list of debarred vendors.

Go to the US Department of Labor's web site to see if there are any serious or willful violations by the prime contractor

in the past 5 years (5 years prior to the bid opening date)

Cross Referenced in Tab 2, Part IIB

https://www.osha.gov

Bid Gurantee Requirements

OSHA Violations

Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.

Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?

Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarentee submitted?

YES YES

Bid Bond Letter of Credit Bond on City's bond form LOC on City's LOC form

Surety power of attorney

Most recent surety financial statement

Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance

Certified/Cashier's Check Drawn on a solvant bank

Contract Compliance

Are all subcontractors contract compliant? Required before the contract can be executed.

If there are not any subcontractors, choose N/A.

Is the corporation foreign or the individual or partnership a non-resident of the State of Ohio?

YES

NO

Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp

Tab 5: 12 of 14

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Kokosing Construction	\$ 9,775,000.00
Project:	Alum Creek Pump Station Imp's	
	cretary of State a power of attorney designating them or their agent or the purpose of accepting service of summons, in any action in law or equity,	N/A

This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid

http://www.sos.state.oh.us/

Award recommendation: After evaluation of the bids, I recommend the following contractor be awarded the contract for:

Project Name: Alum Creek Pump Station Imp's

Recommended Bidder: Kokosing Construction Company, Inc.

Contract Amount: \$ 9,775,000.00

Evaluator's Name: Missy Smith
Evaluation Completion Date: 5/18/2015

ORD# 1516-2015 Notes

#### **TAB VII: Notes**

### **Kokosing Construction Company**

# Tab 1, Part 1C-c: Prequalification Reg's?

None other than usual ones.

# Tab 1, Part 1C-h through i: Materially balanced?

Bid is 50% higher than Engineer's Estimate. Marked "Yes".

# Tab 2, Part IIA-b - Statistically Comparable?

Bid is 50% higher than Engineer's Estimate. Marked "NA".

# Tab 2, Part IIA-g: Meeting deadlines/costs:

# of projects meeting costs: 0 out of 3
# of projects meeting schedules: 2 out of 3
Marked "Yes". PM to concur.

# Tab 2, Part IIB: OSHA Violations:

No new violations show on website, however, I belive they had a fatality at an out-of-town project. Checking.

# Tab 5, Subcontractors Contract Compliant?

Marked "Yes" for now; cannot contract with Kokosing until updated.

\*Note: the bid book format was a combination of the old an new bid book. There was not a statement requiring a copy of the bidder's Insurance Statement or Workers' Comp. form.

Tab 7: 14 of 14