From:	Kelsey, Mark
To:	Cofield, Alex A.
Cc:	DeLay, Susan E.; Austin, Patti A.; Bauman, Max A; Bell, Timothy A.; Bowman, Randall; Cordetti, Steven R.; Crabill, Melanie J.; Figley, Russ U.; Gallagher, Jennifer L.; Giffin, Benjamin M.; Johnson, Daniel L.; Lewis, William A.; Ludwig, Rachel D.; Lundine, Mark A.; Miller Jr, Richard A.; O"Callaghan, Timothy L.; Parks, Duane M.; Roberts, Doug; Robinson, Valuise E.; Steege, Jerry K.; Stephens, Thomas H.; Tilton, Rick C.; Wentzel, Steve J.; Zahran, Hassan Y.
Subject:	RE: RECOMMENDATION: UIRF General Engineering 2013 - Professional Services
Date:	Tuesday, January 22, 2013 3:02:18 PM

I approve of the recommended consultant for selection

From: Cofield, Alex A.
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Kelsey, Mark
Cc: DeLay, Susan E.; Austin, Patti A.; Bauman, Max A; Bell, Timothy A.; Bowman, Randall; Cofield, Alex A.; Cordetti, Steven R.; Crabill, Melanie J.; Figley, Russ U.; Gallagher, Jennifer L.; Giffin, Benjamin M.; Johnson, Daniel L.; Lewis, William A.; Ludwig, Rachel D.; Lundine, Mark A.; Miller Jr, Richard A.; O'Callaghan, Timothy L.; Parks, Duane M.; Roberts, Doug; Robinson, Valuise E.; Steege, Jerry K.; Stephens, Thomas H.; Tilton, Rick C.; Wentzel, Steve J.; Zahran, Hassan Y.
Subject: RECOMMENDATION: UIRF General Engineering 2013 - Professional Services

To: Mark Kelsey, Director

Department of Public Service

From: Alex Cofield, Capital Fiscal Manager

Date: January 22, 2013

Subject: UIRF General Engineering 2013 - Professional Services

The Department of Public Service solicited Requests for Proposals for the UIRF - General Engineering 2013 Contract. The amount of the contract shall be $500,000 funded by DOD 2012 CIB funds.

The intent of this project is to provide the Department of Public Service with continuing, contractual access to additional resources that are necessary to perform various professional engineering, survey and technical expertise for the department to implement projects for the Department of Development through the City's Urban Infrastructure Recovery Fund (UIRF) program. The projects developed under this program are typically small to moderate size improvements, and frequently include a significant emphasis on pavement rehabilitation, curb replacement, sidewalks, curb ramps, drainage, street lighting, minor intersection improvements, and other minor rehabilitations and aesthetic improvements as requested.

The project was formally advertised on the Vendor Services web site from December 13, 2012, to January 10, 2013. The city received four (4) responses. All proposals were

deemed responsive and were fully evaluated when the Evaluation Committee met on January 18, 2013. The responding firms were:

CONSULTANT

Sub-consultant Business Enterprise Status Contract Compliance Number Active % OF WORK % MAJ.

% OTH.

RW Armstrong PHC 351062227 Y 50.00% 10.00% MAJ 50.00% PHC 40.00% OTH

Resource International, Inc. FBE 310669793 Y 30.00%

MSKS MAJ 453413259 Y 10.00%

Dynotec, Inc. AFA 311319961 Y 10.00%

ADR & Associates, Ltd. MAJ 31-1499809 Y 50% 55.00% MAJ 45.00% OTH

Smart Services, Inc. not in system 31-1456994 ? 5%

CEC/Utilocate AS1 31-0716498 Y 20%

CTL Engineering, Inc. AS1 31-0680767 Y 5%

Lawhon & Associates, Inc. FBE 31-1153141 Y 6%

AEC ASN 31-1612308 Y 4%

TES MAJ 31-1178383 Y 5%

Faris Planning & Design, LLC FBE 01-0607632 N 5%

CEC AS1 31-0716498 Y 65.00% 20.00% MAJ 80.00% OTH

TES MAJ 31-1178383 Y 5.00%

AEC ASN 31-1612308 Y 5.00%

CTL Engineering, Inc. AS1 31-0680767 Y 5.00%

American Structurepoint MAJ 351127317 Y 15.00%

Edsall & Associates FBE 311022019 Y 5.00%

Patrick Engineering MAJ 363008281 Y 40.00% 70.00% MAJ 30.00% OTH

Stantec Consulting Services MAJ 112167170 Y 30.00%

Prime Engineering, Inc. AS1 260546656 Y 25.00%

DHDC Eng. Consulting Services AS1 320376762 Y 5.00%

This RFP Evaluation Committee included three (3) voting members from the Department of Public Service – Jennifer Gallagher, Hassan Zahran and Patti Austin. Non-voting members, but attendees, included Alex Cofield and Duane Parks.

RW Armstrong received 86.33 points from the Evaluation Committee (out of a possible 100). The next closest firm was CEC with 83.33 points.

The following is a list of the results of the Evaluation Committee's assessment of the four evaluated proposals for your final selection.

RW Armstrong 86.33

CEC 83.33

ADR & Associates, Ltd. 78.67

Patrick Engineering 78.33

The Committee would like to submit RW Armstrong for the Director's review and recommendation. The Committee felt that RW Armstrong submitted the strongest overall proposal for the following reasons:

1. RW Armstrong discussed bike and pedestrian approaches to potential tasks.

2. RW Armstrong detailed how they would use their subcontractors throughout the tasks.

3. RW Armstrong has experiences with general engineering contracts at City of Columbus and other government agencies.

4. RW Armstrong provided detailed public outreach strategies.

5. RW Armstrong offered a strong project manager and team.

Per City Code 329.14(h), please provide direction for proceeding on this project by replying to this e-mail. Four options include:

1. Approve of the committee's recommendation, RW Armstrong

2. Reject the committee's recommendations and designate a firm

3. Call for an oral presentation of the three highest scoring firms

4. Other

Alex Cofield

Capital Fiscal Manager

City of Columbus

Department of Public Service

Office of Support Services

109 N. Front St. Ground Floor

Columbus, Ohio 43215

(p) 614-645-1557

(f) 614-645-7549

aacofield@columbus.gov