

**From:** Hupp, David E  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 16, 2006 8:06 AM  
**To:** Snedaker, Steven F; Austin-Palmer, Mary  
**Cc:** Elswick, Sandy; Slater, Brett  
**Subject:** RE: 1966-2006

The practice up to this point has been DPU orders, receives, and stores the PC's, (since these are purchased with DPU funds we are responsible for them) then supplies a list of users that need upgraded to our ITAM. We then supply desktop support with a small amount at a time (based on DoT's schedule) for imaging and deployment.

DoT was given the list of upgrades needed for 2006 (excluding DOSD) at the beginning of the year. We have always been instructed to work through our ITAM and not directly with DoT staff.

---

**From:** Snedaker, Steven F  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 16, 2006 7:37 AM  
**To:** Austin-Palmer, Mary  
**Cc:** Hupp, David E; Elswick, Sandy; Slater, Brett  
**Subject:** RE: 1966-2006

I have to let Dave answer this, I believe Dave, Sandy others have routinely worked this out. As I have said before we buy, DOT installs. The work is planned and coordinated.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Austin-Palmer, Mary  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 15, 2006 4:48 PM  
**To:** Snedaker, Steven F  
**Cc:** Hupp, David E; Elswick, Sandy; Slater, Brett  
**Subject:** RE: 1966-2006

Steve,

I want to make sure that there is a plan in place to receive and deploy 225 PCs. Such as who from DOS is working with Brett Slater and Sandy Elswick on this order. I want coordination in place especially with large orders. We all need to know: Where will they be delivered and housed for setup? What stations each will be assigned? How will they be deployed for use?

I need confirmation.  
Mary

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Snedaker, Steven F  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 15, 2006 2:08 PM  
**To:** Snedaker, Steven F; Austin-Palmer, Mary; Gussler, Suzanna  
**Cc:** Hupp, David E  
**Subject:** RE: 1966-2006

This is still in the system. If we send it back to DOT will it be approved?

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Snedaker, Steven F  
**Sent:** Monday, November 13, 2006 12:43 PM  
**To:** Austin-Palmer, Mary; Gussler, Suzanna  
**Cc:** Hupp, David E  
**Subject:** 1966-2006

Scott Wilson provides the following background for 1966-2006

Since Finance rejected the original legislation of \$300,000 for all our equipment we asked Joe Lombardi to break it up into two separate pieces which DoT said they would support.

From that Joe wrote two separate requests:

- 1) for \$70,000 to purchase blade servers to replace the existing flock of out-of-date and rapidly failing servers with blade servers (already on the agenda).
- 2) this legislation to spend \$225,000 on the 2006 PC Refresh for Fairwood and the plants (non-SCADA PCs).

We intentionally held off the DOSD refresh because we wanted to wait DoT had control over all Utility desktops so they could install the new machines with their standardized image. At about \$1,000 per PC, this will buy 225 machines. This will cover the DOSD 2006 refresh and allow updates to the plants and other key SPL users because their PCs have been especially slowed down by the DoT anti-virus updates earlier this year.

That enough info?

Scott