

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.**

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u>	<u>City/State</u>	<u>Status</u>
EMH&T, Inc.	31-0685594 – 9/21/11	Columbus, OH	MAJ
Camp Dresser & McKee	04-2473650 – 4/23/12	Columbus, OH	MAJ
Woolpert LLP	20-1391406 – 6/17/13	Columbus, OH	MAJ
Burgess & Niple, Ltd.	31-0885550 – 11/26/12	Columbus, OH	MAJ

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid). RFSQ, RFP**

3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**

1. EMH&T, Inc.
2. Camp Dresser & McKee
3. Woolpert LLP
4. Burgess & Niple, Limited

4. **The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm awarded the original contract.**

EMH&T, Inc.
5500 New Albany Road
Columbus, Ohio 43054
Travis J. Eifert, P.E., Director of Urban Design, (614) 775-4615

5. **A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.**

Design Report completed.
Final Plans completed (except for additional alley storm sewer).
Easement acquisition completed.
Work remaining: prepare alley storm sewer plans, prepare OEPA/ACOE Section 401 Permitting and Mitigation Plan, prepare bid/contract documents, prepare record plans upon construction completion.

6. **An updated contract timeline to contract completion.**

Bid Advertisement – November 2011
Bid Opening – December 2011
NTP – January 2012
Construction Completion – January 2014

7. A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.

Public meetings to familiarize area residents with the project and solicit their input were held on April 1, 1999 and February 22, 2001. Additionally, the City presented the project to North Central Area Commission on April 25, 2002 and November 20, 2003. Department of Public Service also held a public meeting a few years ago. The project will provide street and structural flood mitigation for the project area residents, as well as new street, stormwater and water utility infrastructure.

8. A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)

Original CT, XC820031, \$551,658.68, (Group 8A total)

Mod. No. 1, EL003256, \$227,043.70, Linden Ave.

Mod. No. 2, EL006130, \$49,111.00, Linden Ave.

Mod. No. 3, EL008088, \$400,000.00, Joyce/Hudson Street Imp's (DPS)

Mod. No.4 (current), \$125,771.30, Linden Ave.

9. A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

The work covered by this modification includes an additional plan preparation for an alley storm sewer design, Section 401 permitting and mitigation plan, record plan preparation and post construction stream monitoring.

10. If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

A previously unknown flooding problem was recently brought to the City's attention by a resident; a decision was made to add this new work to the plans. The original scope did not include record plan preparation, as this was not a standard practice at the time of the original contract. Also, changes in federal and state regulatory standards and rules necessitate a much more extensive permit preparation work.

11. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

The work to be funded by this modification is to revise existing plans prepared within the original contract, and, as such, must be performed by the original engineer of record.

12. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

Original Contract Amount	\$ 551,658.68
Mod. No. 1	\$ 227,043.70
Mod. No. 2	\$ 49,111.00
Mod. No. 3	\$ 400,000.00
Mod. No. 4	\$ 125,771.30 (this Modification)
TOTAL	\$1,353,584.68 (including this Mod)

No future Modifications are anticipated.

13. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.

Price quotes for the additional design services under this modification were requested by the Division of Sewerage and Drainage, prepared by the design engineer, and were subsequently reviewed by Division personnel and deemed to be appropriate.

14. Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR).

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u>	<u>Status</u>
N/A		

15. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

N/A