

**Instructions for Construction Bid Evaluations**  
**Effective January 1, 2015**

1. Read and become knowledgeable of the requirements of Columbus City Code section 329 as it pertains to construction advertising, evaluation, and contracting.

Here is a link to City Code [Columbus City Code](#)

As of 1/23/15, Municode is not up to date with the new code language for Section 329.  
Referer to the attachment in Ord. 2612-2014 until Municode is updated

2. Enter information into the cells that are blank and grey. Do not enter info to cells that are blank and white as there may be a formula in that cell.

3. If you have questions about using the spreadsheet, contact Suzanna Gussler or Alex Cofield.

4. If you print the workbook in its entirety from the print screen as opposed to printing each worksheet separately ("active sheets"), you will print this page and the evaluation will begin on page 2 and go through page 13. If you print each workbook separately, the page numbering is different.

Construction Contract Evaluation Spreadsheets per Columbus City Code Section 329

**TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness**

version: February 27, 2015

<b>PROJECT:</b>	<b>SWWTP Biosolids Land Application Facility Contract S84</b>	<b>Bid Date:</b>	<b>May 6</b>
-----------------	---	------------------	--------------

<b>Department/Division:</b>	<b>Public Utilities</b>	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bidder:</b>	<b>Kok</b>
<b>Section:</b>	<b>Treatment Engineering</b>	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bid :</b>	<b>\$</b>

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th
<b>CIP No.</b>	<b>650356-100002</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>
<b>Project Manager:</b>	<b>Troy Branson</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>	<b>Kenmore</b>	<b>C.J. Mahan</b>		
<b>Engineer's Estimate:</b>	<b>\$ 41,755,480.00</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>
		<b>\$ 42,997,350.00</b>	<b>\$ 45,841,300.00</b>	<b>\$ 49,689,752.00</b>		
		<b>6.61%</b>	<b>8.40%</b>	<b>-100.00%</b>		

PART IA: Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for r				
<b>a</b>	The bidder is <b>pre-qualified</b> responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
<b>b</b>	The bid <b>contains</b> the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) <b>FORM B1</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
<b>c</b>	The bidder <b>submitted</b> , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) <b>FORM B10</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
<b>d</b>	There is <b>no evidence</b> of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
	<b>BID IS:</b>	<b>RESPONSIVE</b>	<b>RESPONSIVE</b>	<b>RESPONSIVE</b>		

Construction Contract Evaluation Spreadsheets per Columbus City Code Section 329

**TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness**

version: February 27, 2015

<b>PROJECT:</b>	<b>SWWTP Biosolids Land Application Facility Contract S84</b>	<b>Bid Date:</b>	<b>May 6</b>
-----------------	---	------------------	--------------

<b>Department/Division:</b>	<b>Public Utilities</b>	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bidder:</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>
<b>Section:</b>	<b>Treatment Engineering</b>	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bid :</b>	<b>\$</b>

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th
<b>CIP No.</b>	<b>650356-100002</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>
<b>Project Manager:</b>	<b>Troy Branson</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>	<b>Kenmore</b>	<b>C.J. Mahan</b>		
<b>Engineer's Estimate:</b>	<b>\$ 41,755,480.00</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>
		<b>\$ 42,997,350.00</b>	<b>\$ 45,841,300.00</b>	<b>\$ 49,689,752.00</b>		
<b>PART IB: Responsiveness Criteria, Subcontractors (329.20)</b>		<b>INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for r</b>				
<b>a</b>	The bidder <b>provided a list of subcontractors</b> with bid or indicated there were not any subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1) <b>FORM B6</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
<b>b</b>	If licensed trade subcontractors are listed, Bidder <b>indicated</b> which subcontractors are licensed construction trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) <b>FORM B6 (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
<b>c</b>	If licensed trade subcontractors are listed, the bidder <b>proposed</b> pre-qualified responsible or provisionally responsible licensed trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h) <b>(If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
<b>d</b>	Bidder <b>did not</b> bid more than one subcontractor for each portion of work to be subcontracted. (329.20)(h)(5) <b>FORM B6</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
<b>e</b>	Bidder <b>stated, via affidavit</b> , that its proposed licensed construction trade subcontractors are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(h)(3) <b>Form B10 (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
<b>f</b>	Bidder <b>did not</b> bid subcontractors who were currently suspended or debarred by the city. (329.20)(h)(4) <b>(see links on Tab 5)</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
	<b>BID IS:</b>	<b>RESPONSIVE</b>	<b>RESPONSIVE</b>	<b>RESPONSIVE</b>		

<b>PART IC: Responsiveness Criteria, Bid Specific (329.23)</b>		<b>INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for r</b>				
<b>a</b>	Bidder <b>did not</b> submit more than one bid for same work. (See referenced code section for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
<b>b</b>	Bidder <b>acknowledged</b> addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) <b>BID SIGNATURE PAGE, page 2</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		

Construction Contract Evaluation Spreadsheets per Columbus City Code Section 329

**TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness**

version: February 27, 2015

<b>PROJECT:</b>	<b>SWWTP Biosolids Land Application Facility Contract S84</b>	<b>Bid Date:</b>	<b>May 6</b>
-----------------	---	------------------	--------------

<b>Department/Division:</b>	<b>Public Utilities</b>	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bidder:</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>
<b>Section:</b>	<b>Treatment Engineering</b>	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bid :</b>	<b>\$</b>

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th
<b>CIP No.</b>	<b>650356-100002</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>
<b>Project Manager:</b>	<b>Troy Branson</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>	<b>Kenmore</b>	<b>C.J. Mahan</b>		
<b>Engineer's Estimate:</b>	<b>\$ 41,755,480.00</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>
		<b>\$ 42,997,350.00</b>	<b>\$ 45,841,300.00</b>	<b>\$ 49,689,752.00</b>		
<b>c</b>	Bidder <b>complied</b> with technical pre-qualification requirements/specification requirements where applicable. (329.23)(f)(1)(c)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
<b>d</b>	The bid <b>contains</b> no conditions or qualifications not provided in the invitation for bid. (329.23)(f)(1)(d)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
<b>e</b>	Bidder added <b>no</b> provisions reserving right to accept or reject award. (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
<b>f</b>	Bidder <b>submitted</b> a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) <b>FORM B2, FORM B3</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
<b>g</b>	Bidder <b>did not submit</b> an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) <b>FORM B2, FORM B3</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
<b>h</b>	Bid prices <b>are</b> materially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) <b>FORM B4</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
<b>i</b>	Bid contains <b>no</b> irregularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid amount. (329.23)(f)(1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
<b>j</b>	Bidder <b>submitted</b> a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(g) <b>FORM B4 (If a unit price is not an option, enter "NA")</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>		
<b>k</b>	Bidder <b>submitted</b> a lump sum price if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(h) <b>FORM B4 (If lump sum is not an option, enter "NA")</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
<b>l</b>	Bidder <b>has complied</b> with section 329.20(h) regarding subcontractors. (329.23)(f)(1)(k) <b>FORM B6</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
<b>m</b>	Bid <b>does not</b> contain alterations, omissions, errors, such that it <b>does</b> respond to the bid documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
<b>n</b>	Bid <b>responds</b> to bid documents in all material respects. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
<b>o</b>	Bid <b>is</b> complete. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
	<b>BID IS:</b>	<b>RESPONSIVE</b>	<b>RESPONSIVE</b>	<b>RESPONSIVE</b>		

**TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness**

version: February 27, 2015

<b>PROJECT:</b>	<b>SWWTP Biosolids Land Application Facility Contract S84</b>	<b>Bid Date:</b>	<b>May 6</b>
-----------------	---	------------------	--------------

<b>Department/Division:</b>	<b>Public Utilities</b>	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bidder:</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>
<b>Section:</b>	<b>Treatment Engineering</b>	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bid :</b>	<b>\$</b>

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th
<b>CIP No.</b>	<b>650356-100002</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>
<b>Project Manager:</b>	<b>Troy Branson</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>	<b>Kenmore</b>	<b>C.J. Mahan</b>		
<b>Engineer's Estimate:</b>	<b>\$ 41,755,480.00</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>
		<b>\$ 42,997,350.00</b>	<b>\$ 45,841,300.00</b>	<b>\$ 49,689,752.00</b>		

PART ID: Responsiveness Criteria, Other		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yesses" needed for resp				
<b>a</b>	The prime contractor is <b>not currently</b> debarred from doing business with the city by one or more fo the following: the city, state, or federal government. (see links on Tab 5)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		
	<b>BID IS:</b>	<b>RESPONSIVE</b>	<b>RESPONSIVE</b>	<b>RESPONSIVE</b>		

PART IE: Responsiveness Criteria, Contract Compliance		A "no" answer in Part IE will not necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have a valid contract con				
<b>a</b>	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has an active contract compliance number at the time of bid.	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		

<b>Bid Responsivness, Waiver Considerations:</b>	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office
<b>Proceed to Part II?</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>		

<b>LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I</b>	<b>\$ 42,997,350.00</b>	← <b>Manually Enter Amount</b>
<b>LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>	← <b>Manually Enter Information</b>

, 2015

osing  
42,997,350.00

6th
COMPANY NAME
Initial Bid Amount

responsive bid)

Construction Contract Evaluation Spreadsheets per Columbus City Code Section 329

, 2015

osing

42,997,350.00

6th

COMPANY NAME

Initial Bid Amount

responsive bid)

responsive bid)

, 2015

osing

42,997,350.00

6th

COMPANY NAME

Initial Bid Amount



, 2015

osing

42,997,350.00

6th

COMPANY NAME

Initial Bid Amount

onsive bid)

pliance no. prior to

Waiver Legislation  
Required to Contract;  
Contact Fiscal Office

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

<b>PROJECT:</b>	<b>SWWTP Biosolids Land Application Facility Contract S84</b>	<b>Bid Date:</b>	<b>May 6, 2015</b>
-----------------	---	------------------	--------------------

<b>Department/Division:</b>	<b>Public Utilities</b>	<b>Responsive Low Bidder (from Tab I):</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>
<b>Section:</b>	<b>Treatment Engineering</b>	<b>Responsive Low Bid (from Tab I):</b>	<b>\$ 42,997,350.00</b>

<b>CIP No.</b>	<b>650356-100002</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>
<b>Project Manager:</b>	<b>Troy Branson</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>	<b>Kenmore</b>	<b>C.J. Mahan</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>
<b>Engineer's Estimate:</b>	<b>\$ 41,755,480.00</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>
		<b>\$ 42,997,350.00</b>	<b>\$ 45,841,300.00</b>	<b>\$ 49,689,752.00</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>PART IIA: 329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria</b>		<b>INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (1 point for each "yes", 0 for "no")</b>					
<b>a</b>	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction an adequate and appropriate BONDING CAPACITY for the project. <b>FORM B2, FORM B3</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>b</b>	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). <b>FORM B4, SPECIFICATIONS</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>c</b>	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. <b>FORM B7, SPECIFICATIONS</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>d</b>	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. <b>FORM B7, SPECIFICATIONS</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>e</b>	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. <b>FORM B8,</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>f</b>	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. <b>FORM B8</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>g</b>	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. <b>FORM B8</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>h</b>	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. <b>FORM B8</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>i</b>	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. <b>FORM B9</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>Responsibility Score:</b>		<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>PART IIB: Responsibility Criteria - Serious, Willful OSHA Violations</b>		<b>INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (1 point for each "yes", 0 for "no")</b>					
<b>a</b>	The bidder has no serious or willful OSHA violations. (See link in Tab 5)	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			
<b>Responsibility Score:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Total Responsibility Score:</b>		<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Continue to TAB 3?</b>		<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>			

↑  
Manually Enter Information

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

<b>PROJECT:</b>	<b>SWWTP Biosolids Land Application Facility Contract S84</b>	<b>Bid Date:</b>	<b>May 6, 2015</b>
-----------------	---	------------------	--------------------

<b>Department/Division:</b>	<b>Public Utilities</b>	<b>Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I and II):</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>
<b>Section:</b>	<b>Treatment Engineering</b>	<b>Evaluated Low Bid (from Tabs I and II) :</b>	<b>\$ 42,997,350.00</b>

CIP No.	650356-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
<b>Project Manager:</b>	<b>Troy Branson</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>	<b>Kenmore</b>	<b>C.J. Mahan</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>
<b>Engineer's Estimate:</b>	<b>\$ 41,755,480.00</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>
		<b>\$ 42,997,350.00</b>	<b>\$ 45,841,300.00</b>	<b>\$ 49,689,752.00</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>
<b>PART III: 329.(01)(u) and 329.212 Local Bidder</b>							

<b>IS BID LOCAL?</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>			
----------------------	------------	------------	-----------	--	--	--

To look up if a bidder is local, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's list located at <X:\Construction Prequalification>

<b>PART IIIA:</b>	
<b>step 1</b>	<p>IF <b>APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL</b>, STOP. Skip steps 2-5 and enter data in Steps 6 &amp; 7 and continue to TAB IV.</p> <p>IF <b>APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL</b>, CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information)</p>

<b>Part IIIB:</b>							
<b>step 2</b>	Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	<b>0.000%</b>	<b>6.614%</b>	<b>15.565%</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>
<b>step 3</b>	Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW BID?	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>
<b>step 4</b>	If <b>step 3</b> is "yes", SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. DID AGENCY FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO CITY CODE SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?						
<b>step 5</b>	INPUT NEW BID AMOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO (\$0)						

<b>Part IIIC:</b>	
<b>step 6</b>	<p><b>REVISED LOW BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB:</b></p> <p><b>\$ 42,997,350.00</b></p>
<b>step 7</b>	<p><b>REVISED LOW BIDDER, TAB IIIB:</b></p> <p><b>Kokosing</b></p>

← Manually Enter Amount

← Manually Enter Information

**TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference**

<b>PROJECT:</b>	<b>SWWTP Biosolids Land Application Facility Contract S84</b>	<b>Bid Date:</b>	<b>May 6, 2015</b>
<b>Department/Division:</b>	<b>Public Utilities</b>	<b>Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I, II and III):</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>
<b>Section:</b>	<b>Treatment Engineering</b>	<b>Evaluated Low Bid (from Tabs I, II and III) :</b>	<b>\$ 42,997,350</b>

<b>CIP No.</b>	<b>650356-100002</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>
<b>Project Manager:</b>	<b>Troy Branson</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>	<b>Kenmore</b>	<b>C.J. Mahan</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>
<b>Engineer's Estimate:</b>	<b>\$ 41,755,480.00</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>	<b>Bid Amount</b>
		<b>\$ 42,997,350.00</b>	<b>\$ 45,841,300.00</b>	<b>\$ 49,689,752.00</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>
<b>PART IV: 329.(17) Environmental Preference</b>							
<b>Apparent Low Bid:</b>	<b>\$ 42,997,350.00</b>	<b>Apparent Low Bidder:</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>				

<b>PART IV: 329.(17) Environmental Preference</b>							
<b>step 1</b>	Did apparent/evaluated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer "YES" or "NO" for apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA"	<b>YES</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>			
<b>step 2</b>	Excluding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid?		<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>			
<b>step 3</b>	<b>STOP. If apparent/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bid, then the apparent/evaluated low bidder is lead bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data in Steps 4-6, complete Step 7, and proceed to Tab 5.</b> <b>If the apparent/evaluated low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bid, proceed to Steps 6 and 7.</b>						
<b>step 4</b>	Calculate environmental preference for each bid. It is up to five percent (5%) of the non-environmental low bid, for a maximum of \$20,000 per bid.	<b>0.000%</b>	<b>6.614%</b>	<b>15.565%</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>
<b>step 5</b>	Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied).	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 20,000.00</b>	<b>\$ 20,000.00</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>
<b>step 6</b>	Bid amount less environmental preference, except if both claim environmental preference; in that case, both claiming EP cancels it for both	<b>\$ 42,997,350.00</b>	<b>\$ 45,821,300.00</b>	<b>\$ 49,669,752.00</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>
<b>step 7</b>	<b>Low Bid Amount:</b> <b>\$ 42,997,350.00</b>	<b>Low Bidder:</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>				

↑  
Manually Enter Amount

↑  
Manually Enter Information

**TAB V. Miscellaneous**

<b>Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>	<b>\$ 42,997,350.00</b>
<b>Project:</b>	<b>SWWTP Biosolids Land Application Facility Contract S84</b>	

**Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)**

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

<b>Bidders</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>	<b>Kenmore</b>	<b>C.J. Mahan</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Bid</b>	<b>\$ 42,997,350.00</b>	<b>\$ 45,841,300.00</b>	<b>\$ 49,689,752.00</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
<b>Percent Difference btwn Bid and Next Highest Bid</b>	<b>6.61%</b>	<b>8.40%</b>	<b>-100.00%</b>			

Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder?

If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h?

If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.

YES
YES

**State of Ohio - Ohio Auditor**

This is the link to the State of Ohio Auditor's web site to check for "findings for recovery" against a prime/sub contractor.

<https://ohioauditor.gov/findings.html>

**Are there any findings against the prime contractor or any of the subs?**

Pre-Qualification Office email:

[prequalification@columbus.gov](mailto:prequalification@columbus.gov)

If yes, the bid shall be deemed non-responsive. Inform the Office of Prequalification for further instruction.

Pre-Qualification Office phone no:

645-0359

**Cross Referenced in Tab 1, Part ID**

**Federal - System for Award Management**

This is the link to the Federal System for Awards Management site to check for "findings for recovery" against a prime/sub contractor.

<https://www.sam.gov/portal/SAM/#1>

**Are there any findings against the prime contractor or any of the subs?**

Pre-Qualification Office email:

[prequalification@columbus.gov](mailto:prequalification@columbus.gov)

If yes, the bid shall be deemed non-responsive. Inform the Office of Prequalification for further instruction.

Pre-Qualification Office phone no:

645-0359

**Cross Referenced in Tab 1, Part ID**

**City of Columbus**

Contact the Purchasing Office if you have a question about the City's list of debarred vendors.

**OSHA Violations**

Go to the US Department of Labor's web site to see if there are any serious or willful violations by the prime contractor

<https://www.osha.gov>

**Cross Referenced in Tab 2, Part IIB**

**Bid Gurantee Requirements**

**Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.**

Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?

YES
YES

Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarantee submitted?

Bid Bond

Letter of Credit

Certified/Cashier's Check

Bond on City's bond form

LOC on City's LOC form

Drawn on a solvent bank

Surety power of attorney

Most recent surety financial statement

Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance

<b>Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>	<b>\$ 42,997,350.00</b>
<b>Project:</b>	<b>SWWTP Biosolids Land Application Facility Contract S84</b>	

<b>Contract Compliance</b>	Are all subcontractors contract compliant? Required before the contract can be executed. If there are not any subcontractors, choose N/A.	NO
<b>Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp</b>	Is the corporation foreign or the individual or partnership a non-resident of the State of Ohio?	NO
	If yes, then did they file with the Secretary of State a power of attorney designating them or their agent or the Secretary of State, as agent, for the purpose of accepting service of summons, in any action in law or equity, or both, brought in the State of Ohio	N/A

L

**Award recommendation:** After evaluation of the bids, I recommend the following contractor be awarded the contract for:

<b>Project Name:</b>	<b>SWWTP Biosolids Land Application Facility Contract S84</b>
<b>Recommended Bidder:</b>	<b>Kokosing Construction Co.</b>
<b>Contract Amount:</b>	<b>\$ 42,997,350.00</b>

Evaluator's Name:	Mike Pettiford
Evaluation Completion Date:	5/7/2015

Construction Contract Evaluation Spreadsheets per Columbus City Code Section 329

**TAB 6: U.S. and Ohio EPA Requirements**

version: April 28, 2015

<b>PROJECT:</b>	<b>SWWTP Biosolids Land Application Facility Contract Contract S84</b>	<b>Bid Date:</b>	<b>May 6</b>
<b>Department/Division:</b>	<b>Public Utilities</b>	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bidder:</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>
<b>Section:</b>	<b>Treatment Engineering</b>	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bid :</b>	<b>\$</b>

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th
<b>CIP No.</b>	<b>650356-100002</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>
<b>Project Manager:</b>	<b>Troy Branson</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>	<b>Kenmore</b>	<b>C. J. Mahan</b>		
<b>Engineer's Estimate:</b>	<b>\$ 41,755,480.00</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>
		<b>\$ 42,997,350.00</b>	<b>\$ 45,841,300.00</b>	<b>\$ 49,689,752.00</b>		
		<b>6.61%</b>	<b>8.40%</b>	<b>-100.00%</b>		
<b>Responsiveness Criteria, WPCLF/WSRLA Compliance</b>		A "NO" answer will not necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have all forms completed and req submitted prior to contract execution. Delay in providing this information may result in delay of loan award and aff				
<b>FORM B12</b>	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the Contractor Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Certification Form	<b>YES</b>				
<b>FORM B13</b>	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the US EPA Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters Form	<b>YES</b>				
<b>FORM B14.3</b>	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned US EPA Form 6100-4	<b>YES</b>				
<b>FORM B14.2</b>	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has provided a completed and signed US EPA Form 6100-3 from each proposed subcontractor	<b>YES</b>				
<b>FORM B14.1</b>	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has provided documentation to show it has met the EPA Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBE) Utilization Goals of 1.2% MBE and 1.8% WBE (if "NO," Good Faith Efforts must be documented - see immediately below)	<b>YES</b>				
<b>GOOD FAITH EFFORTS</b>	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has NOT met the EPA Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Utilization Goals of 1.2% MBE and 1.8% WBE, so documentation of Good Faith Efforts to solicit DBE participation has been provided	<b>NA</b>				
<b>FORM B15</b>	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the American Iron and Steel (AIS) Acknowledgement Form	<b>YES</b>				

**TAB 6: U.S. and Ohio EPA Requirements**

version: April 28, 2015

<b>PROJECT:</b>	<b>SWWTP Biosolids Land Application Facility Contract Contract S84</b>	<b>Bid Date:</b>	<b>May 6</b>
-----------------	--	------------------	--------------

<b>Department/Division:</b>	<b>Public Utilities</b>	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bidder:</b>	<b>Kokc</b>
<b>Section:</b>	<b>Treatment Engineering</b>	<b>Initial Apparent Low Bid :</b>	<b>\$</b>

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th
<b>CIP No.</b>	<b>650356-100002</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>COMPANY NAME</b>
<b>Project Manager:</b>	<b>Troy Branson</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>	<b>Kenmore</b>	<b>C. J. Mahan</b>		
<b>Engineer's Estimate:</b>	<b>\$ 41,755,480.00</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>	<b>Initial Bid Amount</b>
		<b>\$ 42,997,350.00</b>	<b>\$ 45,841,300.00</b>	<b>\$ 49,689,752.00</b>		

<b>LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB 6</b>	<b>Kokosing</b>	← Manually Enter Information
--	-----------------	------------------------------



, 2015

osing

42,997,350.00

6th

COMPANY NAME

Initial Bid Amount

Required information  
to complete project schedule.

, 2015

osing

42,997,350.00

6th

COMPANY NAME

Initial Bid Amount

## **TAB VII: Notes**

On this page, include any notes or clarification from phone calls made. Be sure to include the company you called, who you spoke with, date, time, question asked, outcome of call, and name of person who made the call.

Include any notes or clarifications from research done.

### OSHA Search Results

#### **Kokosing Construction**

1.) 27 sightings listed between 05/19 /10 - 05/08/2015

2.) 3 sightings listed as Serious

**3.) Note - Kokosing had a fatality on bridge work in Cincinnati, Ohio 01/19/2015**

#### **Kenmore**

1.) 2 sightings

#### **C.J. Mahan**

1.) 3 sightings listed

2.) 1 sighting included a body injury

**TAB VI: DEPARTMENT SPECIFIC CRITERIA**

**Instructions:**

If you have additional questions/documents that you require with your bids that are department specific, please create your own evaluation worksheet here.

Please follow the format of the previous tabs. If you need assistance, please contact Suzanna Gussler or Alex Cofield.