<u>Information to be included in all Legislation authorizing</u> <u>Entering into Contracts:</u> Not Applicable – this legislation is to modify a contract.

The names of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ

The location by City and State of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ

The status, Majority, MBE or FBE, of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ

A full description of all work to be performed including a full description of work to be performed during any known phasing of the contract.

A narrative timeline for the contract including a beginning date, beginning and ending dates for known phases of the contract and a projected ending date.

An estimate of the full estimated cost of the Contract including a separate estimate of any and all phases or proposed future contract modifications.

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying Contracts:

<u>The names of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.</u> A total of two firms submitted Statements of Qualifications (SOQ's) URS Corporation and Malcolm Pirnie. Both firms were invited to submit detailed technical proposals.

The location by City and State of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

Both firms proposed to manage the work out of their offices based in Columbus, Ohio.

The status, Majority, MBE, FBE, of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

Both companies submitting qualifications and proposals for the original contract were Majority owned businesses.

The name and location of the firm awarded the original contract and the Contract Number. URS Corporation, 277 West Nationwide Blvd., Columbus, OH 43215 Contract # EA025198-002 & #EL 004639

A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.

See attached document "SCH I Project Scope" and "MODIFICATION" for work performed during current contract(s). See attached document "Request_for_Mod 8 23 06" for work proposed to be performed with this contract modification. An updated contract timeline to contract completion. See attached document "Sch_9_12_06"

A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately)

See attached document "MODIFICATION" for work performed during current contract modification.

A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation) See attached document "Request_for_Mod 8 23 06"

If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

Project was delayed by the City as follows: The City placed work on Collector Wells 106, 201, 202 and Vertical Wells 251, 252 and 253 on hold in August 2003 at approximately the 70% submittal stage to allow for the completion of the Parsons Avenue Water Plant (PAWP) Concept Plan, which evaluated the impacts of gravel/rock mining on the PAWP well field. That Plan has been completed and delivered to the City in April 2006. Also, CW-106 was put on hold due to acquisition of additional property to satisfy OEPA isolation distance requirements. Additionally, final designs for Collector Wells 201 and 202 and Vertical Wells 251, 252 and 253 were put on hold due to ODOT US23/easement acquisition issues. The City now wishes to finalize the Collector/Vertical well designs and proceed with the bidding and construction phases of the project. Since many of the Engineering Services are going to be performed 3 to 4 years later than originally anticipated, direct salaries and expenses need to be increased to account for the average inflation during this period. Refer to attached document "Request_for_Mod 8 23 06" for additional information.

An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation)

URS Consultants has completed a significant portion of the design for this project and has also completed the additional study entitled Parsons Avenue Water Plant Concept Plan. Their staff is already familiar with the project and can perform the remaining services without lag time needed to educate a new consultant. The current contract is \$1,632,592 with a proposed modification of \$153,130 which represents an 8.5% increase in total project cost. This cost would be significantly higher if a new consulting firm was brought on board. Selecting another consulting firm through the requirement of ccc 329 would create a long delay in completing the project.

A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (List each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost. CONTRACT AMOUNT: Modification No.1 Modification No.2 New contract amount

| \$422,592.00 |
|---------------------|
| <u>\$153,130.00</u> |
| \$1,785,722.00 |

Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline. This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.

4/28/05