

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSO.**

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u>	<u>City/State</u>	<u>Status</u>
BBS (now CH2M Hill, Inc.)	59-0918189: 5/11/12	Columbus, OH	MAJ
Malcolm Pirnie	13-2653703: 3/09/12	Columbus, OH	MAJ
Burgess & Niple	31-0885550: 11/26/12	Columbus, OH	MAJ
CDM	04-2473650: 4/23/12	Columbus, OH	MAJ
DLZ	31-1268980: 3/10/11	Columbus, OH	MBR
URS	34-0939859: 8/28/11	Columbus, OH	MAJ
ms consultants	34-6546916: 5/27/12	Columbus, OH	MAJ
Metcalf & Eddy (now AECOM)	13-5511947: 7/07/11	Columbus, OH	MAJ
RD Zande (now Stantec)	11-2167170: 12/17/11	Columbus, OH	MAJ
Tetra Tech	95-4148514: 9/1/12	Cincinnati, OH	MAJ
SEI	31-1105116: inactive	Powell, OH	MAJ

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSO, Competitive Bid).**
RFSQ

3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**

Only the following three Offerors were invited to submit Technical Proposals

1. BBS (now CH2M Hill, Inc.)
2. Malcolm Pirnie
3. Burgess & Niple

4. **The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm awarded the original contract.**

BBS (now CH2M Hill, Inc.)
1103 Schrock Rd., Suite 400
Columbus, Ohio 43229
Dennis Tinkler; (614) 888-3100
Original contract number EL005320, Ord. No. 0474-2005

5. **A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.**

The original contract provided for the preliminary and detailed design of the HCWP Sludge Pump Station Renovations and Electrical Upgrades. Modification 1 provided for the construction administration and construction inspection services for this project.

Modification 2 will reimburse funds that provided for the immediate response to the Ohio EPA due to lime sludge spills from the HCWP sludge force main. Modification 2 will provide a pilot project requested by DPU Asset Management to assist in their development of an O&M Ready specification for their reliability centered maintenance initiative and will meet new DPU Asset Management requirements. Modification 2 will provide the engineering services required to provide drainage improvements to the west road prior to the paving specified under the contract.

6. **An updated contract timeline to contract completion.**

The contract will be completed with the completion of the project record documents and O&M manuals which the Consultant has estimated to be May 2012.

7. **A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)**

Modification No. 1	\$4,318,963.00	Ord. No. 2053-2006, EL 006687
Modification No. 2	\$1,653,740.00	

8. **A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)**

Modification 2 will reimburse funds that provided for the immediate response to the Ohio EPA due to lime sludge spills from the HCWP sludge force main. Modification 2 will provide a pilot project requested by DPU Asset Management to assist in their development of a O&M Ready specification for their reliability centered maintenance initiative and will meet new DPU Asset Management requirements. Modification 2 will provide the engineering services required to provide drainage improvements to the west road prior to the paving specified under the contract.

9. **If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)**

The original contract was executed on May 9, 2005 and Modification 1 to the contract was executed on December 21, 2006. On February 4, 2008 a letter from the Ohio EPA was received which served as both a Notice of Violation and a request for information relating to the lime sludge spill from the HCWP sludge force main on November 17, 2007. In order to provide an immediate response to the Ohio EPA, CH2M Hill, Inc. was asked to assist the City in providing some of the responses to the Ohio EPA's questions. In order to provide an immediate response to the Ohio EPA's concerns with the integrity of the HCWP sludge force main expressed in an April 24, 2008 letter, CH2M Hill, Inc. was authorized to proceed with their proposed six item sludge force main integrity testing plan. In order to provide an immediate response to the Ohio EPA's concerns regarding additional lime sludge spills that occurred during 2008, CH2M Hill, Inc. was authorized to provide additional soils analyses, regulatory support, construction administration and construction inspections for emergency sludge force main repairs, construction administration and construction inspection for the planned replacement of two sections of the sludge force main and the evaluation of in-line pipeline inspection techniques and technologies. These services were paid for from funds provided under Modification 1 which needs to be replenished in order to complete the scope of work authorized by Modification 1.

In conformance with the DPU new Asset Management initiative, additional services are required to provide data input into WAM for all the major equipment installed under the Sludge Pump Station Renovations and Electrical Upgrades construction project. To meet the new requirements for reliability centered maintenance, DPU Asset Management requested a pilot project which will not only include the required equipment data collection and entry into WAM but also will provide enhanced training. This enhanced training will validate the contractor's training and provide online training courses for this project.

Also, drainage improvements are needed for the west road prior to the paving specified under the construction contract.

10. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

The services provided regarding the evaluation and rehabilitation of the sludge force main was required to provide an immediate response to the Ohio EPA's Notice of Violations. Timing of the Asset Management reliability centered maintenance pilot project must happen in conjunction with contractor provided training sessions which are scheduled during the last week of March 2011 and April 2011. The current consultant is familiar with this project. Bidding the work to another consultant will delay the construction project and will result in higher costs possibly due to delay claims by the contractor and due to the additional time and effort required to familiarize a new consultant with the project.

11. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

CONTRACT AMOUNT:

Original contract amount	\$2,150,597.00
Modification No.1	\$4,318,963.00
Modification No. 2	<u>\$1,653,740.00</u>
New contract amount	\$8,123,300.00

12. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.

The Consultant prepared a detailed estimate of cost per task for the scope of work which was reviewed and approved by City Project management staff.