

**Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:**

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSO.**

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u>	<u>City/State</u>	<u>Status</u>
Dynotec	31-1319961 4/30/17	Cols/OH	MBE
EMHT	31-0685594 9/23/17	Cols/OH	MAJ
DLZ	31-1268980 2/28/17	Cols/OH	MBE
Brown and Caldwell	94-1446346 8/26/17	Cols/OH	MAJ
MS Consultants	34-6546916 3/7/16	Youngstown, OH	MAJ
Ribway	31-1406579 9/30/15	Cols/OH	MBE
RW Armstrong	35-1062227 6/17/17	Cols/OH	MAJ

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSO, Competitive Bid).**  
RFP

3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**

Dynotec  
EMHT  
DLZ  
Brown and Caldwell  
MS Consultants  
Ribway  
RW Armstrong

4. **The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm awarded the original contract.**

Dynotec  
2931 E. Dublin-Granville Rd. Suite 200  
Columbus, Ohio 43231  
Tobias Iloka. P.E.  
(614) 880-7320

5. **A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.**

As part of the agreement between the City and the EPA, the Third Avenue CSO Increased Capture and Green Infrastructure project has performed a study, computer modeling, BCE, and preliminary design of improvements in the vicinity of Third Avenue, on both sides of the Olentangy River. These improvements include providing a typical year level of service to the Third Avenue CSO basin, several areas of rain gardens and pervious pavement in the Third Avenue CSO basin that will further reduce and improve the quality of the overflow from the Third Avenue CSO, as well as several rain gardens, pervious pavement, and stormwater inlets in the area tributary to the Third Avenue railway underpass that will reduce



Re-bid of the project under the new requirements will likely result in a higher project costs as much of the project history would be lost and required to be rediscovered by another consultant unless won by the same consultant. In such a case, we would have wasted significant time in acquiring and evaluating the new proposals without significant benefit.

**12. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.**

Initial Contract:	\$ 883,550.06
Modification #1:	\$ 176,340.39
Total	<b>\$1,059,890.45</b>

**13. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.**

The costs of Mod #2 were determined by negotiations between Dynotec and DOSD.

**14. Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):**

<u>Name</u>	<u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u>	<u>Status</u>
Williams Creek	364498641 11/24/17	MAJ
Arcadis	570373224 5/14/17	MAJ
Resource International	31-2401674 8/1/17	FBE

**15. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.**

Arcadis: \$33,110.71

Services shall include Records Search; Field Investigation; H&H; Design; Meetings

Williams Creek Consulting: \$20,972.82

Services shall include Records Search; Field Investigation; H&H; Design; Meetings

Resource International Inc.: \$47,164.33

Services shall include Survey; Utility Locating; Design.

Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline. This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.