

**Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:**

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Vendor</u>	<u>C.C. No.</u>	<u>Exp. Date</u>	<u>City/State</u>	<u>Status</u>
Arcadis	009409	57-0373224	03/19/21	Columbus, Ohio	MAJ
AECOM	010897	95-2661922	09/19/20	Columbus, Ohio	MAJ
Black & Veatch	008038	43-1833073	09/12/21	Columbus, Ohio	MAJ
MarshWagner (1)	008436	45-5509166	11/30/21	Columbus, Ohio	WBE

(1): MarshWagner is now ITunnel Inc. DBA Iti Consulting)

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).**

\*For engineering agreements: Requests for Proposals (RFP's) were opened on 4/5/2019

\*For construction: Competitive Bid

3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**

1. Arcadis
2. Black & Veatch
3. AECOM
4. Marsh Wagner

4. **Complete address, contact name, phone number, and e-mail address for the successful bidder only.**

Matt Boone  
 100 E. Campus View Blvd, Suite 230  
 Columbus, OH 43235  
 Tel: 614-985-9150  
 Email: [Matthew.Boone@arcadis.com](mailto:Matthew.Boone@arcadis.com)

5. **A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract. The planning area should also be listed as well as any street or neighborhood names.**

This project is in the preliminary design stage. This contract modification is to evaluate options for replacement or permanent removal of the two influent sluice gates within the Interconnector Junction Chamber (IJC), concrete rehabilitation of IJC, and odor control of IJC.

The planning area is Far South.

6. **An updated contract timeline to contract completion.**

**\*For engineering agreements:**

- a. The original agreement allowed for a total term of how many years? (ex. 4 year term) 2 years.
- b. Which year of the total term is this modification for? (ex. year 2 of 4 year term).  
Year 1.
- c. The expiration date of this agreement is 12/31/2022.

7. **A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.**

This project is an Ohio EPA consent order project. This project is to perform detailed design of approximately 2,300 ft, 8.5' diameter of sanitary sewer, an 8' by 8' sluice gate and engineering services during construction. The installation of the second barrel will mitigate DSR 95 located on Sullivant Ave to 10-year level of service.

8. **A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)**

N/A

9. **A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modifications. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)**

This contract modification is to evaluate options for replacement or permanent removal of the two influent sluice gates within the Interconnector Junction Chamber (IJC), concrete rehabilitation of IJC, and odor and corrosion control of IJC.

10. **If the contract modifications was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modifications of the contract scope and amount.)**

The original contract did not include a structure evaluation and rehabilitation of the Interconnector Junction Chamber (IJC) and the three gates within IJC where the new pipe ties in. It was discovered during the preliminary design phase that those gates needed to be either repaired or replaced, and IJC structure needs to be evaluated to ensure its structural integrity.

11. **An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modifications cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)**

The work to be performed is an expansion to the project and integral part of the project. The new pipe ties in at IJC, and it is crucial to ensure its structural integrity and long term operation. It is more cost effective to have the existing consultant complete the expanded service rather than bid it out.

12. **A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modifications to date (list each modifications separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.**

Original Contract: \$416,261.07

Modification #1: \$243,553.80

Total Contract: \$704,814.87

**13. An explanation of how the cost of the modifications was determined.**

The cost was estimated by consultant and negotiated by SSES project manager.

**14. Subconsultant information**

**\*For engineering agreements:**

Information regarding subconsultants should be submitted on the Subcontractor Work Identification Form Located on the Fiscal Intranet site under “DPU Fiscal Forms” (see link): <http://dpuweb/DPUFiscal/tabid/148/Default.aspx>

This form should have sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR), name, C.C. No./Exp. Date, status, brief Scope of work for each subcontractor, and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.