		CITY OF COLUME	BUS - BID EVALUA	TION FORM				
	Department: Division:	Public Utilities Water					690370 Upground Reservoirs	Raw Water Pipeline
	Lowest Bidder: Non-Local Lowest Bidder:	\$14,973,898.50 \$14,973,898.50 S.J. Louis				Funding Source: Bid Opening Date: Engineer's Estimate	9/28/11	
	Non-Local Lowest Butter;		Kokosing Kenmore		Igel	Thalle	\$16,704,556.00 Complete Gen'l Reynolds	
	Bid Tab Amount: Local Company? (Proof s/b included.)		\$15,607,240.00 yes	\$15,617,926.50 no	\$15,914,552.50 yes	\$16,708,120.00 no	\$16,909,970.00 yes	\$17,416,718.00 no
	FACTORS	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	110
	Tier I - Mandatory Factors							
	Bid is responsive (Y or N)	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
M-2 M-3	Bidder is compliant with city taxes on payroll and net profits (Y or N) Bidder will make financial statements available upon request (Y or N)	yes yes	yes yes	yes yes	yes yes	yes yes	yes yes	yes yes
	Bidder is currently debarred from doing business with any govt. agency (Y or N)	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
M-5	Bidder & subs have requisite licenses (Y or N)	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes
M-6	Bidder & subs have provided a contract compliance no., or application for one (Y or N)	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
	Proceed to Tier II?	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes
	Tier II - Creditable Factors							
C-1	Bidder is eligible for local credit? - (see below for clarification)	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no
C-1	If lowest bidder is local, they do receive local credit, as long as there is a non-local bidder. If the	no	jes	no	yes	no	jes	II.
~ .	lowest bidder IS NOT local and another bidder is local, then the local bidder receives credit							
C-2	Environmental Preference: To receive preference, bidder must include documentation							
	Does bidder documentation meet guidelines stated in bid book? (Y or N) Difference versus lowest bidder (%)	yes NA	yes 4.1%	4.3%	6.1%	11.6%	yes 12.9%	16.3%
	Difference versus lowest bidder (%) Difference versus lowest bidder (\$)	NA NA	\$613,342	\$644,028.00	\$920,654	\$1,734,221.50	\$1,936,071.50	\$2,442,820
	Does bidder receive environmental preference? Mark "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND		ψ010,012	φ υ 1 1,02010 0	φ>20,001	ψ1,70 1,22100	ψιγουίοντικο	ψ=,112,020
	less than \$20,000, of the lowest bid.	NA	no	no	no	no	no	no
	Total Local Credit	FALSE	1.0%	FALSE	\$0.010	NA	1.0%	FALSE
	Dollar Value of Credits Evaluated Bid Total:	\$0.00 \$14,973,898.50	\$20,000.00 \$15,587,240.00	\$0.00 \$15,617,926.50	\$20,000.00 \$15,894,552.50		\$20,000.00 \$16,889,970.00	\$0.00 \$17,416,718.00
	Evaluateu Diu 10tai.	φ1 4 ,273,626.30	\$13,387,240.00	\$13,017,920.30	\$13,654,332.30	\$10,700,120.00	\$10,082,270.00	\$17,410,710.00
	Low Bid Dollar Amount After Credits	\$14,973,898.50						
	Percent Over Low Bid:	0.0%	4.1%	4.3%	6.1%	11.6%	12.9%	16.3%
	Fundamentally Equivalent Bid ('I.e., within 10% of low bid after credits)	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no
	Proceed to Tier III?	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no
	Tier III - Employer & History Factors							
E-1	Bidder has local workforce? (Y or N)	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no
E-2	Bidder is a quality training contractor? (Y or N)	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
E-3	Bidder has health insurance? (Y or N)	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
E-4	Bidder has retirement or pension plan? (Y or N)	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
HF-1	Bidder has a record of non-compliance with City of Columbus affirmative action	no	no	no	no	no	no	nc
	Bidder has a record of non-compliance with federal, state and local affirmative action	110	110	110			10	
HE-2	programs	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
	Bidder has a record of claims against performance bonds	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
	Bidder has paid liquidated damages in the past 3 years	yes	yes	no	no		no	no
	Principals or principal employees of bidder have record of fraud, collusion, etc. Bidder has a record, within the past 3 years, of violations with the following:	no no	no no	no no	no	no no	no no	no
пе-о	A. Fair Labor Standards Act	no	no	no	no no	no	no	no
	B. Prevailing Wage Laws	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
	C. Unemployment Compensation Laws	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
	D. Worker's Compensation Laws	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
	Bidder has a record of "willful or "serious" OSHA violations within the past 3 years	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	ye:
	Bidder has an adequate health and safety plan and supporting documentation Bidder qualifies for an Bureau of Workers Compensation EMR	yes ves	yes yes	yes	yes	yes yes	yes ves	yes
	Bidder has a record of EPA violations within the last 3 years	no	no	yes no	yes no		no	ye: no
	The results of Bidder's references are questionable (Y or N)	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
	Total Negative History and Experience Factors:	-4	-2	-1	-1	0	-1	-2
	A negative score in tier III may result in further investigation of bid, if merited.							
	Bid investigated with satisfactory outcome? (Requires user input)	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
	Proceed to Tier IV?	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
	Tier IV - Resource Factors (yes answers desirable)	1						
Note: T	ier IV entails examining resource factors of bidder specific to the project for which the bid was						_	
	Bidder has sufficient physical resources to reasonably complete this project (e.g.,							
R-1	equipment)	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	ye
R-2 R-3	Bidder's management has sufficient experience to ensure completion of project	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	ye
	Bidder's workforce has sufficient experience to complete this project Bidder has adequate qualified safety personnel proposed for this project	yes yes	yes yes	yes yes	yes yes	yes yes	yes yes	ye: ve:
R-5	Bidder does not have too many current projects to take on this project	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	(incomplete info
		5	5	5	5	5	5	
	Total Number of "Yes" Answers							
	Total Number of "Yes" Answers Division's Recommendation:	5						