CITY OF COLUMBUS BID EVALUATION FORM (FOR CONTRACTS OVER \$20,000)								
				HCWP Misc. Imp's - Alum Feed System				
Division:		Water	Project Name:	Upgrade Water Bonds				
Lowest, Best, Most Responsive, Responsible Bid Tab Amount:		\$2,054,360.00	Engineer's Estimate	10/1/14 \$1,900,000.00				
20		\$ 2, 62 1,500100		\$25° 000000				
	Vendor Name:	Righter Co.	Kokosing					
	Bid Tab Amount:	\$2,054,360.00	\$2,059,006.00					
	<u>FACTORS</u>							
(Pgs. 14-15)	FACTORS							
& Form 1								
(Pg. 75) -								
Contract Compliance	Bidder (& subs) have active Contract Compliance No., or submitted on-line (Y/N)	****	****					
Form 2 -	submitted on-line (1/1V)	yes	yes					
Claims &								
<u>Liquidated</u>	Bidder has a record of claims against performance bonds or							
<u>Damages</u>	has paid liquidated damages, in the past 3 years (Y/N) Negative Factors:	yes -1	0					
	regative ractors.	-1						
Form 3 - Con	npliance with Various Local, State, and Federal Laws & Regu		_					
	Bidder has a record, within the past 3 years, of violations with							
	Fair Labor Standards Act Provide Act	no	no					
	Prevailing Wage Laws Unemployment Compensation Laws	no no	no no					
	Workers' Compensation Laws	no	no					
	governmental agency regarding affirmative action programs							
	(Y/N)	no	no					
	6. Bidder qualifies for an Bureau of Workers Compensation EN	yes	yes					
	7. Bidder will make financial statements available upon reques Negative Factors:	yes 0	yes 0					
	Negative Factors:	U	U					
Form 4 - Stat	te and Federal Health and Safety Laws:							
	1. Bidder has a record of "Willful or "Serious" OSHA							
	violations within the past 3 years (verify on OSHA.gov)	no	no					
	2. Bidder has a health and safety plan compliant w/current OSI	yes	yes					
	3. Would bidder provide copy of their on-site safety plan (Y/N 4. Would bidder provide OSHA 200-300 log (up to 3 yrs.) (Y/N 5. Would bidder provide OSHA 20	yes yes	yes yes	+				
	5. Bidder has a record of EPA violations within the last 3 years	no	no					
	Negative Factors:	0	0					
Form 5 - Debarment	with any govt. contracting agency within the last 3 years							
<u>Debarment</u>	(Y/N) Negative Factors:	no 0	no 0					
	Negative Pactors.	U	U					
<u>Form 6 - </u>								
Local	1. What percentage of bidder's emloyees is drawn from	40.004	12.100					
<u>Workforce</u>	Columbus, Franklin Co., or contiguous counties Negative Factors:	40.0%	12.19%					
	regative ractors.							
Form 7 - Hea	alth Care and Pension/Retirement Programs							
	1. Bidder has health insurance? (Y/N)	yes	yes					
	2. If yes, percentage paid into plan:	ER: 100%	ER: 100%					
	Bidder has retirement or pension plan? (Y/N) If yes, percentage paid into plan:	yes ER: 100%	yes ER: 100%					
	Negative Factors:	0	0					
<u>Form 8 - </u>								
Apprenticeship Programs	1. Bidder has bona-fide apprenticeship program? (Y/N)	yes	yes					
	Negative Factors:	0	0					
Form 9 - Exp	perience, Competency, and Resources - NON-SPECIALIZED							
<u> </u>	Bidder has adequate qualified safety personnel (Y/N)	yes	yes					
Form 10 F-	Bidder has adequate non-specialized equipment for project (Y sperience, Competency, and Resources - SPECIALIZED	yes	yes					
1 01 m 10 * EX	Bidder has adequate project management team (Y/N)	yes	yes	+				
	Bidder has adequate project management team (Y/Y) Bidder has adequate specialized equipment for project (Y/N)	yes	yes					
Form 11 - De	eadlines and Cost Control							
E 12 4	Bidder has met deadlines & controlled costs on similar constru	see comment	see comments					

ORD# 2353-2014

ORD# 2353	3-2014				
	Vendor Name:	Righter Co.	<u>Kokosing</u>		
	Bid Tab Amount:	\$2,054,360.00	\$2,059,006.00		
	Bidder does not have substantial uncompleted projects (Y/N)	yes	yes		
Form 13 - Tr	rade Licenses and Certificates				
	Bidder agrees to provide licensed workforce (Y/N)	yes	yes		
	Will bidder engage subcontractors to comply w/licensing requi	no	yes		
	License and/or Certificate No:	No City license # listed	Nno City license # listed		
	Negative Factors:	0	0		
	regative ractors.	Ü	Ü		
	Lowest Bid Dollar of NON-environmental preferred bidder				
		n/a	n/a		
	Percent Over Low Bid:	#VALUE!	#VALUE!		
1	F <mark>undamentally Equivalent Bid ('I.e., within 10% of low bid)</mark>	#VALUE!	#VALUE!		
<u>Pg. 30 - Envi</u>	ronmental Preference				
	Does bidder documentation meet guidelines stated in bid				
	book? (Y/N)	yes	see comment		
	Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable				
	bidder (%)	#VALUE!	#VALUE!		
	Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable				
	bidder (\$)	#VALUE!	#VALUE!		
	Does bidder receive environmental preference (Y/N)? Mark	" TILUE:	" TILUE:		
	"Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$20,000, of the	no; both bidders have			
	lowest non-envionmentally preferred bid.	policy	no		
	If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita				
	Musser been notified?	n/a			
	Statements and Affidavits				
Statement 1:	Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/N)	yes	yes		
Statement 2:	Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/N)	yes	yes		
Statement 3:	Affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N)	yes	yes		
Affidavit	Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affiant & notarized	yes	yes		
	Negative Factors:	0	0		
	Tregulare I detoils	v			
Pg. 49 -					
Addenda	Bidder has acknowledged any addendums issued on project				
Acknlgmt.	(Y/N)	yes	yes		
Proposal		jes	yes		
(Pg. 50) -					
Proposal					
Signature	Bidder has completed the Proposal Signature (Y/N)	yes	yes		
Signature	Negative Factors:	0	0		
	riegative Pactors.	0	· ·		
D. 51 D					
Pg. 51 - Prop					
	Bidder has completed Proposal Bond including Surety name (yes	yes		
	Bidder has attached Surety Power of Attorney (Y/N)	yes	yes		
	Bidder has attached Surety's Financial Statement (Y/N)	yes	yes		
	Bidder has attached Ohio Dept. of Insurance's Certificate of Co	yes	yes		
	Negative Factors:	0	0		
	Grand Total Negative Factors:	-1	0		
	Division's Recommendation:		The Ric	thter Co.	<u> </u>
	Division 5 Accommendation:		The Kig	inter Co.	
Additional F	valuation Questions for Non Domanding Duamasala man Carti	on 102 08 not account - L	ove in DED		
Additional E	Evaluation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section	on 102.08, not covered an	ove III KED.	T	T
	Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under				
1)	the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)	no	no		
2)	Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)	no	no		
	Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not				
3)	provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)	no	no		
	Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all				
	material respects, and does not contain irregularities or				
4)	deviations? (Y/N)	7/00	7/00		
")	Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to	yes	yes		
5					
3)	accept or reject an award? (Y/N)	no	no		
	Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract				
6)	item listed? (Y/N)	no	no		
	Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where				
7)	required? (Y/N)	no	no		
	Negative Factors:	0	0		