

**Information to be included in all Legislation authorizing  
Entering into Contracts:**

**Not Applicable – this legislation is to modify a contract.**

The names of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ

The location by City and State of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ

The status, Majority, MBE or FBE, of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ

A full description of all work to be performed including a full description of work to be performed during any known phasing of the contract.

A narrative timeline for the contract including a beginning date, beginning and ending dates for known phases of the contract and a projected ending date.

An estimate of the full estimated cost of the Contract including a separate estimate of any and all phases or proposed future contract modifications.

**Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying Contracts:**

The names of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.  
**A total of four firms submitted RFP's, CDM, BBS Corporation, Malcolm Pirnie and Metcalf & Eddy of Ohio, Inc.**

The location by City and State of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

**All four firms proposed to manage the work out of their offices based in Columbus, Ohio.**

The status, Majority, MBE, FBE, of all companies bidding or submitting an RFP or RFSQ for the original contract.

**All four companies submitting qualifications and proposals for the original contract were Majority owned businesses. The proposed team for this contract modification includes several subconsultants, including Dynotech (MBE), Prime Engineering & Architects (FBE), Belmont Labs (MBE) and Donahue Ideas (FBE).**

The name and location of the firm awarded the original contract and the Contract Number.  
**Metcalf & Eddy of Ohio, Inc, 2800 Corporate Exchange Drive, Columbus, OH 43231  
Contract #EL 003668**

A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.

See attached document “DRWP Cap Inc Scope” for work performed during current contract. See attached document “Mod 1 Scope of Services 102505” for work proposed to be performed with this contract modification.

An updated contract timeline to contract completion.  
See attached document “DRWP Pilot Schedule 110205”

A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately)

**This is the first proposed contract modification.**

A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation)

See attached document “Mod 1 Scope of Services 102505”

If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

**This modification was anticipated. The original contract provided for engineering design for the engineering evaluation and indicated the expenditure for the demonstrations (Pilot Plant) would be authorized by a future contract modification (original legislation #0987-2003).**

An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation)

**The consultants have performed substantial work to collect information and prepare the Pilot Plan that has been submitted to the OEPA for this work. The consultant will not be able to prepare the bid documents or Pilot plant operations plan without completing additional survey work, document research and engineering. This work will finalize the tasks that have already been started by the design team. If this work is bid out, a new firm would need to undertake substantial work to get to the same point in the project and the current consultant would be unable to complete the current contract by preparing complete bid documents. If a new contract is sought, substantial time will be lost in the consultant selection process, more time would be required by the new consultant reviewing the work completed to date and a higher fee would be anticipated.**

A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (List each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

**CONTRACT AMOUNT:**

Original contract amount	\$485,125.00
Modification No.1 Pilot Plant Mod Costs (10-25-05)”	<u>\$3,380,238.00</u> (see attached spreadsheet “DRWP
New contract amount	\$3,865,363.00

**Note: of the proposed contract modification, \$668,000 (19.8%) of the work is identified for MBE/FBE subconsultants**

Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline. This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.

4/28/05