CITY OF COLUMBUS - BID WAIVER INFORMATION FORM TO ACCOMPANY LEGISLATION WHICH WAIVES ANY PROVISIONS OF COLUMBUS CITY CODES CHAPTER 329 (PLEASE LIMIT YOUR RESPONSE TO THIS SHEET)

1.	In accordance with section 329.27 of the Columbus City Code, City Council may determine that the City's best interest is served by waiving the City Code Formal Bid procedures for the following reason(s): (Note that these reasons are all based on time. Currently, formal bid average completion time is 160 calendar days.)				
	An unanticipated breakdown created an unplanned, emergency need.				
	The item to be purchased is of a perishable nature, the price or availability will perish before the formal bid process can be completed.				
	Need to extend an existing contract for one year or more where no provision for extension was included in the original bid/contract.				
	Need to increase a contract for additional supplies/services, although the original contract obligations have been fulfilled.				
	A new law or regulation requires immediate compliance; there is insufficient time to accomplish formal competitive bidding and satisfy the needs in order to comply.				
	Other: There is not enough time to obtain formally advertised, competitive sealed bids to satisfy the needs because:				
2. Informal bid procedure used: Yes No Explain:					
2. Info	rmal bid procedure used: ☐Yes ☐No Explain:				
The constitution of the Divided Choice different provided	ontract for services is expiring and the Division of Police wishes to continue services with the greendor, LexisNexis. Prior to the execution of the prior contract (March 2008-February 2012) with vision of Police, Lexis Nexis had acquired 2 (of 3) of their closest competitors; Accurint and point. Both vendors had previously been used by the Division of Police for their respective and int, proprietary products. Entersect, another vendor used by the Division in the past, continues to be limited features and is no longer comparable to LexisNexis, largely due to the acquisition of its				
The context of the Divided Choice different provided compe	ontract for services is expiring and the Division of Police wishes to continue services with the greendor, LexisNexis. Prior to the execution of the prior contract (March 2008-February 2012) with vision of Police, Lexis Nexis had acquired 2 (of 3) of their closest competitors; Accurint and point. Both vendors had previously been used by the Division of Police for their respective and int, proprietary products. Entersect, another vendor used by the Division in the past, continues to be limited features and is no longer comparable to LexisNexis, largely due to the acquisition of its				
The context of the Divided Choice different provided compe	intract for services is expiring and the Division of Police wishes to continue services with the givendor, LexisNexis. Prior to the execution of the prior contract (March 2008-February 2012) with vision of Police, Lexis Nexis had acquired 2 (of 3) of their closest competitors; Accurint and point. Both vendors had previously been used by the Division of Police for their respective and int, proprietary products. Entersect, another vendor used by the Division in the past, continues to a limited features and is no longer comparable to LexisNexis, largely due to the acquisition of its titors.				
The converse compersion of the Dividence of the compersion of the	intract for services is expiring and the Division of Police wishes to continue services with the givendor, LexisNexis. Prior to the execution of the prior contract (March 2008-February 2012) with vision of Police, Lexis Nexis had acquired 2 (of 3) of their closest competitors; Accurint and point. Both vendors had previously been used by the Division of Police for their respective and int, proprietary products. Entersect, another vendor used by the Division in the past, continues to a limited features and is no longer comparable to LexisNexis, largely due to the acquisition of its titors.				
The convexisting the Dividence difference provides comperments. Information and in acquire investiga brance	ontract for services is expiring and the Division of Police wishes to continue services with the greendor, LexisNexis. Prior to the execution of the prior contract (March 2008-February 2012) with vision of Police, Lexis Nexis had acquired 2 (of 3) of their closest competitors; Accurint and point. Both vendors had previously been used by the Division of Police for their respective and int, proprietary products. Entersect, another vendor used by the Division in the past, continues to be limited features and is no longer comparable to LexisNexis, largely due to the acquisition of its titors. Trimal bids received and prices for each:				