Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:

1. <u>The names, contract compliance no., location by City/State and status of all companies</u> <u>submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.</u>

Name	C.C. No.	City/State	Maj/MBE/FBE
Dynamix Engineering Ltd	31-1536631	Columbus, Oh	MBE
CODACO Engineering	34-1660032 (inactive)	Medina, Oh	Majority
Larsen Engineering	31-0967351 (inactive)	Columbus, Oh	Majority
Professional Services Industry	05-3407316 (inactive)	Columbus, Oh	Majority
Resource International	31-0669793	Columbus, Oh	FBE
Stantec Consult. (fka R.D. Zande)	11-2167170	Columbus, Oh	Majority

2. <u>Complete address, contact name and phone number for the successful bidder only.</u>

Dynamix Engineering Ltd 1108 City Park Ave., 3rd Floor Columbus, OH 43206 Todd L. Mace, P.E., Principal 614-443-1178

3. <u>A full description of all work to be performed including a full description of work to be performed during any known phasing of the contract.</u>

Original contract covered: engineering design services for asbestos abatement and heating system replacement, preparation of bid documents, bidding services, construction administration / construction inspection services. The first project modification provided for additional design services related to the redesign of the back-up fuel source as propane instead of fuel oil and construction inspection services for this alternative back-up fuel installation. This proposed modification (Contract Modification #2) is to cover the cost of preparing "as-built" record drawings for the project. The construction work on this project is substantially complete and no future phases of this project are anticipated.

4. <u>An updated contract timeline to contract completion.</u>

Contract completion for the construction is currently substantially complete and it is anticipated that punch list work will be completed by May 2008. Engineering services are currently substantially complete other than inspecting the punch list work and preparation of "as-built" record drawings.

5. <u>A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)</u>

Contract Mod. #1 – EL007216, \$74,688.19

This contract modification provided for engineering design for changes which were implemented after evaluating energy efficiency and environmental issues which prompted a re-design for the back-up fuel system from previously utilized fuel oil to proposed new propane gas system. These changes were not originally anticipated or included in the original project scope but were implemented after discussions with Water Treatment Plant staff and the design consultant. In keeping with the Mayor's Green Initiative, which was implemented after the scope of work for this contract was negotiated, the design team and City staff evaluated energy efficiency and environmental issues which prompted a re-design for the back-up fuel system from previously utilized fuel oil to proposed new propane gas system.

6. <u>A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract</u> <u>modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not</u> <u>sufficient explanation.)</u>

This contract modification will provide for preparation of "as-built" record drawings of the improvements constructed with this project. This service is typically included in engineering services contracts that provide for construction administration / construction inspection services. This task was inadvertently omitted from the project scope of work and therefore was not funded in the original contract. The engineering consultant has gathered the necessary information to prepare these drawings as part of the inspection services performed under the original contract. This proposed modification will cover the cost of preparing CAD drawing files of the information, engineering review of the CAD drawings and printing costs to submit the information to the City.

7. <u>If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract</u> <u>legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated</u> <u>is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full</u> <u>the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)</u>

This work is typically included in engineering contracts that provide for Construction Administration / Construction Inspection services. The scope of work for these services was prepared by the design consultant and reviewed by the City project manager. As this is the first contract for this design consultant with the Division of Power and Water, he was not aware this task should have been included in his scope of work. The omission was not noted by the City project manager until the end of the construction project.

8. <u>An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification</u> <u>cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not</u> <u>sufficient explanation.)</u>

The contract work has been essentially complete. The design consultant has all the necessary information to perform the task. It would cost considerably more to have another consultant interpret the information and prepare the "as-built" record drawings. The cost proposed by the design consultant to perform the work is reasonable and in line with what would be expected for this task.

9. <u>A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification</u> to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

CONTRACT AMOUNT:

Original contract amount	\$ 258,905.00
Modification No.1	\$ 74,688.19
Modification No. 2	<u>\$ 10,000.00</u>
New contract amount	\$ 343,593.19

10. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.

Costs were submitted by the consultant and reviewed by the Division of Power and Water Engineering Staff and deemed reasonable.