<u>Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:</u>

1. The names, location by City/State and status of all companies that submitted a competitive bid or RFP/RFSQ for the original contract.

Name	City/State	Majority/MBE/FBE
Hockaden & Associates, Inc.	Columbus, Ohio	F1
Clyde E. Williams & Associates, Inc.	Columbus, Ohio	not C.C.
Barr Engineering, Inc.	Columbus, Ohio	not C.C.

2. The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm awarded the original contract.

Hockaden & Associates, Inc. 883 North Cassady Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43219 Kurt Ziessler, P.E., Vice President, (614) 252-0993 Contract Number 991, CIP 690236, EA025139

3. A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.

To date the consultant has completed the preliminary design of five locations where approximately 6,250 linear feet of water main is to be replaced and existing water mains are to be abandoned. The project was delayed for several years for various reasons including the current ODOT project that overlaps a portion of the project limits. This modification provides for updating the project design to current standards and specifications and revising alignments to meet the Mound Harrisburg Project (Contract 915), which was delayed due to ODOT improvements.

4. An updated contract timeline to contract completion.

The project is scheduled to be bid in the first quarter of 2009.

5. A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)

None to date.

6. A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

This modification provides for updating the project design to current standards and specifications and revising alignments to meet the Mound Harrisburg Project (Contract 915), which was delayed due to ODOT improvements.

- 7. If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

 During the original RFSQ and RFP, road construction by ODOT was not anticipated. ODOT determined a road reconstruction project was needed on sections of Harrisburg Pike during the development of the water main plans. This delayed and complicated the water main design.
- 8. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

All work to be completed was a part of the original contract.

9. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

Original Contract - \$160,757.04 Modification 1 – \$35,400.00 Total Contract Amount - \$196,157.04

10. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.

Engineer's estimate provided by consultant.