## Cofield, Alex A.

From: Kelsey, Mark

**Sent:** Thursday, May 09, 2013 4:15 PM

To: Cofield, Alex A.

Cc: Rossetti, Ric Q.; Austin, Patti A.; Bauman, Max A; Bell, Timothy A.; Bowman, Randall;

Cordetti, Steven R.; Crabill, Melanie J.; Figley, Russ U.; Gallagher, Jennifer L.; Giffin, Benjamin M.; Johnson, Daniel L.; Lewis, William A.; Lundine, Mark A.; Miller Jr, Richard A.; O'Callaghan, Timothy L.; Palmer, Tierra L.; Parks, Duane M.; Roberts, Doug; Robinson, Valuise E.; Stephens, Thomas H.; Tilton, Rick C.; Wentzel, Steve J.; Zahran, Hassan Y.

Subject: RE: RECOMMENDATION: Roadway Improvements - Utility Cut & Restoration (2013)

### I approve of the recommended contractor for award

From: Cofield, Alex A.

Sent: Thursday, May 09, 2013 7:21 AM

To: Kelsey, Mark

**Cc:** Rossetti, Ric Q.; Austin, Patti A.; Bauman, Max A; Bell, Timothy A.; Bowman, Randall; Cofield, Alex A.; Cordetti, Steven R.; Crabill, Melanie J.; Figley, Russ U.; Gallagher, Jennifer L.; Giffin, Benjamin M.; Johnson, Daniel L.; Kelsey, Mark; Lewis, William A.; Lundine, Mark A.; Miller Jr, Richard A.; O'Callaghan, Timothy L.; Palmer, Tierra L.; Parks, Duane M.; Roberts, Doug; Robinson, Valuise E.; Stephens, Thomas H.; Tilton, Rick C.; Wentzel, Steve J.; Zahran, Hassan Y.

Subject: RECOMMENDATION: Roadway Improvements - Utility Cut & Restoration (2013)

TO: Mark Kelsey

Director of Public Service

FROM: Alex Cofield

Capital Fiscal Manager

PROJECT: Roadway Improvements - Utility Cut & Restoration (2013)

CIP No.: 530161-100106

DATE: May 9, 2013

This memorandum is a recommendation for construction contractor selection and processing the construction legislation for the Roadway Improvements - Utility Cut & Restoration (2013) project.

#### Project Scope:

This project consists of performing various backfill & pavement restorations for excavations from deposited permits and other such work as may be necessary to complete the contract in accordance with the plans and specifications.

Fiscal Impact: Source of funds is 2013 Department of Public Service bond funds.

The bid documents contain Special Provision 146 which states "It is the City's intent to fully utilize the available funding provided to its Utility Cut and Restoration Program within the approved Capital Improvements Budget. Therefore, the City reserves the right to increase or decrease the base bid amount, up to or down to a maximum of \$300,000.00. The total amount of the work and other incidentals will not exceed the total amount of the contract (maximum of \$300,000.00). In the event that the City does add utility cuts to the original list in order to use the remaining available funds, the contractor shall be bound by all bid specifications and other terms and conditions contained in this contract document. The contract will be awarded to the lowest (determined by the base bid, not including additional funds to equal the maximum of \$300,000.00), responsive and responsible and best bidder per Columbus City Code Section 329.

Special Provision 146 also states "The duration is this contract is three (3) years and shall expire June 30, 2016. There is also an escalator clause that will increase the base contract item amounts by 2.00% for the second year from June 30, 2014, to June 30, 2015, and by 2.00% for the third year from June 30, 2015, to June 30, 2016.

Contract amount: \$300,000.00

Inspection will be performed by Planning and Operations personnel.

<u>Schedule:</u> Bids were opened May 7, 2013; estimated Council date is June 3, 2013; estimated NTP date is June 27, 2013. The contract has a completion date of June 30, 2016. One bid was received (one majority) and tabulated as follows.

Engineer's Estimate: \$296,480.00

# Bidding Information:

BidderBid AmountDecker Construction Co.\$308,390.00

### Contract Compliance Information:

Status	Company	CC	MBE/FBE/	Percentage	Active?	Expiration	Type of
		Number	Majority		y/n	Date	Work
Prime	Decker Construction Co.	310983557	MAJ	100.00%	Y	2/3/14	General Trades

#### Recommendation:

With reference to bids received on May 7, 2013, I wish to make the following recommendation for the subject improvement:

Award is made to the lowest responsive and responsible and best bidder, Decker Construction Co, for their bid of \$308,390.00, which is \$11,910.00 over the Engineer's estimate of \$296,480.00.

Decker Construction Co did not complete the Construction Contract Subcontractor Report as there are no sub-contractor opportunities.

Please respond to this e-mail indicating approval of the recommended contractor – Decker Construction Co, – or approval of another contractor. If you approve another contractor please provide an explanation.

## Legislative Action:

Emergency action is requested.