



March 17, 2014

Greg J Davies, Director Department of Public Utilities

RE: Green Infrastructure Inspection and Maintenance Project (SA005225)

Attached for your consideration and pursuant to the provisions of Section 329.14 of the Columbus City Codes, the Evaluation Committee hereby submits our ranking of offerors based on awarding a professional service contract through requests for technical proposals.

The offerors were ranked based upon the quality and feasibility of their technical proposal. Analysis of each offeror's proposal as to its quality and feasibility is provided in the attached. The Committee recommends entering into contract negotiations with Watershed Organic Landscape and Lawn Care.

Should you have any questions please contact the project manager.

Sincerely,	
Seen Stell	, Evaluation Committee Member
Scott Shields, Project Manager, DPU	
Elayna Grody, Water Protection Coordinator, DPU	, Evaluation Committee Member
Damita Brown, Management Analyst II, DPU	, Evaluation Committee Member

pc: File



Section Manager's Comment I have reviewed and concur with the Committee's offeror ranking. □ I have reviewed and do not concur with the Committee's offer ranking, and explanation of which is provided below. Signature **Division Administrator's Comment** I have reviewed and concur with the Committee's offeror ranking. □ I have reviewed and do not concur with the Committee's offer ranking, and explanation of which is provided below. Signature **Director's Comment** ☐I have reviewed and concur with the Committee's offeror ranking. □ I have reviewed and do not concur with the Committee's offer ranking, and explanation of which is provided below. RECEIVED MAR 2 7 2014 STORMWATER SECTION

Department of Public Utilities Summary Report

	Summary Report		
Division: Division of Sewerage and Drai	nage - Stormwater and Regu	latory Management S	ection
Projects: Green Infrastructure Inspection	າ and Maintenance Project (S	A005225)	
	Estimated Cost:	Date of Notice	
	Unable	To City Council: RFP Due Date:	October 22, 2013 January 30, 2014
		Date of Report:	March 17, 2014
Committee's Ranking of Technical Propo	sals		Ti di
Offeror:			Total Points:
Natershed Organic Landscape & Lawn C	are		95
Villiams Creek Management			84
			<u>.</u> . 1.
Committee Members			
Name:	Classification:		
Scott Shields (DPU)	Engineering Asso	ociate III	
Elayna Grody (DPU)	Water Protection Coordinator		
Damita Brown (DPU)	Management Ana	llyst II	
/I)			



Evaluation of Technical Proposal						
Criterion (from p	ublic no	tice): Compentence				
		Offeror: Watershed Organic Landscape and Lawn Care Proposed Subcontractors:				
	g. pts. ceived	Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided				
20	19	Currently performing the work under the old RFP. The owner and crews know the basins and provide experience and foresight. The owner provides in the proposal the history and up coming maintenance activities that will need to be performed with a detailed explanation. The owner demostrated in the proposal they have developed a weeding schedule for non native/invasive species to be removed early in their life cycle so native plants can get established. As the native plants get established, the time needed for weeding will be reduce which is reflected in the contractor proposal as labor costs go down each year. The contractor proposes over three years \$270,430.70 if authorized.				
		Offeror: Williams Creek Mangement				
		Proposed Subcontractors: Williams Creek Consulting, Inc.				
	0.00400	McDaniel's Construction Corp.				
1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	g. pts. eived	Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided				
20 13		Williams Creek proposes incorporating Blueworks Columbus graduates with a six week education into the maintenance activities. Not clear on crew structure for existing experience workers vs the new students. Williams Creek has bid the contract at a prevailing wage rate. The proposal also mentions when possible inspectors will be part of the maintenance team to help trouble shoot unanticipated conditions. The contractors maintenace cost will increase each year. The contractor proposes over three years \$1,177,049.18 if authorized.				

	Evaluation of Technical Proposal		
Criterion (from public no	etice): Relevent Experience		
	Offeror: Watershed Organic Landscape and Lawn Care		
	Proposed Subcontractors:		
Max. # pts. Avg. pts. Possible Received	Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided		
Watershed has proved and provided in the RFP their crew structure has the knowledge an maintain green infrastructure and identify native and invasive species at all stages of grow provided local green infrastructure projects with two excellant references, both references contractor with the highest score possible.			
	Offeror: Williams Creek Mangement		
	Proposed Subcontractors: Williams Creek Consulting, Inc.		
	McDaniel's Construction Corp.		
Max. # pts. Avg. pts. Possible Received	Major Concerns; Explanation of Points Provided		
30 28	Williams Creek demostrates knowledge of and experience in green infrastructure. However, they don't demostrate experience for all their labor force. Blueworks not a proven program and Williams Creek wants to provide the experience on this paticular RFP project. Reviewed several references, comments range from great work such as they know their plant species, to bad design and cost over run on the Hoover Reservoir Project which they ate their cost over runs.		

RECEIVED

MAR 2 7 2014 STORMWATER SECTION

Criterion (fr	om public no	otice): Workload	
		Offeror: Watershed Organ	ic Landscape and Lawn Care
	Proposed Subcontractors:		
Max. # pts. <u>Possible</u>	Avg. pts. Received	Major Concerns; Explanation	of Points Provided
30	26		tly performing the work with specialized human resources with high appras
		keep on schedule and keep u	
		keep on schedule and keep u	nder budget.
Wax.#pts.	Avg. pts.	keep on schedule and keep under the control of the	nder budget. angement

Evaluation of Technical Proposal				
Criterion (from public notice): Environmentally Preferable Offeror			referable Offeror	
Max. # pts. Possible 5	Avg. pts. Received 5	Major Con	Subcontractors: cerns; Explanation o	E Landscape and Lawn Care of Points Provided e powered vehicles with alternative powered vehicles with ultra-low nautural gas. Weed material is recycled at local composting
Max. # pts. Possible 5	Avg. pts. Received 5	Major Cond	Williams Creek Man Subcontractors: cerns; Explanation o m members of Colun roducts. Avoid all Re	Williams Creek Consulting, Inc. McDaniel's Construction Corp. f Points Provided nbus Green Spot. Team follows Green Event Guidelines. Use ECO-

Evaluation of Technical Proposal				
Criterion (from public n	Criterion (from public notice): Local Workforce			
The state of the s				
	Offeror: Watershed Org	anic Landscape and Lawn Care		
	Proposed Subcontractors:			
Max. # pts. Avg. pts. Possible Received	vg. pts.			
<u> 15 15 </u>	The information provided in the proposal shows 100% of the workforce paying City of Columbus taxes located in Franklin County.			
	Offeror: Williams Creek Proposed Subcontractors:			
Max. # pts. Avg. pts.		McDaniel's Construction Corp.		
Possible Received	Major Concerns; Explanation	on of Points Provided		
<u> 15 15 </u>	exceed the 15 point require	n the proposal shows all three contractors will meet or ement for local workforce. Williams Creek Mangement listed ill travel from Plainfield, Indiana.		

	Evaluation of Technical Proposal	
Describe what role, if any,	MBE/FBE Participation , an MBE/FBE will serve in performing the proposed work.	
Offeror:	Watershed Organic Landscape and Lawn Care	_
Proposed MBE/FBE:	None	
Describe role of MBE/FBE	if applicable in the space below:	
		, A
Offeror:	Williams Creek Mangement	_
Proposed MBE/FBE:	McDaniel's Construction Corp. (M1A)	_
Describe role of MBE/FBE	if applicable in the space below:	
McDaniel's Construction in the proposals.	n Corp would only be performing a very small percentage of	f the "If Authorized" items

MAR 2 7 2014
STORMWATER SECTION

Evaluation of Technical Proposal Project Leadership Identify the project personnel committed to leadership of the project. Note specific competencies, experience, skills and prior or current city commitments. Offeror: Watershed Organic Landscape and Lawn Care Personnel Assigned to Projec See below Description of Project Leadership: Project Manager: Jim Roberts Offeror: Williams Creek Personnel Assigned to Projec See below Description of Project Leadership: Project Managers: Williams Creek - Vice President/Principal, Ted Blahnik Williams Creek - Project Manager, Rachael Rhodes Williams Creek - Construction Manager, Aaron Lasley McDaniel's - Construction Manager, Ken Jones

 $\tilde{z} = (1 - i \theta - i \theta - i \theta)$