	CITY OF COLUMBUS BID EVALUATION FORM (FOR CONTRACTS OVER \$20,000)					
	Department: Division:	Public Utilities Water	CIP Number: Project Name: Funding Source:	690 2014 Fire Hydra Water	nt Replacements Bonds	
Lo	west, Best, Most Responsive, Responsible Bid Tab Amount:	\$915,832.50	Bid Opening Date: Engineer's Estimate	<u>6/25/14</u> \$901,670.00		
	<u>Vendor Name:</u> Bid Tab Amount:	<u>Darby Creek</u> \$915,832.50	Eramo & Sons \$929,786.00	<u>Conie</u> \$964,700.00	<u>Travco</u> \$988,182.25	
	FACTORS					
(Pgs. 8-9) & Form 1 (Pg. 1) - Contract Compliance Form 2 -	Bidder (& subs) have active Contract Compliance No., or submitted on-line (Y/N)	yes	yes	yes	ус	
Claims & Liquidated Damages	Bidder has a record of claims against performance bonds or has paid liquidated damages, in the past 3 years (Y/N) Negative Factors:	no 0	no 0	yes -1	Г	
Form 3 - Con	npliance with Various Local, State, and Federal Laws & Regu Bidder has a record, within the past 3 years, of violations with 1. Fair Labor Standards Act	no	no	no	ľ	
	2. Prevailing Wage Laws 3. Unemployment Compensation Laws 4. Workers' Compensation Laws governmental agency regarding affirmative action programs	no no no	no no no	no no no	n n n	
	 (Y/N) 6. Bidder qualifies for an Bureau of Workers Compensation EN 7. Bidder will make financial statements available upon reques 	no yes yes	no yes yes	no yes yes		
	Negative Factors:	0	0	0		
Form 4 - Stat	te and Federal Health and Safety Laws: 1. Bidder has a record of "Willful or "Serious" OSHA violations within the past 3 years (verify on OSHA.gov) 2. Bidder has a health and safety plan compliant w/current OSI 2. Within the past of the following of the fol	no yes	no yes	no yes	I y	
	 Would bidder provide copy of their on-site safety plan (Y/N Would bidder provide OSHA 200-300 log (up to 3 yrs.) (Y/S. Bidder has a record of EPA violations within the last 3 years Negative Factors: 	yes yes no 0	yes yes no 0	yes yes no 0	yq yq r	
Form <u>5 -</u> Debarment	with any govt. contracting agency within the last 3 years (Y/N) Negative Factors:	no 0	no 0	no 0	I	
Form 6 <u>-</u> Local Workforce	1. What percentage of bidder's emloyees is drawn from Columbus, Franklin Co., or contiguous counties	100.0%	82.0%	50.0%	71.0	
Form 7 Had	lth Care and Pension/Retirement Programs					
<i>om / - 11</i> 0	1. Bidder has health insurance? (Y/N) 2. If yes, percentage paid into plan: 3. Bidder has retirement or pension plan? (Y/N)	yes ER: 58% - EE: 42% yes	yes ER: 80% - EE: 20% yes	yes ER: 100% yes	r 0' r	
	4. If yes, percentage paid into plan: Negative Factors:	"Varies" 0	ER: 100% 0	see comment 0	0'	
<u>Form 8 -</u> Apprenticeship Programs	1. Bidder has bona-fide apprenticeship program? (Y/N)	yes	no	no	I	
_	Negative Factors:	0	-1	-1		
	Bidder has adequate qualified safety personnel (Y/N) Bidder has adequate non-specialized equipment for project (Y	yes yes	yes yes	yes yes	ye ye	
	Bidder has adequate project management team (Y/N) Bidder has adequate specialized equipment for project (Y/N) adlines and Cost Control	yes yes	TBD yes	yes yes	ye PM to evaluat	
Form 11 - De	Bidder has met deadlines & controlled costs on similar constru	yes	see comment	yes	See Attachment	
	Bidder does not have substantial uncompleted projects (Y/N)	yes	yes	yes	ye	

ORD# 1616-2014

ORD# 1616	5-2014				
	Vendor Name:	Darby Creek	Eramo & Sons	Conie	Travco
E	Bid Tab Amount:	\$915,832.50	\$929,786.00	\$964,700.00	\$988,182.25
<u>rorm 13 - Tr</u>	ade Licenses and Certificates Bidder agrees to provide licensed workforce (Y/N)				
	Will bidder engage subcontractors to comply w/licensing requi	yes no	yes no	yes no	ye
	License and/or Certificate No:	SW0075	SW0004	SW0035	
	Negative Factors:	0	0	0	3 10003
	Tregative Factors.	v	0	v	
	Lowest Bid Dollar of non-environmental preferred bidder	\$915,832.50			
	Percent Over Low Bid:	0.0%	1.5%	5.3%	7.9%
F	undamentally Equivalent Bid ('I.e., within 10% of low bid)	yes	yes	yes	ye
		·		· ·	·
Pg. 29 - Envi	ronmental Preference				
	Does bidder documentation meet guidelines stated in bid book? (Y/N)	no	no	yes	n
	Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable bidder (%)	0.00%	1.52%	5.34%	7.90%
	Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable	0.0070	1.0270	0.0470	1007
	bidder (\$)	\$0.00	\$13,953.50	\$48,867.50	\$72,349.75
	Does bidder receive environmental preference (Y/N)? Mark				
	"Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$20,000, of the				
	lowest non-envionmentally preferred bid.	no	no	no	n
	If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita Musser been notified?				
Pag 20 22	Statements and Affidavits				
	Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/N)	yes	yes, initialed, not dated	yes	ye
Statement 1:		yes	Initialed, not dated	yes	ye ye
	Affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N)	yes	Initialed, not dated	yes	ye ye
Affidavit	Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affiant & notarized	yes	yes	yes	ye
	Negative Factors:	0	0	0	je
		-	-	-	
Pg. 42 <u>-</u> Addenda Acknlgmt.	Bidder has acknowledged any addendums issued on project (Y/N)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
<u>Proposal</u> (Pg. 43) - Proposal					
Signature	Bidder has completed the Proposal Signature (Y/N)	yes	yes	yes	yes
	Negative Factors:	0	0	0	(
Pg. 44 - Prop					
	Bidder has completed Proposal Bond including Surety name (yes	yes	yes	ye
	Bidder has attached Surety Power of Attorney (Y/N)	yes	yes	yes	ye
	Bidder has attached Surety's Financial Statement (Y/N)	yes	yes	yes	ye
	Bidder has attached Ohio Dept. of Insurance's Certificate of Co	yes	yes	yes	ye
	Negative Factors:	0	0	0	
	Grand Total Negative Factors:	0	-1	-2	-4
		*			
	Division's Recommendation:	Da	rby Creek Excavating, Ind	2.	Π
Additional E	valuation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under	102.08, not covered ab	ove in <mark>RED.</mark>		
Ð	the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)	no	no	no	n
2)	Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)	no	no	no	n
	Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not			10	
5)	provided in the bid documents? (Y/N) Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all	no	no	no	n
D	material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)				
•)	Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to	yes	yes	yes	ye
)	accept or reject an award? (Y/N)	no	no	no	n
0	Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract				
5)	item listed? (Y/N) Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where	no	no	no	n
7)	required? (Y/N)	no	no	no	n
/	Negative Factors:	0	0	0	11
	- inguine - actors	0	0	0	

	Denoutmonte		
	Department: Division:		
Lo	west, Best, Most Responsive, Responsible Bid Tab Amount:		
	Vendor Name:	Columbus Asphalt	Downing
	Bid Tab Amount:	\$1,016,070.00	\$1,390,963.48
	FACTORS		
(<u>Pgs. 8-9) &</u> Form 1 (Pg.			
1) - Contract	Bidder (& subs) have active Contract Compliance No., or		
Compliance	submitted on-line (Y/N)	yes	У
<u>Form 2 -</u> Claims &			
Liquidated	Bidder has a record of claims against performance bonds or		
<u>Damages</u>	has paid liquidated damages, in the past 3 years (Y/N) Negative Factors:	no 0	1
	inguive ractors.	0	
Form 3 - Con	npliance with Various Local, State, and Federal Laws & Regu		
	Bidder has a record, within the past 3 years, of violations with 1. Fair Labor Standards Act	nc	
	Pair Labor Standards Act Prevailing Wage Laws	no	1
	3. Unemployment Compensation Laws	no	1
	4. Workers' Compensation Laws	no	1
	governmental agency regarding affirmative action programs (Y/N)		
	6. Bidder qualifies for an Bureau of Workers Compensation E	no yes	i
	7. Bidder will make financial statements available upon reques	yes	y
	Negative Factors:	0	
E A. Cita			
<u>r orm 4 - Sta</u>	te and Federal Health and Safety Laws: 1. Bidder has a record of "Willful or "Serious" OSHA		
	violations within the past 3 years (verify on OSHA.gov)	no	1
	2. Bidder has a health and safety plan compliant w/current OSI	yes	у
	3. Would bidder provide copy of their on-site safety plan (Y/N	yes	У
	 4. Would bidder provide OSHA 200-300 log (up to 3 yrs.) (Y/ 5. Bidder has a record of EPA violations within the last 3 years 	yes no	y
	Negative Factors:	0	1
<u>Form 5 -</u> Debarment	with any govt. contracting agency within the last 3 years (Y/N)		
Debarmeni	Negative Factors:	0 no	1
<u>Form 6 -</u> Local	1. What percentage of bidder's emloyees is drawn from		
<u>Workforce</u>	Columbus, Franklin Co., or contiguous counties	75.0%	75.0
Form 7 Ha	alth Cano and Bansion/Patinom out Ducanama		
rorm / - 11ec	Alth Care and Pension/Retirement Programs 1. Bidder has health insurance? (Y/N)	yes	у
	2. If yes, percentage paid into plan:	ER: 100%	ER: 5% - EE: 95
	3. Bidder has retirement or pension plan? (Y/N)	yes	у
	4. If yes, percentage paid into plan:	ER: 100%	ER: 100
	Negative Factors:	0	
Form 8 -			
Apprenticeship			
Programs	1. Bidder has bona-fide apprenticeship program? (Y/N)	yes	1
	Negative Factors:	0	
t orm 9 - Exp	perience, Competency, and Resources - NON-SPECIALIZED		
	Bidder has adequate qualified safety personnel (Y/N) Bidder has adequate non-specialized equipment for project (Y	yes yes	PM to evalua
Form 10 - Ex	cperience, Competency, and Resources - SPECIALIZED	yes	1 141 to Cvalue
	Bidder has adequate project management team (Y/N)	yes	у
			PM to evalua
	Bidder has adequate specialized equipment for project (Y/N)	yes	T IVI to evalua
Form 11 - De	eadlines and Cost Control		
Form 11 - De Form 12 - Av	adlines and Cost Control Bidder has met deadlines & controlled costs on similar constru	yes	see comme

ORD# 1616-2014

ORD# 1616	Vendor Name:	Columbus Asphalt	Downing			
	Bid Tab Amount:	\$1,016,070.00	\$1,390,963.48			
Form 13 - Tr	ade Licenses and Certificates					
	Bidder agrees to provide licensed workforce (Y/N)	yes	ye			
	Will bidder engage subcontractors to comply w/licensing requi	no CW00070	II CW040			
	License and/or Certificate No: Negative Factors:	SW00079 0	SW040			
	Negative Factors:	U				
	Lowest Bid Dollar of non-environmental preferred bidder					
	Percent Over Low Bid:	10.9%	51.9%			
F	undamentally Equivalent Bid ('I.e., within 10% of low bid)	no	n			
Pg. 29 - Envi	ronmental Preference					
	Does bidder documentation meet guidelines stated in bid					
	book? (Y/N) Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable	no	n			
	bidder (%)	10.94%	51.88%			
	Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable	10.7470	51.00 /			
	bidder (\$)	\$100,237.50	\$475,130.98			
	Does bidder receive environmental preference (Y/N)? Mark	+	+,			
	"Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$20,000, of the					
	lowest non-envionmentally preferred bid.	no	n			
	If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita					
	Musser been notified?					
	Statements and Affidavits	and total a second of the				
	Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/N) Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/N)	yes, initialed, not dated Initialed, not dated	ye			
	Affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N)	Initialed, not dated	ye ye			
Affidavit	Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affiant & notarized	ves	ye			
	Negative Factors:	0	y			
P <u>g. 42 -</u>						
<u>Addenda</u>	Bidder has acknowledged any addendums issued on project					
A <u>cknlgmt.</u> Deserver al	(Y/N)	n/a	n/			
Proposal (Pg. 43) -						
<u>1 g. 43) -</u> Proposal						
Signature	Bidder has completed the Proposal Signature (Y/N)	yes	ye			
	Negative Factors:	0				
Pg. 44 - Prop						
	Bidder has completed Proposal Bond including Surety name (yes	ye			
	Bidder has attached Surety Power of Attorney (Y/N) Bidder has attached Surety's Financial Statement (Y/N)	yes	ye			
	Bidder has attached Ohio Dept. of Insurance's Certificate of Co	yes yes	ye ye			
	Negative Factors:	<u>yes</u>	ye			
	ingative ractors.	v				
	Grand Total Negative Factors:	0	-			
	<u> </u>					
	Division's Recommendation: arby Creek Excavating, Inc.					
Additional E	valuation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section					
D.	Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under					
1) 2)	the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N) Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)	no	n			
2)	Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not	no	n			
3)	provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)	no	n			
<i>'</i>)	Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all	10				
	material respects, and does not contain irregularities or					
4)	deviations? (Y/N)	yes	y			
,	Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i				
5)	accept or reject an award? (Y/N)	no	r			
	Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract					
6)	item listed? (Y/N)	no	n			
	Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where					
7)	required? (Y/N)	no	n			
	Negative Factors:	0				