## **Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:**

# 1. <u>The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.</u>

Name C.C. No./Exp. Date City/State Status

- A. Chester Engineers, Inc. | 202401674 / 05/31/2013 | Columbus, Ohio | MBE
- B. Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. | 112167170 / 12/21/2013 | Columbus, Ohio | MAJ
- 2. <u>What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).</u> RFP

## 3. List the ranking and order of all bidders.

- 1. Chester Engineers, Inc.
- 2. Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.

## 4. <u>The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm</u> <u>awarded the original contract.</u>

Chester Engineers, Inc., 88 East Broad Street, Suite 1980, Columbus, Ohio 43215 Contact: Hasan Alkhayri, P.E., (614) 224 – 4419 Contract: EL010006

5. <u>A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of</u> work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.

This professional services contract with Chester Engineers, Inc. provides professional engineering services for the Southerly Wastewater Treatment Plant Corrosion Prevention and Protective Coating Systems Consultant Project, CIP Project # 650350-100002. It has been determined that the protective coatings on many structures, buildings and process items are in need of rehabilitation. This project implemented a study to determine the specific areas, structures and process items in need of attention, determines the procedures necessary for the rehabilitation, and determines the steps necessary to inhibit deterioration in the future. The Scope of Work for this project, at the City's discretion, consists of the following elements:

- A. Conduct a detailed survey of the condition of the coatings and determine the required remedies for:
  - 1. Buildings, both interior and exterior, and structures,
  - 2. Process and general equipment.
  - 3. Piping systems, including gate and valve operators.
  - 4. Conduit systems.
- B. Prepare a report with determinations on the survey results and recommendations.
- C. Prepare a General Corrosion Prevention and Protective Coating Specification.
- D. Prepare electronic bid documents for Corrosion Prevention and Protective Coating applications to the locations selected by the City. Three construction contracts are planned for this work.

The detailed survey, Condition Assessment Report, and the specification have been completed and the project contracting plan determined. The actual emplacement of the work will be by phased construction contract. The first and second of three Corrosion Prevention and Protective Coating construction contracts, Contracts S80 and S82 have been completed. The third of the three construction contracts, Contract S83, has been bid.

A contract modification is required for construction-phase engineering, for the 2014 Corrosion Prevention and Protective Coating Phase 3 contract. Construction Management services are being performed by others.

# 6. <u>An updated contract timeline to contract completion.</u>

The Design Professional (DP) began work after the notice to proceed was issued on February 11, 2010. A detailed survey was conducted and a Condition Assessment Report was presented to the City on September 24, 2010. Construction contract bid documents were prepared for Phase 1 (Contract S80) and bids were opened on February 9, 2011. The construction work is complete. Construction contract bid documents were prepared for Phase 2 (Contract S82) and bids were opened on December 5, 2012. The construction work is complete.

The bidding documents for the third phase, Contract S83, have been completed and bids have been received. The construction work will be placed under contract as soon as possible, and the construction services will commence.

Chester Engineers' services will continue beyond completion of construction to provide "asbuilt" record drawings of the constructed facilities.

#### 7. <u>A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project;</u> <u>community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental</u> <u>factors or advantages of the project.</u> 11

8. <u>A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)</u>

MODIFICATION NO. 1: \$471,588.66 (EL011909 July 18, 2011)

This modification provides \$471,588.66 of funding for additional Preliminary and Detailed Design tasks and funding for Services During Construction estimated to be needed for construction contract S80 Corrosion Prevention and Protective Coating Systems Phase 1.

MODIFICATION NO. 2: \$441,901.46 (EL014156 April 18, 2013)

This modification provides \$441,901.46 of funding for Detailed Design tasks for Corrosion Prevention and Protective Coating Systems Phase 3 and funding for Services During Construction estimated to be needed for construction contract S82 SWWTP Corrosion Prevention and Protective Coating Systems Phase 2.

# 9. <u>A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract</u> modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

#### PROPOSED MODIFICATION NO. 3: \$227,134.38

This modification provides \$ 227,134.38 of funding for Services During Construction estimated to be needed for construction contract S83 SWWTP Corrosion Prevention and Protective Coating Systems Phase 3.

10. If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.) The notantial need for contract modifications was identified with the original contract

The potential need for contract modifications was identified with the original contract legislation documents.

# 11. <u>An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification</u> <u>cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not</u> <u>sufficient explanation.)</u>

Due to the highly complex and technical nature of this wastewater treatment plant infrastructure project, it is not reasonable or cost efficient to undertake a new procurement effort to acquire these services. The lengthy process for initiating a new procurement, and for a new entity to gain understanding of the project, would likely cause an unacceptable project delay and additional cost.

12. <u>A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification</u> to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract east

estimate of the contract cost.	
Original Contract	\$499,041.67
Modification No. 1	\$471,588.66
Modification No. 2	\$441,901.46
Proposed Modification No. 3	<u>\$227,134.38</u>
CURRENT PROPOSED TOTAL	\$ 1,639,666.17

## 13. <u>An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.</u>

A cost proposal was provided by Chester Engineers, Inc. and reviewed by the Division of Sewerage and Drainage and was deemed acceptable. The cost of this contract modification is consistent with the direct labor, overhead, and profit rates established within the original proposal.

## 14. <u>Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. &</u> <u>expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):</u>

Name	C.C. No./Exp. Date	Status
See attached Subcontractor Work Identification	n Form, August 18, 2014.	

#### **15.** <u>Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.</u> See attached Subcontractor Work Identification Form, August 18, 2014.

Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline. This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.

Updated as of 4-10-10