		CITY OF COLUMBUS D EVALUATION FORM			
		CONTRACTS OVER \$20,			
Department:		<b>Public Utilities</b>	CIP Number:	690428-100005	
	Division:	Water	Project Name:	DRWP Treatment Capacity Increase - Ion Exchange & Plant Reliability Upgrades	
	Division	water	Funding Source:	Water Voted I	
			Bid Opening Date:	9/17/14	
Lowest, Best, Most Responsive, Responsible Bid Tab Amount:		\$102,925,000.00	Engineer's Estimate	\$94,358,075.00	
Vlau Naa		<b>Kokosing Construction</b>			
	Bid Tab Amount:	\$102,925,000.00			
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
	<u>FACTORS</u>				
(00 43 00 Pgs. 2-					
3) & Form 1 (Pg. 00 43 00 Pg. 5) -					
Contract	Bidder (& subs) have active Contract Compliance No., or				
Compliance	submitted on-line (Y/N)	yes			
	Bidder has a record of claims against performance bonds or has paid liquidated damages, in the past 3 years (Y/N)	no			
	Negative Factors:	0	0	0	0
Form 3 - Complian	nce with Various Local, State, and Federal Laws & Regulation				
	Bidder has a record, within the past 3 years, of violations with t  1. Fair Labor Standards Act	no			
	Prevailing Wage Laws	no			
	3. Unemployment Compensation Laws	no			
	4. Workers' Compensation Laws	no			
	Bidder has a record of non-compliance with any governmental agency regarding affirmative action programs	no			
	Bidder qualifies for an Bureau of Workers Compensation EN	yes			
	7. Bidder will make financial statements available upon reques	yes			
	Negative Factors:	0	0	0	0
Form A State and	Federal Health and Safety Laws:				
roim 4 - State and	Bidder has a record of "Willful or "Serious" OSHA				
	violations within the past 3 years (verify on OSHA.gov)	no			
	2. Bidder has a health and safety plan compliant w/current OSI	yes			
	3. Would bidder provide copy of their on-site safety plan (Y/N	yes			
	4. Would bidder provide OSHA 200-300 log (up to 3 yrs.) (Y/I 5. Bidder has a record of EPA violations within the last 3 years	yes no			
	Negative Factors:	0	0	0	0
<u>Form 5 -</u> Debarment	Has bidder been debarred/suspended from doing business with any govt. contracting agency within the last 3 years				
<del>Debui mem</del>	Negative Factors:	no 0	0	0	0
				-	
Form 6 - Local	What percentage of bidder's emloyees is drawn from				
Workforce	Columbus, Franklin Co., or contiguous counties  Negative Factors:	12.19%			
	riegative ractors.				
Form 7 - Health C	are and Pension/Retirement Programs				
	1. Bidder has health insurance? (Y/N)	yes			
	If yes, percentage paid into plan:     Bidder has retirement or pension plan? (Y/N)	ER: 100% yes			
	4. If yes, percentage paid into plan:	ER: 100%			
	Negative Factors:	0	0	0	0
П. О					
Form 8 - Apprenticeship					
<u>Programs</u>	Bidder has bona-fide apprenticeship program? (Y/N)	yes			
	Negative Factors:	0	0	0	0
Form 9 - Fynerien	ce, Competency, and Resources - NON-SPECIALIZED				
Torm > - Experien	Bidder has adequate qualified safety personnel (Y/N)	yes			
	Bidder has adequate non-specialized equipment for project (Y/	yes			
Form 10 - Experie	nce, Competency, and Resources - SPECIALIZED				
	Bidder has adequate project management team (Y/N) Bidder has adequate specialized equipment for project (Y/N)	yes "N/A"			
Form 11 - Deadlin	es and Cost Control	IN/A			
	Bidder has met deadlines & controlled costs on similar construc	see comment			
Form 12 - Availab					
Form 12 T 3 T	Bidder does not have substantial uncompleted projects (Y/N)	yes			
r orm 13 - 1rade L	icenses and Certificates Bidder agrees to provide licensed workforce (Y/N)	yes			
	Will bidder engage subcontractors to comply w/licensing requir	yes			
	License and/or Certificate No:	SW license # not provided	-	<del></del>	

1

## ORD# 2562-2014

ORD# 2562-201					
		Kokosing Construction			
	Bid Tab Amount:	\$102,925,000.00 <b>0</b>	0	0	0
	Negative Factors:	U	U	U	U
	Lowest Bid Dollar of non-environmental preferred bidder	n/a			
	Percent Over Low Bid:	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!
J	Fundamentally Equivalent Bid ('I.e., within 10% of low bid)	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!
Pg. 24 - Environm	nental Preference				
	Does bidder documentation meet guidelines stated in bid				
	book? (Y/N)	yes			
	Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable				
	bidder (%)	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!
	Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable	//T/ A T T/T/	// <b>X</b> 7.4. <b>X</b> . <b>X</b> . <b>XXXXXXXXXXXXX</b>	//S7.A.T. E157.0	//T/A T TIES
	bidder (\$)	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!
	Describildados de Companyo de La conferencia (VANS Maria	they have acceptable			
	Does bidder receive environmental preference (Y/N)? Mark "Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$20,000, of the	environmental policy but only bidder so they do not			
	lowest non-envionmentally preferred bid.	receive preference			
	If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita	receive preference			
	Musser been notified?	n/a			
<del>                                     </del>	17105501 OCCII HOUINCU:	II/a			
(00 43 00 Pgs. 25-	28) - Statements and Affidavits				
Statement 1:	Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/N)	yes			
Statement 2:	Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/N)	yes			
Statement 3:	Affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N)	yes			
Affidavit	Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affiant & notarized	yes			
	Negative Factors:	0	0	0	0
(00 42 00 Pg. 12)	Bidder has acknowledged any addendums issued on project				
- Addenda Acknlgmt. (00 42 00 Pg. 13)	(Y/N)	yes			
	Bidder has completed the Proposal Signature (Y/N)	yes			
- 110posai Signature	Negative Factors:	0	0	0	0
	regaute ractors.	U U	U	0	· ·
(00 43 00 Pg. 29) -	- Pronosal Bond				
<u> </u>	Bidder has completed Proposal Bond including Surety name (	yes			
	Bidder has attached Surety Power of Attorney (Y/N)	yes			
	Bidder has attached Surety's Financial Statement (Y/N)	yes			
	Bidder has attached Ohio Dept. of Insurance's Certificate of Co	yes			
	Negative Factors:	0	0	0	0
	Grand Total Negative Factors:	0	0	0	0
	Division's Recommendation:		KOKOSING CONST	RUCTION CO., INC.	
		200 / 11 /	DED		
Additional Evalua	ation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section 10	2.08, not covered above in	KED.	ſ	ı
4	Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under				
10					
1)	the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)	no			
1) 2)	the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N) Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)	no no			
1) 2)	the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not	no			
1) 2) 3)	the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N) Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N) Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)				
1) 2) 3)	the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)  Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all	no			
1) 2) 3)	the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)  Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or	no			
1) 2) 3) 4)	the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)  Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)	no			
1) 2) 3) 4)	the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)  Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)  Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to	no no yes			
1) 2) 3) 4) 5)	the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)  Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)  Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N)	no			
1) 2) 3) 4) 5)	the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)  Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)  Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N)  Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract	no no yes no			
1) 2) 3) 4) 5)	the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)  Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)  Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N)  Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract item listed? (Y/N)	no no yes			
1) 2) 3) 4) 5) 6)	the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N)  Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)  Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)  Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all material respects, and does not contain irregularities or deviations? (Y/N)  Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to accept or reject an award? (Y/N)  Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract	no no yes no			