CITY OF COLUMBUS BID EVALUATION FORM (FOR CONTRACTS OVER \$20,000)					
	Department:	Public Utilities	CIP Number:	690488-100000	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			Parsons Avenue Water Plant (PAWP) Treatment	
	Division:	Water	Project Name:	Upgrades	
			Funding Source:	Water Notes	
T		\$65,622,050,00	Bid Opening Date:	11/5/14	
Lo	west, Best, Most Responsive, Responsible Bid Tab Amount:	\$65,633,950.00	Engineer's Estimate	\$60,490,000.00	
	Vendor Name:	Kokosing Construction	Walsh Butt Joint Venture		
	Bid Tab Amount:	\$65,633,950.00	\$66,137,650.00		
	<u>FACTORS</u>				
(00 43 00 Pgs. 2-					
3) & Form 1 (Pg.					
00 43 00 Pg. 5) - Contract	Bidder (& subs) have active Contract Compliance No., or				
Compliance	submitted on-line (Y/N)	yes	no		
Form 2 - Claims &	Bidder has a record of claims against performance bonds or	•			
Liquidated Damages	has paid liquidated damages, in the past 3 years (Y/N)	no	no		
	Negative Factors:	0	-1		
E					
r orm 3 - Compliai	nce with Various Local, State, and Federal Laws & Regulation Bidder has a record, within the past 3 years, of violations with			+	
	Fair Labor Standards Act	no	no		
	Prevailing Wage Laws	no	no		
	3. Unemployment Compensation Laws	no	no		
	4. Workers' Compensation Laws	no	no		
	governmental agency regarding affirmative action programs				
	(Y/N)	no	no		
	6. Bidder qualifies for an Bureau of Workers Compensation EN	yes	yes		
	7. Bidder will make financial statements available upon reques	yes	yes		
	Negative Factors:	0	0		
Form 4 - State and	Federal Health and Safety Laws:				
z om r state and	Bidder has a record of "Willful or "Serious" OSHA				
	violations within the past 3 years (verify on OSHA.gov)	no	yes		
	2. Bidder has a health and safety plan compliant w/current OSI	yes	yes		
	3. Would bidder provide copy of their on-site safety plan (Y/N	yes	yes		
	4. Would bidder provide OSHA 200-300 log (up to 3 yrs.) (Y/	yes	yes		
	5. Bidder has a record of EPA violations within the last 3 years	no	no		
	Negative Factors:	0	-1		
Form 5 -	with any govt. contracting agency within the last 3 years				
Debarment	(Y/N)	no	no		
	Negative Factors:	0	0		
	Ü				
Form 6 - Local	What percentage of bidder's emloyees is drawn from				
<u>Workforce</u>	Columbus, Franklin Co., or contiguous counties	12.19%	60.0%		
	Negative Factors:				
Form / - Heaun C	are and Pension/Retirement Programs  1. Bidder has health insurance? (Y/N)	You	You	+	
	2. If yes, percentage paid into plan:	yes ER: 100%	yes ER: 80% - EE: 20%		
	3. Bidder has retirement or pension plan? (Y/N)	yes	ves		
	4. If yes, percentage paid into plan:	ER: 100%	ER: 4% - EE: up to Fed'l		
	Negative Factors:	0	0		
Form 8 -					
Apprenticeship Programs	Bidder has bona-fide apprenticeship program? (Y/N)	yes	yes		
110grams	Negative Factors:	0	0		
	1 (eguit o 1 uctors)	v	J		
Form 9 - Experien	ce, Competency, and Resources - NON-SPECIALIZED				
	Bidder has adequate qualified safety personnel (Y/N)	yes	yes		
	Bidder has adequate non-specialized equipment for project (Y	yes	yes		
Form 10 - Experie	nce, Competency, and Resources - SPECIALIZED				
	Bidder has adequate project management team (Y/N)	yes	yes		
Form 11 D Jr	Bidder has adequate specialized equipment for project (Y/N)  es and Cost Control	"None"	yes		
	es and Cost Control  Bidder has met deadlines & controlled costs on similar construe	see comment	see comment	+	
Form 12 - Availab		See comment	see comment		
	Bidder does not have substantial uncompleted projects (Y/N)	yes	yes		
Form 13 - Trade L	icenses and Certificates				
	Bidder agrees to provide licensed workforce (Y/N)	yes	yes		
	Will bidder engage subcontractors to comply w/licensing requi	yes	(blank)		
	License and/or Certificate No:	City license #'s not provided	SW0293		
	Negative Factors:	0	0		
	Lowest Bid Dollar of non-environmental preferred bidder	\$66,137,650.00			
	Lowest Did Donar of non-environmental preferred bidder	\$00,137,000.00			

## ORD# 2692-2014

ORD# 2692-201				•
	Vendor Name:	Kokosing Construction	Walsh Butt Joint Venture	
	Bid Tab Amount:	\$65,633,950.00	\$66,137,650.00	Т
	Percent Over Low Bid:	-1%	0%	
-	Fundamentally Equivalent Bid ('I.e., within 10% of low bid)	yes	yes	
(00 42 00 Pag 22	-24) - Environmental Preference			
(00 45 00 1 gs. 25	Does bidder documentation meet guidelines stated in bid			
	book? (Y/N)	yes	no	
	Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable	yes	no no	
	bidder (%)	-0.76%	0.00%	
	Difference versus lowest non-environmental preferrable	377070	0,007	
	bidder (\$)	(\$503,700.00)	\$0.00	
	Does bidder receive environmental preference (Y/N)? Mark	no; they already have		
	"Yes" if bid is within 5% AND less than \$20,000, of the	acceptable environmental		
	lowest non-envionmentally preferred bid.	policy	no	
	If awarded vendor is environmentally preferable, has Anita			
	Musser been notified?	n/a		
(00 43 00 Pgs. 25-	28) - Statements and Affidavits			
		yes but affiant is same person		
Statement 1:	Bidder is current on City income taxes (Y/N)	signing CT	yes; see comments	
		yes but affiant is same person		
Statement 2:	Non-Collusion has been initialed/dated (Y/N)	signing CT	see comment	
		yes but affiant is same person		
Statement 3:	Affiant Signature has been completed (Y/N)	signing CT	see comment	
	Proposal Affidavit has been signed by affiant & notarized	yes but affiant is same person		
Affidavit	(Y/N)	signing CT	see comment	
	Negative Factors:	0	0	
(00 42 00 Pg 12)	Bidder has acknowledged any addendums issued on project			
- Addenda Acknlgmt.		yes	yes	
(00 42 00 Pg. 13)		yos	yes	
- Proposal Signature	Bidder has completed the Proposal Signature (Y/N)	yes	yes	
	Negative Factors:	0	0	
(00 43 00 Pg. 29)				
	Bidder has completed Proposal Bond including Surety name (	yes	yes	
	Bidder has attached Surety Power of Attorney (Y/N)	yes	yes	
	Bidder has attached Surety's Financial Statement (Y/N)	yes	yes	
	Bidder has attached Ohio Dept. of Insurance's Certificate of Co	yes	yes	
	Negative Factors:	0	0	
			_	
	Grand Total Negative Factors:	0	-2	
	Diri I D		TOTOGING CONGENIA	COTTON GO. ING
	Division's Recommendation:		KOKOSING CONSTRUC	CHON CO., INC.
Additional Evalue	ation Questions for Non-Responsive Proposals per Section 10	2.08 not covered above in DF	n	
Additional Evalua	Has the bidder submitted more than one proposal under	a.oo, not covered above ill KE	ν.	Т
n	* *			
2)	the same name/subsidiary? (Y/N) Are the bid prices material unbalanced? (Y/N)	no	no	
2)	Does the proposal contain conditions or qualifications not	no	no	
3)	provided in the bid documents? (Y/N)	no	no	
<u>.,</u>	Does the proposal respond to the bid documents in all	110	110	
	material respects, and does not contain irregularities or			
4)	deviations? (Y/N)	yes	yes	
,	Did the bidder add any provision reserving the right to	<i>j</i> 03	<i>y</i> 03	
5)	accept or reject an award? (Y/N)	no	no	
,	Did bidder fail to submit a unit price for each Contract			
6)	item listed? (Y/N)	no	no	
	Did the bidder fail to submit a lump sum price where			
7)	required? (Y/N)	no	no	
	Negative Factors:	0	0	
		· ·	· ·	