Instructions for Construction Bid Evaluations Effective January 1, 2015

1. Read and become knowledgeable of the requirements of Columbus City Code section 329 as it pertains to construction advertising, evaluation, and contracting.

Here is a link to City Code

As of 1/23/15, Municode is not up to date with the new code language for Section 329.

Referer to the attachment in Ord. 2612-2014 until Municode is updated

- 2. Enter information into the cells that are blank and grey. Do not enter info to cells that are blank and white as there may be a formula in that cell.
- 3. If you have questions about using the spreadsheet, contact Suzanna Gussler or Alex Cofield.
- 4. If you print the workbook in its entirety from the print screen as opposed to printing each worksheet separately ("active sheets"), you will print this page and the evaluation will begin on page 2 and go through page 13. If you print each workbook separately, the page numbering is different.

BID IS:

version: Fel	bruary 27, 2015							
PR	OJECT:	Alum Creek Trun	Alum Creek Trunk Middle - Contract A Bid Date:				April 8	
Departme	ent/Division:	DPU		Initial Apparent Low Bidder:				
Section:		Sewerage and Drainage		Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$		
		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	
CIP No.		650725-100003	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
			Layne Inliner,					
Project M	anager:	Jeremy Cawley	LLC					
Engineer'	s Estimate:	\$ 2,770,284.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	
			\$ 2,458,564.80					
			-100.00%					
PART IA: R	Responsiveness Cı	riteria, General Provisions (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for r	
а		qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally e of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES					
b	in the same and su	the full name of every person or company interested uch other relevant information as the city agency e. (329.20)(g) FORM B1	YES					
С	date of bid submis disclosed in its app That changes in th for responsibility p finance and manage	tted, with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the sion, there have been no changes in the information blication for responsibility prequalification; or (2) are information disclosed in the bidder's application requalification have been reported to director of gement or designee and that the bidder is still nsible or provisionally responsible.	YES					
d	There is no evide	nce of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES					

RESPONSIVE

version: February 27, 2015

PR	PROJECT: Alum Creek Trunk			Middle - Contract A			April 8		
Departme	nt/Division:	DPU		Initial Appare	nt Low Bidder:		Layne Inl		
Section:		Sewerage and Drainage		Initial Apparent Low Bid :					
		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	Sth		
CIP No.			COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME		
0.1101			Layne Inliner,						
Project M	anager:	Jeremy Cawley	LLC						
	s Estimate:	\$ 2,770,284.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount		
			\$ 2,458,564.80						
PART IB: R	Responsiveness Cr	riteria, Subcontractors (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for r		
а		ed a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated a subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1) FORM	YES						
b	subcontractors are (329.20)(h)(2) FO listed, enter "NA"		NA						
С	qualified responsib	ubcontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre- pole or provisionally responsible licensed trade 29.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are	NA						
d		more than one subcontractor for each portion of tracted. (329.20)(h)(5) FORM B6	YES						
е	trade subcontractoresponsible. (329.2	affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction or are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally 20)(h)(3) Form B10 (If no licensed trade ire listed, enter "NA")	YES						
f		subcontractors who were currently suspended or ty. (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	YES						
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE						
PART IC: R		riteria, Bid Specific (329.23)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for r		
а	referenced code so	omit more than one bid for same work. (See ection for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES						
b	Bidder acknowled PAGE, page 2	lged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) BID SIGNATURE	YES						

PROJEC1								
PROJECT	Alum Creek Trun	k Middle - Contrac	lle - Contract A Bid Date:			April 8		
Department/Division	on: DPU		Initial Apparent Low Bidder:					
Section:	Sewerage and Drainage		Initial Apparent Low Bid :					
	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest		2nd	3rd	4th	5th		
CIP No.	650725-100003	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME		
		Layne Inliner,						
Project Manager:	Jeremy Cawley	LLC						
Engineer's Estima	te: \$ 2,770,284.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount		
-		\$ 2,458,564.80						
	mplied with technical pre-qualification nts/specification requirements where applicable. (1)(c)	YES						
The bid co	ontains no conditions or qualifications not provided in the or bid. (329.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES						
	Bidder added no provisions reserving right to accept or reject award.							
т В3	bmitted a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2, FORM	YES						
	not submit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) , FORM B3	YES						
h Bid prices	are materially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B4	YES						
	ns \mathbf{no} irregularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid 329.23)(f)(1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES						
j (329.23)(f	bmitted a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. (1)(g) FORM B4 (If a unit price is not an option, enter	YES						
k Bidder su FORM B4	Bidder submitted a lump sum price if required, (329,23)(f)(1)(h)							
Bidder ha (329.23)(f	Bidder has complied with section 329.20(h) regarding subcontractors.							
	not contain alterations, ommissions, errors, such that it does to the bid documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	YES						
n Bid respo (329.23)(f	nds to bid documents in all material respects. (1)(e)	YES						
o Bid is con	pplete. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES						

version: February 27, 2015

PRO	OJECT:	Alum Creek Trun	k Middle - Contrac	t A	Bid	Bid Date:			
Departmen	nt/Division:	DPU		Initial Apparent Low Bidder:					
Section:		Sewerage and Drainage		Initial Appa	rent Low Bid :		\$		
		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th		
CIP No.		650725-100003	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME		
			Layne Inliner,						
Project Ma	nager:	Jeremy Cawley	LLC						
Engineer's	Estimate:	\$ 2,770,284.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount		
			\$ 2,458,564.80						
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE						
PART ID: Re	esponsiveness C	riteria, Other	INPUT "YES	" FOR POSITIVE RESPO	ONSE OR "NO" FOR NE	GATIVE RESPONSE (all "	yesses" needed for resp		
a		ctor is not currently debarred from doing business the or more fo the following: the city, state, or federal links on Tab 5)	YES						
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE						
							•		
			A "no" answer in Pa	rt IE will not necessari			nave a valid contract cor		
		riteria, Contract Compliance ow Bidder" has an active contract compliance		T	contract	execution.			
	number at the tim		YES						
	Bid Re	sponsivness, Waiver Considerations:	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office					
Į		Proceed to Part II?	YES						
			_	1					
	LC	OWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 2,458,564.80	•	Manually Enter Amou	ınt			
j	LOV	VEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	Layne Inliner	•	Manually Enter Infor	mation			
ı			•	J					

. 2	015	5	

iner, LLC 2,458,564.80

COMPANY NAME
Initial Bid Amount

esponsive bid)

iner, LLC	
2,458,564.80	
6th	
COMPANY NAME	
Initial Bid Amount	
esponsive bid)	
	1
esponsive bid)	

, 2015

, 2015

iner, LLC 2,458,564.80

6th **COMPANY NAME** Initial Bid Amount , 2015

iner, LLC 2,458,564.80

6th

COMPANY NAME

Initial Bid Amount

onsive bid)

npliance no. prior to

Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PR	OJECT:	Alum Creek Tru	nk Middle - Contrac	Middle - Contract A Bid Date:			April 8	3, 2015
Departme	nt/Division:	DPU		Responsive Low B		Layne Inliner		
Section:		Sewerage and Drainage		Responsive Low		\$	2,458,564.80	
CIP No.		650725-100003	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY MANE	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY MAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY MAME
CIP No.		650725-100003	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
			Layne Inliner,					
Project M	anager:	Jeremy Cawley	LLC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Engineer'	s Estimate:	\$ 2,770,284.00		Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 2,458,564.80	0	0	0	0	0
PART IIA: 3	329.23 (2) Responsi	•		YES" FOR POSITIVE RES	PONSE OR "NO" FOR N	EGATIVE RESPONSE (1	point for each "yes", 0 f	for "no")
а		nonstrated to the city's satisfaction an adequate and ING CAPACITY for the project. FORM B2, FORM	YES					
b		unt (as compared to other bids) no further eded (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others).	YES					
С		nonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and ALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B7,	YES					
d		nonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and N RESOURCES for the project. FORM B7,	YES					
е	The bidder has act B8,	ively engaged in the construction industry. FORM	YES					
f		perience in the area of construction service for which ubmitted. FORM B8	YES					
g	The bidder has a s completion deadlin	uccessful record of complying with and meeting es. FORM B8	YES					
h	The bidder has a s construction project	uccessful record of controlling costs on similar tts. FORM B8	YES					
i	The bidder has no success of the proj	(substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the ect. FORM B9	e YES					
		Responsibility Score	9	0	0	0	0	0
PART IIB:		eria - Serious, Willful OSHA Violations		YES" FOR POSITIVE RES	PONSE OR "NO" FOR N	EGATIVE RESPONSE (1	point for each "yes", 0 f	for "no")
а	The bidder has no 5)	serious or willful OSHA violations. (See link in Tab	YES					
		Responsibility Score		0	0	0	0	0
		Total Responsibility Score Continue to TAB 3		0	0	0	0	0
		Continue to TAB 3	? YES					

Manually Enter Information

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

PROJECT: Alum Creek Trunk Middle - Cont					act A	Bid I	Date:	April 8	3, 2015
Denartme	ent/Division:	DPU			Evaluated Low Bidde	er (from Tabs I and II):			
Section:		Sewerage and	Drainage			(from Tabs I and II) :		Layne Inliner 2,458,564.80	
						`			
CIP No.		650725-100003	3	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
			Layne Inliner,						
Project N	lanager:	Jeremy Cawley	1	LLC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Engineer	's Estimate:	\$	2,770,284.00	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
				\$ 2,458,564.80	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
PART III: 3	329.(01)(u) and 329.2	212 Local Bidder							
		IS BID LOCAL?	,	VEC					
Talada	if a biddania le col			YES					
		use the Office of Construc	ction Prequalification's list	located at	X:\Construction Prequa	<u>lification</u>			
PART IIIA:				1.00					
	IF <u>APPARENT</u>		S LOCAL STOP. The						
-44			III. Continue to TAB						
step 1	IF APPARENT		step 1 IF <u>APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL</u> , <u>CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2</u> (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information)						
			low hid and hidder informa	ation)					
		above for apparent i	low bid and bidder informa	ition)					
		авоче погаррателя г	low bid and bidder informa	ition)					
Part IIIB:		авоче погаррагения	low bid and bidder informa	ition)					
Part IIIB:	<u> </u>	авоче погаррателя г	low bid and bidder informa	ition)					
Part IIIB:	Percentage differe	ence of bid from apparent NC		0.000%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 2		ence of bid from apparent N 0	ON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	0.000%					
			ON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	,	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
step 2	Is amount in prior : BID?	ence of bid from apparent NO step WITHIN ONE PERCEN	ON-LOCAL, LOW BID. NT (1%) OF THE LOW	0.000%					
step 2	Is amount in prior : BID? If step 3 is "yes",	ence of bid from apparent NO step WITHIN ONE PERCEN	ON-LOCAL, LOW BID. NT (1%) OF THE LOW SHAREPOINT SITE.	0.000%					
step 2	Is amount in prior: BID? If step 3 is "yes", DID AGENCY FOL	ence of bid from apparent NO step WITHIN ONE PERCEN	ON-LOCAL, LOW BID. NT (1%) OF THE LOW CHAREPOINT SITE. R BIDDER RELATING TO	0.000%					
step 2	Is amount in prior: BID? If step 3 is "yes", DID AGENCY FOL	ence of bid from apparent NC step WITHIN ONE PERCEN SEE PROCEDURES ON S	ON-LOCAL, LOW BID. NT (1%) OF THE LOW CHAREPOINT SITE. R BIDDER RELATING TO	0.000%					
step 2 step 3 step 4	Is amount in prior : BID? If step 3 is "yes". DID AGENCY FOI CITY CODE SECT	ence of bid from apparent NC step WITHIN ONE PERCEN SEE PROCEDURES ON S	ON-LOCAL, LOW BID. NT (1%) OF THE LOW SHAREPOINT SITE. R BIDDER RELATING TO IF NA)?	0.000%					
step 2	Is amount in prior : BID? If step 3 is "yes", DID AGENCY FOI CITY CODE SECT	step WITHIN ONE PERCEN SEE PROCEDURES ON S LLOW PROCEDURES FOR TIONS 329.212 (INDICATE	ON-LOCAL, LOW BID. NT (1%) OF THE LOW SHAREPOINT SITE. R BIDDER RELATING TO IF NA)?	0.000%					
step 2 step 3 step 4 step 5	Is amount in prior : BID? If step 3 is "yes". DID AGENCY FOL CITY CODE SECT INPUT NEW BID A (\$0)	step WITHIN ONE PERCEN SEE PROCEDURES ON S LLOW PROCEDURES FOR TIONS 329.212 (INDICATE	ON-LOCAL, LOW BID. NT (1%) OF THE LOW SHAREPOINT SITE. R BIDDER RELATING TO IF NA)?	0.000%					
step 2 step 3 step 4	Is amount in prior : BID? If step 3 is "yes". DID AGENCY FOL CITY CODE SECT INPUT NEW BID A (\$0)	step WITHIN ONE PERCEN SEE PROCEDURES ON S LLOW PROCEDURES FOR TIONS 329.212 (INDICATE	ON-LOCAL, LOW BID. NT (1%) OF THE LOW SHAREPOINT SITE. R BIDDER RELATING TO IF NA)?	0.000%					
step 2 step 3 step 4 step 5	Is amount in prior : BID? If step 3 is "yes", DID AGENCY FOL CITY CODE SECT INPUT NEW BID A (\$0)	step WITHIN ONE PERCEN SEE PROCEDURES ON S LLOW PROCEDURES FOR TIONS 329.212 (INDICATE	ON-LOCAL, LOW BID. NT (1%) OF THE LOW SHAREPOINT SITE. R BIDDER RELATING TO IF NA)?	0.000%			NA		
step 2 step 3 step 4 step 5	Is amount in prior : BID? If step 3 is "yes", DID AGENCY FOL CITY CODE SECT INPUT NEW BID A (\$0)	step WITHIN ONE PERCEN SEE PROCEDURES ON S LLOW PROCEDURES FOR TIONS 329.212 (INDICATE	ON-LOCAL, LOW BID. NT (1%) OF THE LOW SHAREPOINT SITE. R BIDDER RELATING TO IF NA)?	0.000% YES		NA	NA		
step 2 step 3 step 4 step 5	Is amount in prior : BID? If step 3 is "yes", DID AGENCY FOI CITY CODE SECT INPUT NEW BID A (\$0) REVISED LOW	step WITHIN ONE PERCEN SEE PROCEDURES ON S LLOW PROCEDURES FOR TIONS 329.212 (INDICATE	ON-LOCAL, LOW BID. NT (1%) OF THE LOW SHAREPOINT SITE. R BIDDER RELATING TO IF NA)?	0.000% YES		NA	NA t		

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

Manually Enter Amount

DI	ROJECT:		Alone Cuarle Tours B	I'ddla Cantuart A		Did I	Date:	Anril	3, 2015
r I	NOJECT.		Alum Creek Trunk M	liddle - Contract A		DIU I	Jale.	April o	5, 2015
Departme	ent/Division:	DPU			Evaluated Low Bidder	(from Tabs I, II and III):		Layne In	liner, LLC
Section:	Sewerage and Drainage				Evaluated Low Bid (f	rom Tabs I, II and III) :		\$	2,458,565
CIP No.		650725-100003	3	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
				Layne Inliner,					
Project M	anager:	Jeremy Cawley		LLC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	s Estimate:	\$	2,770,284.00	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
g		<u> </u>	2,770,20 1100	\$ 2,458,564.80	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
PART IV: 3	29.(17) Environmenta								
Арра	arent Low Bid:	\$	2,458,564.80	Apparent Low Bidder:	Layne In	liner, LLC			
PART IV∙ 3	29.(17) Environmenta	I Preference							
step 1	Did apparent/evaluate	ed low bidder submit "envi	ironmentally preferable" bid? Answer I other bidder's, input "NA"	NO					
step 2	Excluding apparent bid?	low bidder, did bidder s	ubmit "environmentally preferable"	NO					
step 3	bid, then the apparent in Steps 4-6, complete	Vevaluated low bidder is leading to see Step 7, and proceed to set ted low bidder did not subted	tted an environmentally preferable ead bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data Tab 5.						
step 4	Calculate environmen		d. It is up to five percent (5%) of the 20,000 per bid.	0.000%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 5	Preference amount fo applied).	r each eligible bid (if 0, th	en no environmental preference was	\$ -	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 6		onmental preference, exc se, both claiming EP cand	ept if both claim enviornmental els it for both	\$ 2,458,564.80	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 7	Low Bid Amount:	\$ 2,458,564.80	Low Bidder:	Layne Inliner					
		•		<u> </u>					

Manually Enter Information

Tab 4: 12 of 16

TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Layne Inliner	\$ 2,458,564.80
Project:	Alum Creek Trunk Middle - Contract A	

Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

Bidders	Layne Inliner, LLC	0	0	0	0	0
Bid	\$ 2,458,564.80	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Percent Difference btwn Bid and Next Highest Bid						

Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder?

If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h?

If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.

NO NO

State of Ohio - Ohio Auditor

This is the link to the State of Ohio Auditor's web site to check for "findings for recovery" against a prime/sub contractor.

Are there any findings against the prime contractor or any of the subs?

If yes, the bid shall be deemed non-responsive. Inform the Office of Prequalification for further instruction.

Cross Referenced in Tab 1, Part ID

https://ohioauditor.gov/findings.html

Pre-Qualification Office email: prequalification@columbus.gov

Pre-Qualification Office phone no: 645-0359

Federal - System for Award Management

This is the link to the Federal System for Awards Management site to check for "findings for recovery" against a prime/sub contractor.

Letter of Credit

LOC on City's LOC form

Are there any findings against the prime contractor or any of the subs?

If yes, the bid shall be deemed non-responsive. Inform the Office of Prequalification for further instruction.

Cross Referenced in Tab 1. Part ID

https://www.sam.gov/portal/SAM/#1

Pre-Qualification Office email: prequalification@columbus.gov

Pre-Qualification Office phone no: 645-0359

City of Columbus

Contact the Purchasing Office if you have a question about the City's list of debarred vendors.

OSHA Violations Go to the US Department of Labor's web site to see if there are any serious or willful violations by the prime contractor

Cross Referenced in Tab 2. Part IIB

https://www.osha.gov

Bid Gurantee Requirements

Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.

Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?

Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarentee submitted?

YES YES

Bid Bond

Bond on City's bond form

Surety power of attorney

Most recent surety financial statement

Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance

Certified/Cashier's Check
Drawn on a solvant bank

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Layne Inliner	\$ 2,458,564.80
Project:	Alum Creek Trunk Middle - Contract A	

Award recommendation: After evaluation of the bids, I recommend the following contractor be awarded the contract for:

Project Name: Alum Creek Trunk Middle - Contract A

Recommended Bidder: Layne Inliner, LLC

Contract Amount: \$ 2,458,564.80

Evaluator's Name: Mike Pettiford
Evaluation Completion Date: 4/9/2015

TAB VI: DEPARTMENT SPECIFIC CRITERIA

Instructions:

If you have additional questions/documents that you require with your bids that are department specific, please create your own evaluation worksheet here.

Please follow the format of the previous tabs. If you need assistance, please contact Suzanna Gussler or Alex Cofield.

Tab 6: 15 of 16

TAB VII: Notes

On this page, include any notes or clarification from phone calls made. Be sure to include the company you called, who you spoke with, date, time, question asked, outcome of call, and name of person who made the call.

Include any notes or clarifications from research done.