PROJECT:	Fenway Road Area W	ater Line Improvements	Bid Date:	N	lovember 4, 2015
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparer	nt Low Bidder:		Danbert, Inc.
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	2,231,834.90

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690236-100055 (WSRLA loan)	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Bob Arnold, P.E.	Danbert, Inc.	Elite	Shelly & Sands	Conie	Fields Excavat.	Beheler
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 2,518,113.00	Initial Bid Amount					
		\$ 2,231,834.90	\$ 2,292,920.60	\$ 2,293,102.30	\$ 2,467,168.52	\$ 2,726,325.70	\$ 2,769,226.63
		2.74%	0.01%	7.59%	10.50%	1.57%	

PART IA: R	desponsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for i	responsive bid)
а	The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
b	The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
С	The bidder submitted , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) FORM B10	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
d	There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE

PROJECT:	Fenway Road Area W	later Line Improvements	Bid Date:	1	November 4, 2015
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Appare	nt Low Bidder:		Danbert, Inc.
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appar	ent Low Bid :	\$	2,231,834.90

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690236-100055 (WSRLA loan)	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	anager:	Bob Arnold, P.E.	Danbert, Inc.	Elite	Shelly & Sands	Conie	Fields Excavat.	Beheler
Engineer'	s Estimate:	\$ 2,518,113.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 2,231,834.90	\$ 2,292,920.60	\$ 2,293,102.30	\$ 2,467,168.52	\$ 2,726,325.70	\$ 2,769,226.63
PART IB: R	Responsiveness Cri	teria, Subcontractors (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for r	esponsive bid)
а		d a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
b	licensed construction	e listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors are in trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM B6 de subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
С	qualified responsibl	ocontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre- e or provisionally responsible licensed trade 9.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
d	work under which th	e listed, the bidder listed the division/section of ne sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no licensed s are listed, enter "NA") FORM B6	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
e	subcontractor per d more than one sub	e listed and the bidder listed more than one ivision/section of work, did the bidder explain why is listed for the division/section of work? be licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
f	trade subcontractor responsible. (329.2)	affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction s are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally 0)(h)(3) Form B10 (If no licensed trade e listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
g		subcontractors who were currently suspended or (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE

PROJECT:	Fenway Road Area W	Vater Line Improvements	Bid Date:	November 4, 2015
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Appare	nt Low Bidder:	Danbert, Inc.
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appar	ent Low Bid :	\$ 2,231,834.90

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690236-100055 (WSRLA loan)	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Ma	anager:	Bob Arnold, P.E.	Danbert, Inc.	Elite	Shelly & Sands	Conie	Fields Excavat.	Beheler
Engineer's	s Estimate:	\$ 2,518,113.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 2,231,834.90	\$ 2,292,920.60	\$ 2,293,102.30	\$ 2,467,168.52	\$ 2,726,325.70	\$ 2,769,226.63
PART IC: R	esponsiveness Cri	teria, Bid Specific (329.23)	INPUT "YES" FO	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	answers needed for	responsive bid)
	referenced code se	mit more than one bid for same work. (See ction for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
b	PAGE, page 2	ged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) BID SIGNATURE	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
С	requirements/speci (329.23)(f)(1)(c)	ith technical pre-qualification fication requirements where applicable.	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
d	The bid contains n invitation for bid. (3)	o conditions or qualifications not provided in the 29.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
е	Bidder added no pr (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	ovisions reserving right to accept or reject award.	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
f	Bidder submitted a B3	a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2, FORM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
g	Bidder did not sub FORM B2, FORM I	mit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) 33	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO
h	Bid prices are mate	rially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B4	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
i		egularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid (1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO
j		a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. ORM B4 (If a unit price is not an option, enter	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
k		a lump sum price if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(h) sum is not an option, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	YES
I	Bidder has complicated (329.23)(f)(1)(k)	ed with section 329.20(h) regarding subcontractors. DRM B6	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
		in alterations, ommissions, errors, such that it e bid documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO
	Bid responds to bid (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	d documents in all material respects.	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO
0	Bid is complete. (32	29.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	NON-RESPONSIVE

PROJECT:	Fenway Road Area W	ater Line Improve	ements	Bid I	Date:	Novembe	er 4, 2015	
Department/Division:	ublic Utilities / Water		Initial Apparer	nt Low Bidder:		Danbe	ert, Inc.	
Section: D	istribution		Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :		\$ 2,231,834.		
	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	
CIP No.	90236-100055 (WSRLA loan)	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
	ob Arnold, P.E.	Danbert, Inc.	Elite	Shelly & Sands	Conie	Fields Excavat.	Beheler	
Engineer's Estimate:	2,518,113.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	
		\$ 2,231,834.90	\$ 2,292,920.60	\$ 2,293,102.30	\$ 2,467,168.52	\$ 2,726,325.70	\$ 2,769,226.63	
PART ID: Responsiveness Criteri The "Evaluated Low Bi number at the time of t	idder" has an active contract compliance	A "no" answer in Par	t IE will not necessarily YES	render a bid non-resp contract o		ave a valid contract co	mpliance no. prior to YES	
		Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive,	Bid Responsive,	Bid Responsive,	Bid Responsive,	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract;	
Bid Respon	nsivness, Waiver Considerations:	continue to rare ii	continue to 1 art ii	continue to 1 art ii	continue to rare ii	continue to rare ii	Contact Fiscal Office	
	Proceed to Part II?	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	
LOWE	EST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 2,231,834.90		Manually Enter Amou	nt			
LOWEST	T RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	Danbert, Inc.		Manually Enter Inforn				

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	Fenway Road Area V	Vater Line Improve	ements	Bid I	Date:	November 4, 2015		
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water		Responsive Low E	Bidder (from Tab I):		Danbert, Inc.		
Section:	Distribution		Responsive Low	Bid (from Tab I):		\$	2,231,834.90	
CIP No.	690236-100055 (WSRLA loan)	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
Project Manager:	Bob Arnold, P.E.	Danbert, Inc.	Elite	Shelly & Sands	Conie	Fields Excavat.	NA	
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 2,518,113.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	
		\$ 2,231,834.90	\$ 2,292,920.60	\$ 2,293,102.30	\$ 2,467,168.52	\$ 2,726,325.70	0	

PART IIA:	329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria	INPUT "	YES" FOR POSITIVE RES	PONSE OR "NO" FOR N	EGATIVE RESPONSE (1	point for each "yes", 0 f	or "no")
	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B4, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
b	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B7, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
С	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B7, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B8 ,	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
е	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B8	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B8	YES	YES	YES	NA	NA	
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B8	YES	YES	YES	NA	NA	
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B9	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
	Responsibility Score:	8	8	8	6	6	0
	Continue to TAB 3?	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	



Tab 2: 5 of 11

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

PF	ROJECT:	Fenway Road Area V	Vater Line Impro	vements	Bid I	Date:	Novembe	er 4. 2015
	ent/Division:	Public Utilities / Water		Evaluated Low Bidde			Danbe	,
Section:		Distribution		Evaluated Low Bid			\$	2,231,834.90
CIP No.		690236-100055 (WSRLA loan)	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	anager:	Bob Arnold, P.E.	Danbert, Inc.	Elite	Shelly & Sands	Conie	Fields Excavat.	NA
Engineer'	's Estimate:	\$ 2,518,113.00	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
DART III. O	00 (04)(-) 1 000 04	O.L. and Division	\$ 2,231,834.90	\$ 2,292,920.60	\$ 2,293,102.30	\$ 2,467,168.52	\$ 2,726,325.70	NA
PART III: 3	29.(01)(u) and 329.21	2 Local Bidder						
		IS BID LOCAL?	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	
To look up	if a hidder is local u	se the Office of Construction Pregualification's lis	_	X:\Construction Pregual	-	TLS	NO	
PART IIIA:	ii a biuuei is iocai, u	se the Office of Construction Frequalification's lis	n localeu al	A. (Construction Prequal	incau0II			
step 1		OW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to Too own BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINUADOVE for apparent low bid and bidder information.	AB IV. JE TO TO STEP 2 (see					
Part IIIB:								
step 2		e of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	0.000%	2.737%	2.745%	10.544%	22.156%	NA
step 3	Is amount in prior ste BID?	ep WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO	NA
step 4	DID AGENCY FOLL	EE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. DW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO DNS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?						
step 5	INPUT NEW BID AM (\$0)	OUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO						
Part IIIC:								
step 6	REVISED LOW E	BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB:	\$ 2,231,834.90		Manually Enter Amoun	t		
step 7	REVISED LOW E	BIDDER, TAB IIIB:	Danbert, Inc.		Manually Enter Informa	ation		

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

DE	ROJECT:		Fenway Road Area Wate	٠ <u>. ۱ :</u> ،	ao Improvomo	ntc			Bid I	Data		Novembe	r / 2015
	COLCI.		renway Roau Alea Wate	:I LII	ie iliipioveille	1115			Diu i	Jale	•	Novembe	1 4, 2013
Departme	nt/Division:	Public Utilities	/ Water						Tabs I, II and III):			Danbe	rt, Inc.
Section:		Distribution				Evaluate	ed Low Bid (fr	om T	abs I, II and III) :			\$	2,231,835
OID N		I cooper 4 000==	(MACONIA I			20112							2011741174117
CIP No.		690236-100055	•		OMPANY NAME Oanbert, Inc.		ANY NAME Elite		MPANY NAME	CC	MPANY NAME Conie	OMPANY NAME elds Excavat.	NA COMPANY NAME
Project M	anager: s Estimate:	Bob Arnold, P.E \$	2,518,113.00		Bid Amount		Amount		Bid Amount		Bid Amount	 Bid Amount	Bid Amount
Eligilleer	s Estilliate.	2,313,113.			2,231,834.90		292,920.60	\$	2,293,102.30			\$ 2,726,325.70	NA NA
PART IV: 3	IV: 329.(17) Environmental Preference			\$, 1 ,11	· -,	, ,-	Ė	, 11, 1		, , ,	, .,.	_
Арра	arent Low Bid:	\$	2,231,834.90	Appa	rent Low Bidder:		Danbe	rt, Inc	C.				
DADT IV	00 (47) 5												
PARTIV: 3	29.(17) Environmental	Preterence											
step 1			onmentally preferable" bid? Answer other bidder's, input "NA"		YES		NA		NA		NA	NA	NA
step 2	Excluding apparent bid?	low bidder, did bidder so	ubmit "environmentally preferable"		NA		YES		NO		YES	NO	NO
step 3	bid, then the apparent in Steps 4-6, complete	/evaluated low bidder is le e Step 7, and proceed to T ted low bidder did not sub	ted an environmentally preferable ad bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data ab 5. mit an environmentally preferable										
step 4	Calculate environmen		I. It is up to five percent (5%) of the 20,000 per bid.		0.000%	2.	.737%		2.745%		10.544%	22.156%	NA
step 5	Preference amount for applied).	r each eligible bid (if 0, the	en no environmental preference was	\$	-	\$	20,000.00	\$	20,000.00	\$	20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	NA
step 6		onmental preference, excee, both claiming EP cance	ept if both claim enviornmental els it for both	\$	2,231,834.90	\$	2,272,920.60	\$	2,273,102.30	\$	2,447,168.52	\$ 2,706,325.70	NA
step 7	Low Bid Amount:	\$ 2,231,834.90	Low Bidder:		Danbert, Inc.								

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Danbert, Inc.	\$ 2,231,834.90			
Project:	Fenway Road Area Water Line Improvements				

Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

Bid \$ 2,231,834.90		Elite	Snelly & Sands	Conie	Fields Excavat.	Beneier	
-	, , , ,	\$ 2,292,920.60	\$ 2,293,102.30	\$ 2,467,168.52	\$ 2,726,325.70	\$ 2,769,226.63	
Percent Difference btwn Bid and							
Next Highest Bid	2.74%	0.01%	7.59%	10.50%	1.57%		

Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder?

If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h?

If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.

YES YES

Bid Gurantee Requirements

Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.

Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?

Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarentee submitted?

YES YES

Bid Bond Bond on City's bond form

Surety power of attorney

Most recent surety financial statement

Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance

Certified/Cashier's Check Drawn on a solvant bank

YES

NO

N/A

Contract Compliance

Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp

Are all subcontractors contract compliant? Required before the contract can be executed.

If there are not any subcontractors, choose N/A.

Is the corporation foreign or the individual or partnership a non-resident of the State of Ohio?

If yes, then did they file with the Secretary of State a power of attorney designating them or their agent or the Secretary of State, as agent, for the purpose of accepting service of summons, in any action in law or equity,

or both, brought in the State of Ohio

This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid

Letter of Credit

LOC on City's LOC form

http://www.sos.state.oh.us/

Award recommendation: After evaluation of the bids, I recommend the following contractor be awarded the contract for:

Project Name: Fenway Road Area Water Line Improvements

Danbert, Inc. Recommended Bidder:

Contract Amount: \$ 2,231,834.90

Evaluator's Name:

Missy Smith 645-3776

Evaluation Completion Date:

11/5/2015

Additional Information for Departments:

State of Ohio - Ohio Auditor

This is the link to the State of Ohio Auditor's web site to check for "findings for recovery"

https://ohioauditor.gov/findings.html

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Danbert, Inc.	\$ 2,231,834.90			
Project:	Fenway Road Area Water Line Improvements				

Federal - System for Award Management

This is the link to the Federal System for Awards Management site to check for "findings for recovery"

https://www.sam.gov/portal/SAM/#1

City of Columbus

Contact the Purchasing Office if you have a question about the City's list of debarred vendors.

State of Ohio Debarment

This is the link to multiple state agencies that lists debarred companies.

http://ofcc.ohio.gov/Resources/Debarments.aspx

OSHA

This is the link to the US Department of Labor's website for OSHA violations

https://www.osha.gov

City of Columbus Office of Prequalifications

Pre-Qualification Office email: prequalification@columbus.gov

Pre-Qualification Office phone no: 645-0359

ORD# 2881-2015

TAB 6: U.S. and Ohio EPA Requirements

version: April 28, 2015

PROJ	ECT:	Fenway Road Area W	ater Line Improver	nents	Bid Date:		November 4, 2015	
Department/Divisi Public Utilities / Water				nt Low Bidder:		Danbert, Inc.		
Section:		Distribution		Initial Appar	ent Low Bid :		\$2,231,834.90	
	(ds from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	
CIP No.		690236-100055 (WSRLA loan)	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
Project Ma		Bob Arnold, P.E.	Danbert, Inc.	Elite	Shelly & Sands	Conie	Fields Excavat.	
Engineer's	Estimate:	\$ 2,518,113.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	
			\$2,231,834.90	\$2,292,920.60	\$2,293,102.30	\$2,467,168.52	\$2,726,325.70	
			2.74%	0.01%		10.50%		
Responsive	ness Criter	ia, WPCLF/WSRLA Compliance	A "NO" answer will not	t necessarily render a b	id non-responsive. Bidde	er must have all forms	completed and required	
FORM B11	returned the	ted Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and Contractor Equal Employment Opportunity ication Form	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	
FORM B12	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the US EPA Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters Form		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	
FORM B13.1	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has provided documentation to show it has met the EPA Disadvantaged Business B13.* Enterprises (DBE) Utilization Goals of 1.2% MBE and 1.8% WBE (if "NO," Good Faith Efforts must be documented - see immediately below)		yes	No	yes	yes	No; said subs were not needed at this time.	
FORM B13.2	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has provided a completed and signed US EPA Form 6100-3 from each proposed subcontractor		yes	no	yes	yes	No; said subs were not needed at this time.	
	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned US EPA Form 6100-4		yes	no	yes	yes	No; said subs were not needed at this time.	
GOOD FAITH	Disadvantag of 1.2% MBI	ted Low Bidder" has NOT met the EPA ged Business Enterprise (DBE) Utilization Goals E and 1.8% WBE, so documentation of Good to solicit DBE participation has been provided.	n/a	yes; advertised on BX with no responses	n/a	n/a	No; said subs were not needed at this time.	
FORM B14	returned the	ted Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and American Iron and Steel (AIS) ement Form	yes	yes	No, but Sarah Harrell said we could ask for clarification.	yes	yes	

LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$2,231,834.90	Manually Enter Amount
LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB 6	Danbert, Inc.	Manually Enter Information

TAB VII: Notes

				1		Unaergrouna	I	
Danbert, Inc.	Elite Excavating	Shelly & Sands	Conie	Fields Excavating	Beheler	Util.	Darby Creek Exc.	Savko
						Bid amount =	Bid amount =	Bid amount =
Tab 1, Part IC-g: Bid bond:							\$2,981,492.67	\$3,111,211.50
					Beheler made error in subtotal so bid bond was not 10% (they wrote \$275,791.55). Marked "no". Now Non Responsive. Bid not evaluted further.	*Bid not evaluated; 28.66% higher than lowest, responsive, responsible bidder	*Bid not evaluated; 33.6% higher than lowest, responsive, responsible bidder	*Bid not evaluated; 39.4% higher than lowest, responsive, responsible bidder
	Tab 1, Part	IC-i: Irregularities or deviations	that affect the bid am	ount:		(Danbert).	(Danbert).	(Danbert).
		-			See comment above. Marked "no".			
# of projects meeting	# of projects meeting sector 1	Tab 2, Part IIA-g: Meeting de	eadlines/costs:					
# of projects meeting costs: 11 out of 18	out of 3	# of projects meeting costs: 2 out of 3						
# of projects meeting schedules: 8 out of 8 (8 of the 18 did not have final completion dates). Marked "yes" for both.	Marked "yes" for both.	# of projects meeting schedules: 1 out of 3. Marked "yes" for both.		Info not provided. Marked "N/A".				
		Tab 4, Part IV-step 2: Environm	ental Preference:					
Submitted Construction Site Waste Mgmt. Plan to be in conformance with LEED req's. PM to evaluate. Marked "yes" for now.		Submitted weak plan so marked "no".		Submitted weak plan so marked "no". (no schedule to retrofit/replace current vehicles w/emissin-reducing technologies).				
now.		Tab 5, Subcontractors Contra	act Compliant?	reducing teermologics).				
Bakarr Enterprises now active.		·	·					
	T	ab 6, Form B13.1 thru 13.3 - ME	BE/WBE Goals Met:					
	no	Using Lionel Construction (MBR) & M.S. Trucking for MBE/WBE goals but did not complete Form B14. Sarah Harrell said we could ask for clarification if we wanted.		No; said subs were not needed at this time.				
		Tab 6, Good Faith E	fforts:					
	Submitted Good Faith Effort statement.	135 0, 3000 1 3111 1						