PROJECT:	Watershed Roadway Im	Bid Date:	D	ecember 16, 2015	
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparen	nt Low Bidder:		Cols. Asph. Pav.
Section:	Supply / Technical Support	Initial Apparent Low Bid :		\$	1,015,302.59

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690384-100003	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	C.R. Weaver	Cols. Asph. Pav.	Decker	Strawser	Shelly Co.	Shelly & Sands	
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 922,113.84	Initial Bid Amount					
		\$1,015,302.59	\$1,021,661.16	\$1,055,856.45	\$1,099,649.87	\$1,634,885.01	
		0.63%	3.35%	4.15%	48.67%	-100.00%	

PART IA: R	esponsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
а	The bidder <b>is pre-qualified</b> responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
b	The bid <b>contains</b> the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) <b>FORM B1</b>	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
c	The bidder <b>submitted</b> , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) <b>FORM B10</b>	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
d	If applicable, the bidder listed a (sub)contractor(s) with an active water or sewer contractor tappers license (CMS 107.02)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
е	There is <b>no evidence</b> of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	

PROJECT:	Watershed Roadway Im	Bid Date:	D	ecember 16, 2015	
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparen	nt Low Bidder:		Cols. Asph. Pav.
Section:	Supply / Technical Support	Initial Apparent Low Bid :		\$	1,015,302.59

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690384-100003	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	anager:	C.R. Weaver	Cols. Asph. Pav.	Decker	Strawser	Shelly Co.	Shelly & Sands	
Engineer'	s Estimate:	\$ 922,113.84	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$1,015,302.59	\$1,021,661.16	\$1,055,856.45	\$1,099,649.87	\$1,634,885.01	
PART IB: Responsiveness Criteria, Subcontractors (329.20)			INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
а		ed a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
b	licensed construction	re listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors are on trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM B6 de subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
с	qualified responsib	bcontractors are listed, the bidder <b>proposed</b> pre- le or provisionally responsible licensed trade 29.20)(h) ( <b>If no licensed trade subcontractors are</b> )	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
d	work under which t	re listed, the bidder listed the division/section of he sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no listed, enter "NA") FORM B6	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
e	subcontractor per of more than one sub	re listed and the bidder listed more than one division/section of work, did the bidder explain why is listed for the division/section of work? no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
f	trade subcontracto responsible. (329.2	affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction rs are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally 20)(h)(3) Form B10 (If no licensed trade re listed, enter "NA")	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
g		subcontractors who were currently suspended or y. (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	

PROJECT:	Watershed Roadway Im	Bid Date:	D	ecember 16, 2015	
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:			Cols. Asph. Pav.
Section:	Supply / Technical Support	Initial Apparent Low Bid :		\$	1,015,302.59

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690384-100003	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Ma	anager:	C.R. Weaver	Cols. Asph. Pav.	Decker	Strawser	Shelly Co.	Shelly & Sands	
Engineer's	s Estimate:	\$ 922,113.84	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$1,015,302.59	\$1,021,661.16	\$1,055,856.45	\$1,099,649.87	\$1,634,885.01	
Part IC: R	esponsiveness Cri	teria, Bid Specific (329.23)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for r	esponsive bid)
а	referenced code se	mit more than one bid for same work. (See ction for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
b	Bidder acknowledg PAGE, page 2	ged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) BID SIGNATURE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
с	requirements/specif (329.23)(f)(1)(c)	ith technical pre-qualification fication requirements where applicable.	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
d	The bid <b>contains</b> n invitation for bid. (32)	o conditions or qualifications not provided in the 29.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
е	Bidder added <b>no</b> pr (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	rovisions reserving right to accept or reject award.	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
f	Bidder submitted a B3	a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2, FORM	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
g	Bidder did not sub FORM B2, FORM B	<b>mit</b> an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) B <b>3</b>	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
h	Bid prices are mate	erially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B4	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	
		egularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid (1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
j	(329.23)(f)(1)(g) F(	a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. ORM B4 (If a unit price is not an option, enter	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
k		a lump sum price if <b>required</b> . (329.23)(f)(1)(h) <b>sum is not an option, enter "NA")</b>	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
I	Bidder has complie (329.23)(f)(1)(k) FC	ed with section 329.20(h) regarding subcontractors. DRM B6	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
m		in alterations, ommissions, errors, such that it e bid documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
n	Bid <b>responds</b> to bid (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	d documents in all material respects.	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	
0	Bid is complete. (32	29.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	NON-RESPONSIVE	

PROJECT:	Watershed Roadway Im	Bid Date:	D	ecember 16, 2015	
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:			Cols. Asph. Pav.
Section:	Supply / Technical Support	Initial Apparent Low Bid :		\$	1,015,302.59

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690384-100003	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	C.R. Weaver	Cols. Asph. Pav.	Decker	Strawser	Shelly Co.	Shelly & Sands	
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 922,113.84	Initial Bid Amount					
		\$1,015,302.59	\$1,021,661.16	\$1,055,856.45	\$1,099,649.87	\$1,634,885.01	

		A "no" answer in Part IE will not necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have a valid contract compliance no. prior to					
PART II	D: Responsiveness Criteria, Contract Compliance	contract execution.					
a	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has an active contract compliance number at the time of bid.	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	

	· ·	1 7	1 7	Continue to Part II	Required to Contract;	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office
Proceed to Part II?	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	

LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 1,015,302.59	Manually Enter Amount
LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	Cols. Asph. Pav.	Manually Enter Information

## TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	Watershed Roadway Im	p's - Part 3: Griggs Reservoir	Bid Date:	De	ecember 16, 2015
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Responsive Low I	Bidder (from Tab I):		Cols. Asph. Pav.
Section:	Supply / Technical Support	Responsive Low	\$	1,015,302.59	

CIP No.	690384-100003		COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	C.R. Weaver		Cols. Asph. Pav.	Decker	Strawser	Shelly Co.	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$	922,113.84	Initial Bid Amount					
			\$ 1,015,302.59	\$ 1,021,661.16	\$ 1,055,856.45	\$ 1,099,649.87	0	0

PART IIA: 3	29.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria	INPUT "	YES" FOR POSITIVE RES	PONSE OR "NO" FOR N	EGATIVE RESPONSE (1	point for each "yes", 0 f	or "no")
а	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B4, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES	YES		
	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B7, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES	YES		
c	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. <b>FORM B7</b> , <b>SPECIFICATIONS</b>	YES	YES	YES	YES		
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B8,	YES	YES	YES	YES		
е	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B8	YES	YES	YES	YES		
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B8	YES	YES	YES	NA		
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B8	YES	YES	YES	NA		
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B9	YES	YES	YES	NA		
	Responsibility Score:	8	8	8	5	0	0
	Continue to TAB 3?	YES	YES	YES	YES		

Manually Enter Information

## TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

PROJECT:	Watershed Roadway Im	p's - Part 3: Griggs Reservoir	Bid Date:	December 16, 2015		
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Evaluated Low Bidde	r (from Tabs I and II):		Cols. Asph. Pav.	
Section:	Supply / Technical Support	Evaluated Low Bid	from Tabs I and II) :	Ş	1,015,302.59	

CIP No.	690384-100003		COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	C.R. Weaver		Cols. Asph. Pav.	Decker	Strawser	Shelly Co.	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$	922,113.84	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
			\$ 1,015,302.59	\$ 1,021,661.16	\$ 1,055,856.45	\$ 1,099,649.87	NA	NA
PART III: 329.(01)(u) and 329.2	12 Local Bidder							

1							
	IS BID LOCAL?	NO	YES	YES	YES		
		•			-	•	

To look up if a bidder is local, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's list located at

X:\Construction Prequalification

PART IIIA:	
	IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip steps 2-5 and enter
	data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TAB IV.
step 1	IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information)

Part IIIB:							
step 2	Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	0.000%	0.626%	3.994%	8.308%	NA	NA
step 3	Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW BID?	YES	YES	NO	NO	NA	NA
step 4	If step 3 is "yes", SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. DID AGENCY FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO CITY CODE SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?	NA	YES				
step 5	INPUT NEW BID AMOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO (\$0)		\$ 1,015,302.59				

Part IIIC:			
step 6	REVISED LOW BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB:	\$ 1,015,302.59	Manually Enter Amount
step 7	REVISED LOW BIDDER, TAB IIIB:	Decker Construction Co.	Manually Enter Information

## TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

PROJECT:	Watershed Roadway Imp's	- Part 3: Griggs Reservoir	Bid Date:	Dec	ember 16, 2015
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Evaluated Low Bidder	(from Tabs I, II and III):	Decke	er Construction Co.
Section:	Supply / Technical Support	Evaluated Low Bid (fi	rom Tabs I, II and III) :	\$	1,015,303

CIP No.	690384-100003		COMPANY NAM	IE	COMPANY NAME	C	OMPANY NAME	C	OMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	C.R. Weaver		Cols. Asph. Pa	av.	Decker		Strawser		Shelly Co.	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$	922,113.84	Bid Amount		Bid Amount		Bid Amount		Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
			\$ 1,015,302	59	\$ 1,021,661.16	\$	1,055,856.45	\$	1,099,649.87	NA	NA
PART IV: 329.(17) Environmental Preference											
Apparent Low Bid:	\$	1,015,302.59	Apparent Low Bidder: Decker Construction Co.								

PART IV: 3	29.(17) Environmental	Preference									
step 1		Did apparent/evaluated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer "YES" or "NO" for apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA"			YES	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA
step 2	Excluding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid?				NA	YES		YES			
step 3	STOP. If apparent/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bid, then the apparent/evaluated low bidder is lead bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data in Steps 4-6, complete Step 7, and proceed to Tab 5. If the apparent/evaluated low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bid, proceed to Steps 6 and 7.										
step 4		al preference for each bio bid, for a maximum of \$.	d. It is up to five percent (5%) of the 20,000 per bid.		0.000%	0.626%		3.994%	8.308%	NA	NA
step 5	Preference amount for applied).	each eligible bid (if 0, the	en no environmental preference was	\$	(0.00)	\$ 6,398.39	\$	20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	NA	NA
step 6		nmental preference, exc e, both claiming EP canc	ept if both claim enviornmental els it for both	\$	1,015,302.59	\$ 1,015,262.77	\$	1,035,856.45	\$ 1,079,649.87	NA	NA
step 7	Low Bid Amount:	\$ 1,015,302.59	Low Bidder:		Decker						
							_	_			

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

#### TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid: Project:		Decker			\$ 1,015,302.59	]		
		Watershed Roadway Imp's - Part 3: Griggs Reservoir						
Dther "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)	With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder. [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.							
	Bidders	Cols. Aspn. Pav.	Decker	Strawser	Snelly Co.	Snelly & Sands	U	
	Bid	\$ 1,015,302.59			-	-	\$	
	Percent Difference btwn Bid and Next Highest Bid	0.63%	3.35%	4.15%	48.67%	-100.00%		
	Is any bidder 10% or more below t If yes, does the lower bidder's TAI If yes, low bidder is "responsible" un	3 2 evaluation have 'ye	es' answers for questions	s c, d, g, and h?			YES YES	
Bid Gurantee Requirements	Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.         Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?         Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarentee submitted?         YES							
	<u>Bid Bond</u> Bond on City's bond form Surety power of attorney Most recent surety financial stateme Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Cer		Letter of Credit LOC on City's LOC form		Certified/Cashier's Chee Drawn on a solvant ban			
Contract Compliance	Are all subcontractors contract compliant? Required before the contract can be executed. YES							
Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp	Is the corporation foreign or the individual or partnership a non-resident of the State of Ohio? NO							
	If yes, then did they file with the Secretary of State a power of attorney designating them or their agent or the Secretary of State, as agent, for the purpose of accepting service of summons, in any action in law or equity, or both, brought in the State of Ohio							
	This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid							
	http://www.sos.state.oh.us/							
Award recommendation: Afte	r evaluation of the bids, I recommend	the following contract	tor be awarded the contra	ct for:				
Project Name:	Watershed Roadway Imp's - Pa	rt 3: Griggs Reservo	bir					
Recommended Bidder:	Decker Construction Company							
Contract Amount:	\$ 1,015,302.59		<u> </u>					
Evaluator's Name:	Missy Smith 645-3776							
	10/00/00/15							

Evaluation Completion Date: 12/22/2015

Additional Information for Departments:

State of Ohio - Ohio Auditor

This is the link to the State of Ohio Auditor's web site to check for "findings for recovery"

https://ohioauditor.gov/findings.html

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Decker	\$ 1,015,302.59	
Project:	Watershed Roadway Imp's - Part 3: Griggs Reservoir		

## Federal - System for Award Management

This is the link to the Federal System for Awards Management site to check for "findings for recovery"

https://www.sam.gov/portal/SAM/#1

https://www.osha.gov

http://ofcc.ohio.gov/Resources/Debarments.aspx

### City of Columbus

Contact the Purchasing Office if you have a question about the City's list of debarred vendors.

#### State of Ohio Debarment

This is the link to multiple state agencies that lists debarred companies.

### <u>OSHA</u>

This is the link to the US Department of Labor's website for OSHA violations

### **City of Columbus Office of Prequalifications**

Pre-Qualification Office email: Pre-Qualification Office phone no: prequalification@columbus.gov 645-0359

### ORD# 0107-2016 TAB VII: Notes

# 1. Columbus Asphalt Paving:

Environmental Preference (Tab 4): PM to evaluate. Marked "yes" for now.

# 2. Decker Construction Co.:

Decker submitted an updated bid tab to meet CAP's bid amount of \$1,015,302.59.

Environmental Preference (Tab 4): PM agrees they are an environmentally preferable bidder.

# Local Preference Steps:

1. 12/21/15: e-mail with letter sent to Decker &
 Columbus Asphalt Paving with a due date of 12/23/15,
 4pm.

2. 12/21/15: received read receipt from C.A.P. but no response.

2. 12/22/15: received e-mail notice from Decker that they will match C.A.P.'s bid amount.

3. Strawser Paving Co.

Strawser listed MP Dory as doing electrical work but "No" as being licensed, but they do need to be licensed and are pre-qualified. Marked "yes" since this is error on their part.

# 4. The Shelly Co.

Did not submit info to evaluate meeting deadlines/costs. Marked "NA".

Bidder submitted 4 pages of active projects. Not evaluating since 4th highest bidder. Marked "NA".

# 5. Shelly & Sands

Marked "No" for bid being materially balanced (Tab 1). Due to pricing error on line item #89 but have to evaluate based on extended bid price.