PROJECT:	S.R. 710 East T	ank Painting Imp's	Bid Date:	Feb	ruary 17, 2016
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparei	nt Low Bidder:		UCL
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	1,142,277.75

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690477-100010		COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	David Finney		UCL	Amer. Suncraft				
Engineer's Estimate:	\$	1,207,342.45	Initial Bid Amount					
			\$1,142,277.75	\$1,806,356.75				
			58.14%	-100.00%				

PART IA: F	Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
а	The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES	YES				
b	The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1	YES	YES				
С	The bidder submitted , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) FORM B10	YES	YES				
d	There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES	YES				
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE				

PROJECT:	S.R. 710 East T	ank Painting Imp's	Bid Date:	Febru	ıary 17, 2016
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparei	nt Low Bidder:		UCL
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	1,142,277.75

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690477-100010	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Ma	anager:	David Finney	UCL	Amer. Suncraft				
Engineer'	ngineer's Estimate: \$ 1,207,342.45		Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$1,142,277.75	\$1,806,356.75				
PART IB: R	Responsiveness Cri	teria, Subcontractors (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
а		ed a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1)	YES	YES				
b	licensed construction	re listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors are on trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM B6 de subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	YES	NA				
С	qualified responsibl	bcontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre- le or provisionally responsible licensed trade (9.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are	NA	NA				
d	work under which the	re listed, the bidder listed the division/section of the sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no licensed are are listed, enter "NA") FORM B6	NA	NA				
e	subcontractor per d more than one sub	re listed and the bidder listed more than one division/section of work, did the bidder explain why is listed for the division/section of work? o licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter	NA	NA				
f	f Bidder stated, via affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction trade subcontractors are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(h)(3) Form B10 (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")		NA	NA				
g	Bidder did not bid subcontractors who were currently suspended or debarred by the city. (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)		YES	YES				
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE				

PROJECT:	S.R. 710 East T	ank Painting Imp's	Bid Date:	Fe	bruary 17, 2016
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparer	nt Low Bidder:		UCL
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	1,142,277.75

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690477-100010	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	anager:	David Finney	UCL	Amer. Suncraft				
Engineer'	s Estimate:	\$ 1,207,342.45	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$1,142,277.75	\$1,806,356.75				
PART IC: R	esponsiveness Cri	teria, Bid Specific (329.23)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for i	esponsive bid)
a	referenced code se	mit more than one bid for same work. (See ction for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES	YES				
b	PAGE, page 2	ged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) BID SIGNATURE	YES	YES				
С	requirements/specif (329.23)(f)(1)(c)	ith technical pre-qualification fication requirements where applicable.	YES	YES				
d	The bid contains n invitation for bid. (3)	o conditions or qualifications not provided in the 29.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES	YES				
е	Bidder added no pr (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	ovisions reserving right to accept or reject award.	YES	YES				
f	Bidder submitted a B3	a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2, FORM	YES	YES				
g	Bidder did not sub FORM B2, FORM B	mit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i)	YES	YES				
h	Bid prices are mate	rially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B4	YES	NA				
i		egularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid (1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES				
j		a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. ORM B4 (If a unit price is not an option, enter	YES	YES				
k		a lump sum price if required . (329.23)(f)(1)(h) sum is not an option, enter "NA")	NA	NA				
I	Bidder has complie (329.23)(f)(1)(k) FC	ed with section 329.20(h) regarding subcontractors. DRM B6	YES	NA				
m		in alterations, ommissions, errors, such that it e bid documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	YES	YES				
n	Bid responds to bid (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	d documents in all material respects.	YES	YES				
0	Bid is complete. (32	29.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES				
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE				

PRO	OJECT:		S.R. 710 East Ta	ank Painting Imp's	5	Bid	Date:	February	/ 17, 2016
Departmen	nt/Division:	Public Utilities /	Water		Initial Appare	nt Low Bidder:		U	CL
Section:		Distribution			Initial Appar	ent Low Bid :		\$	1,142,277.75
		Ride from Annar	ant lowest to highest	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest No. 690477-100010		COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
Project Ma	roject Manager: David Finney		UCL	Amer. Suncraft					
Engineer's	s Estimate:	\$	1,207,342.45	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
				\$1,142,277.75	\$1,806,356.75				
PART ID: Re	_	criteria, Contract Complian ow Bidder" has an active cor		A "no" answer in Par	rt IE will not necessaril ⁱ NO		ponsive. Bidder must hexecution.	ave a valid contract co	mpliance no. prior to
	number at the tim	le of bid.							
	Bid Re	sponsivness, Waiver Co		Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office			
		Proceed to Part II	?	YES	YES				
		OWIEST BESDONSING DE	D TABL			Manually Enton A			
	LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I		D, IABI	\$1,142,277.75	7.75 Manually Enter Amount				
	LOV	VEST RESPONSIVE BIDI	DER, TAB I	UCL		Manually Enter Infor	mation		

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	S.R. 710 East Tank Painting Imp's				Bid Date: February 17, 2016			
Department/Division:	Public Utilities /	Water			Bidder (from Tab I):		UCL	
Section:	Distribution			Responsive Low	Bid (from Tab I):		\$	1,142,277.75
CIP No.	690477-100010		COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	David Finney		UCL	Amer. Suncraft	NA	NA	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$	1,207,342.45	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 1,142,277.75	\$ 1,806,356.75	0	0	0	0

PART IIA: 3	329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria	INPUT "	YES" FOR POSITIVE RES	PONSE OR "NO" FOR N	EGATIVE RESPONSE (1	point for each "yes", 0 f	or "no")
а	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B4, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	NO				
b	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B7, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES				
С	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B7, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	NA				
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B8 ,	YES	YES				
е	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B8	YES	YES				
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B8	YES	NA				
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B8	YES	YES				
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B9	YES	YES				
	Responsibility Score:	8	5	0	0	0	0
	Continue to TAB 3?	YES	YES				



Tab 2: 5 of 10

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

Di	OO IECT.	C D 7405	Sanda Dalladia a d	-1-	D:4	Doto		15 5515
PF	ROJECT:	S.R. /10 East T	ank Painting Imp	o s	Bia	Date:	February	17, 2016
Departme	ent/Division:	Public Utilities / Water		Evaluated Low Bidde			U	CL
Section:		Distribution		Evaluated Low Bid	(from Tabs I and II) :		\$	1,142,277.75
CIP No.		690477-100010	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	lanager:	David Finney	UCL	Amer. Suncraft	NA	NA	NA	NA
	's Estimate:	\$ 1,207,342.45	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
			\$ 1,142,277.75	\$ 1,806,356.75	NA	NA	NA	NA
PART III: 3	29.(01)(u) and 329.	212 Local Bidder						
		IS BID LOCAL?	NO	NO				
To look up	if a bidder is local,	use the Office of Construction Prequalification's lis	t located at	X:\Construction Prequal	<u>ification</u>	l		l
PART IIIA:								
step 1		LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TALOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINUADOVE for apparent low bid and bidder information.	AB IV. JE TO TO STEP 2 (see					
Part IIIB:								
step 2	Percentage differe	nce of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	0.000%	58.136%	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 3	Is amount in prior BID?	step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW	YES	NO	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 4	DID AGENCY FO	SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. LOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO IONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?						
step 5	INPUT NEW BID A	MOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO						
Part IIIC:								
step 6	REVISED LOW	BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB:	\$ 1,142,277.75		Manually Enter Amoun	t		
step 7	REVISED LOW	BIDDER, TAB IIIB:	UCL	•	Manually Enter Informa	ation		

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

		ivii oiiiii eiitai Fie							
Pl	ROJECT:		S.R. 710 East Tank	Painting Imp's		Bid I	Date:	February	/ 17, 2016
Departme	ent/Division:	Public Utilities /	Water		Evaluated Low Bidder		:		CL
Section:		Distribution			Evaluated Low Bid (fi	om Tabs I, II and III) :		\$	1,142,278
CIP No.		690477-100010		COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	lanager:	David Finney		UCL	Amer. Suncraft	NA	NA	NA	NA
Engineer'	's Estimate:	\$	1,207,342.45	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
				\$ 1,142,277.75	\$ 1,806,356.75	NA	NA	NA	NA
	329.(17) Environmenta		4.442.277.77		117	\\			
Арр	arent Low Bid:	\$	1,142,277.75	Apparent Low Bidder:	UC	iL			
PART IV: 3	329.(17) Environmenta	l Preference							
step 1	Did apparent/evaluate		onmentally preferable" bid? Answer bidder's, input "NA"	NO	NA				
step 2	Excluding apparent bid?	low bidder, did bidder sub	bmit "environmentally preferable"	NA	YES				
step 3	bid, then the apparen in Steps 4-6, complet	t/evaluated low bidder is lea e Step 7, and proceed to Ta ated low bidder did not subm	ed an environmentally preferable and bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data ab 5. nit an environmentally preferable						
step 4	Calculate environmen		It is up to five percent (5%) of the 0,000 per bid.	0.000%	58.136%	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 5	Preference amount fo applied).	or each eligible bid (if 0, ther	n no environmental preference was	\$ -	\$ 20,000.00	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 6		ronmental preference, excepse, both claiming EP cancel	pt if both claim enviornmental Is it for both	\$ 1,142,277.75	\$ 1,786,356.75	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 7	Low Bid Amount:	\$ 1,142,277.75	Low Bidder:	UCL					

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	UCL	\$ 1,142,277.75
Project:	S.R. 710 East Tank Painting Imp's	

Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

Bidders	UCL	Amer. Suncrant	0	0	0	U
Bid	\$ 1,142,277.75	\$ 1,806,356.75	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Percent Difference btwn Bid and						
Next Highest Bid	58.14%	-100.00%				

Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder?

If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h?

If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.

YES YES

Bid Gurantee Requirements

Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.

Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?

Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarentee submitted?

YES YES

Bid Bond Bond on City's bond form

Surety power of attorney

Most recent surety financial statement

Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance

Certified/Cashier's Check Drawn on a solvant bank

Contract Compliance

Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp

Are all subcontractors contract compliant? Required before the contract can be executed.

If there are not any subcontractors, choose N/A.

Is the corporation foreign or the individual or partnership a non-resident of the State of Ohio?

If yes, then did they file with the Secretary of State a power of attorney designating them or their agent or the Secretary of State, as agent, for the purpose of accepting service of summons, in any action in law or equity,

Letter of Credit

LOC on City's LOC form

or both, brought in the State of Ohio

YES NO

N/A

This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid

http://www.sos.state.oh.us/

Award recommendation: After evaluation of the bids, I recommend the following contractor be awarded the contract for:

S.R. 710 East Tank Painting Imp's Project Name:

Recommended Bidder: UCL. Inc. \$1,142,277.75 Contract Amount:

Evaluator's Name: Missy Smith 645-3776

Evaluation Completion Date: 2/18/2016

Additional Information for Departments:

State of Ohio - Ohio Auditor

This is the link to the State of Ohio Auditor's web site to check for "findings for recovery"

https://ohioauditor.gov/findings.html

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	UCL	\$ 1,142,277.75
Project:	S.R. 710 East Tank Painting Imp's	

Federal - System for Award Management

This is the link to the Federal System for Awards Management site to check for "findings for recovery"

https://www.sam.gov/portal/SAM/#1

City of Columbus

Contact the Purchasing Office if you have a question about the City's list of debarred vendors.

State of Ohio Debarment

This is the link to multiple state agencies that lists debarred companies.

http://ofcc.ohio.gov/Resources/Debarments.aspx

OSHA

This is the link to the US Department of Labor's website for OSHA violations

https://www.osha.gov

City of Columbus Office of Prequalifications

Pre-Qualification Office email: prequalification@columbus.gov

Pre-Qualification Office phone no: 645-0359

TAB VII: Notes

UCL	American Suncraft (inactive C.C. #)	PM's comments:
Tab 1, Part 1B: Sub pi		
David said the "Water/Sewer" work both subs		
be pre-qua	ified.	
Tab 1, Part 1C-c: Technic		
The contract requested plural con		
Supplied 10+ tanks they have previously	3 tanks completed and 3 tanks	
completed.	currently in progress.	
Tab 1, Part 1C-h: Mate	•	
	49.61% higher than E.E.; marked "NA"	
	for now.	
Tab 1, Part 1C-l: Subcontra		
	Listed sub but no proposed value of	
	work, no spec # they will be working	
	on. Marked "NA".	
T. I. O. D III	and the second of the second o	
Tab 2, Part IIA-a: Statistically Co	58.14% higher than UCL. Marked	
	"NO".	
	•	
Tab 2, Part IIA-c: Adequat		
	Did not list Proposed Project Mgmt.	
	Team. Marked "NA".	
Tab 2, Part IIA-g: Meeting deadlines/costs:		
	Did not submit deadline info. Marked	
# of projects meeting deadlines: 3 out of 3	"NA".	
		Per David Finney in regards to UCL: The Contractor
# of projects meeting costs: 0 out of 3.		has completed many projects of comparabale size. 5+
Marked "yes" for now. PM to evaluate if ok.	Marked "NA" for now.	with the COC alone.