DIRECTOR'S INFORMATION SHEET

Information to be included in all Legislation authorizing entering into a Contract:

1. The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.

Name	DAX#	C.C. No./Exp. Date	City/State	Status
AECOM (URS Corp Design)	006806	34-1709349 / 3/16/17	Columbus/OH	MAJ
Brierly Associates	008755	46-4148969 / 9/9/17	Columbus/OH	MAJ
DLZ Ohio Inc.	004939	31-1268980 / 2/28/17	Columbus/OH	MBR

2. What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).

Request For Proposal

3. List the ranking and order of all bidders.

- 1. DLZ
- 2. AECOM
- 3. Brierly

4. Complete address, contact name and phone number for the successful bidder only.

6121 Huntley Road Columbus, OH 43229 Jeff Coffey, P.E. 614-888-0040

5. <u>A full description of all work to be performed including a full description of work to be performed during any known phasing of the contract.</u>

The consultant will develop the design and provide engineering services during construction for the City's Lower Olentangy Tunnel project. Services will include field activities to obtain design data; development of a design report, detailed design plans, specifications, easements, permits, bid documents, outreach & coordination activities and provide engineering services during construction.

6. A narrative timeline for the contract including a beginning date, beginning and ending dates for known phases of the contract and a projected ending date.

Design activities will begin in June 2016 with a preliminary design report to be submitted in June 2017, design plans will be complete at the end of 2018, bid documents complete at the end of 2019 and engineering services during construction from 2020 to 2025.

7. A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.

The project was identified in the Integrated Plan and 2015 WWMP Update Report which was developed with input from numerous stakeholder groups and submitted to the Ohio EPA. The project will mitigate sewer overflows into the Olentangy River as described in the report. Short term economic impacts will be in terms of the prime and twelve sub consultants work on design of the project as well as environmental benefits.

8. An estimate of the full cost of the Contract including a separate estimate of any and all phases or proposed future contract modifications.

The total cost of the contract is anticipated to be approximately \$22.5 million.

\$5.5 million	Initial phase – to fund Preliminary Design Report
\$5 million	Mod 1 to substantially advance design
\$4 million	Mod 2 to complete project design
\$1 million	Mod 3 to complete bid docs & services
\$1.5 million	Mod 4 first year of engineering services during construction
\$1.5 million	Mod 5 second year of engineering services during construction
\$1.5 million	Mod 6 third year of engineering services during construction
\$2.5 million	Mod 7 completion of engineering services during construction

9. Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):

Name	C.C. No./Exp. Date	<u>Status</u>
EMHT	31-0685594 / 9/23/17	MAJ
Arcadis	57-0373224 / 5/14/17	MAJ
McMillen Jacobs	94-1371792 / 11/5/17	MAJ
Chester	20-2401674 / 5/18/17	MBE
Black & Veatch	43-2167170 / 9/22/17	MAJ
Prime	26-0546656 / 10/30/17	AS1
Donahue Ideas	06-1716807 / 3/22/17	FBE
Applied Science	38-2559238 / 7/2/17	MBR
Eagon & Assoc	31-1152778 / 5/29/17	MAJ
HWS	26-1290113 / 6/5/17	MAJ
Venegas	58-3265259 / June 2017	MAJ
H R Gray	31-1050479 / 8/17/17	MAJ
Arup	tbd (applied for)	MAJ
Mueser Rutledge	tbd (applied for)	MAJ
CEC-Utilocate	31-0716498 / 5/31/17	AS1
J&K Communications	35-1784801 / 5/5/17	MAJ

10. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

EMHT	planning/design/permitting/coordination	\$2,043,498 (\$885,285)
Arcadis	hydraulic model, surge coordination, I&C	\$1,030,000 (\$493,830)
McMillen Jacobs tunnel & shaft design		\$1,045,793 (\$431,299)
Chester	sewer condition assessment/site civil	\$ 600,000 (\$261,790)
Black & Veatch	technical advisory services	\$ 299,994 (\$129,720)
Prime	structural design	\$ 4,197 (0)
Donahue Ideas	specifications	\$ 280,000 (0)
Applied Science	surge TAP model	\$ 30,000 (0)
Eagon & Assoc	hydrogeological evaluation	\$ 242,000 (\$129,157)
HWS	odor control analysis	\$ 40,000 (\$10,000)
Venegas	value engineering	\$ 60,000 (0)
H R Gray	peer review team	\$ 40,000 (\$12,500)
Arup	peer review team	\$ 40,000 (\$12,500)
Mueser Rutledge	peer review team	\$ 40,000 (\$12,500)
CEC-Utilocate	subsurface utility location	\$ 60,000 (0)
J&K Comm.	radio path study	\$ 13,156(0)
	*	

- Dollar values provided are through completion of design tasks {initial contract + Mod#1}
- Dollar values shown in parentheses (\$) are for initial contract only
- Dollar values of subcontractor tasks after design completion are not known yet

Ord No.:

<u>Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:</u>

1. The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.

Name C.C. No./Exp. Date City/State Status

- 2. What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).
- 3. List the ranking and order of all bidders.
- 4. The name, address, contact name, phone number and contract number of the firm awarded the original contract.
- 5. A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract.
- 6. An updated contract timeline to contract completion.
- 7. A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.
- 8. A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)
- 9. A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)
- 10. If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

- 11. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)
- 12. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.
- 13. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.
- 14. <u>Sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR):</u>

Name C.C. No./Exp. Date Status

15. Scope of work for each subcontractor and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

Note: The Contract should be considered to include any and all work that is anticipated to be awarded to the company awarded the original contract throughout the contract/project timeline. This includes the original contract and any and all future anticipated modifications to the contract to complete the contract/project.

Revised Date: 05-23-11