

Instructions for Construction Bid Evaluations
Effective January 1, 2015

1. Read and become knowledgeable of the requirements of Columbus City Code section 329 as it pertains to construction advertising, evaluation, and contracting.

Here is a link to City Code [Columbus City Code](#)

2. Enter information into the cells that are blank and grey. Do not enter info to cells that are blank and white as there may be a formula in that cell.

3. If you have questions about using the spreadsheet, contact Suzanna Gussler or Alex Cofield.

4. If you print the workbook in its entirety from the print screen as opposed to printing each worksheet separately ("active sheets"), you will print this page and the evaluation will begin on page 2 and go through page 13. If you print each workbook separately, the page numbering is different.

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: March 31, 2016

PROJECT:	Blueprint Hilltop Lining Project	Bid Date:	April 20, 2016
----------	----------------------------------	-----------	----------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Inland Water Pollution Control
Section:	Sewers and Drains	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 6,719,744.52

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	650875-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Mike Griffith	Inland Water Pollution Control	SAK Construction	Layne Inliner	Insituform Technologies		
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 7,812,976.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 6,719,744.52	\$ 6,782,020.80	\$ 6,922,308.60	\$ 7,079,015.76		
		0.93%	2.07%	2.26%	-100.00%		

PART IA: Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid)					
a	The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
b	The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1	YES	YES	YES	YES		
c	The bidder submitted , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) FORM B9	YES	YES	YES	YES		
d	If applicable, the bidder listed a (sub)contractor(s) with an active water or sewer contractor tappers license (CMS 107.02)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
e	Digital ID on bid is from a person authorized to enter into contract on behalf of the company ("name of person signing contract" on Signature Affidavit must match the name on the Digital ID). Proposal Signature Affidavit	YES	YES	YES	YES		
f	There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE		

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: March 31, 2016

PROJECT:	Blueprint Hilltop Lining Project	Bid Date:	April 20, 2016
Department/Division:	Public Utilities	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Inland Water Pollution Control
Section:	Sewers and Drains	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 6,719,744.52

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	650875-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Mike Griffith	Inland Water Pollution Control	SAK Construction	Layne Inliner	Insituform Technologies		
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 7,812,976.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 6,719,744.52	\$ 6,782,020.80	\$ 6,922,308.60	\$ 7,079,015.76		
PART IB: Responsiveness Criteria, Subcontractors (329.20)		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid)					
a	The bidder provided a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated there were not any subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1) FORM B5	YES	YES	YES	YES		
b	If subcontractors are listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors are licensed construction trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM B5 (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	YES	YES	YES	YES		
c	If licensed trade subcontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre-qualified responsible or provisionally responsible licensed trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	YES	YES	YES	YES		
d	If subcontractors are listed, the bidder listed the division/section of work under which the sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no subcontractors are listed, enter "NA") FORM B5	YES	YES	YES	YES		
e	If subcontractors are listed and the bidder listed more than one subcontractor per division/section of work, did the bidder explain why more than one sub is listed for the division/section of work? (329.20)(h)(5) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA") FORM B5	YES	YES	YES	YES		
f	Bidder stated, via affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction trade subcontractors are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(h)(3) Form B9 (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	YES	YES	YES	YES		
g	Bidder did not bid subcontractors who were currently suspended or debarred by the city. (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE		

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: March 31, 2016

PROJECT:	Blueprint Hilltop Lining Project	Bid Date:	April 20, 2016
Department/Division:	Public Utilities	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Inland Water Pollution Control
Section:	Sewers and Drains	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 6,719,744.52

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	650875-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Mike Griffith	Inland Water Pollution Control	SAK Construction	Layne Inliner	Insituform Technologies		
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 7,812,976.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 6,719,744.52	\$ 6,782,020.80	\$ 6,922,308.60	\$ 7,079,015.76		
PART IC: Responsiveness Criteria, Bid Specific (329.23)		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid)					
a	Bidder did not submit more than one bid for same work. (See referenced code section for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
b	Bidder acknowledged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
c	Bidder complied with technical pre-qualification requirements/specification requirements where applicable. (329.23)(f)(1)(c)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
d	The bid contains no conditions or qualifications not provided in the invitation for bid. (329.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
e	Bidder added no provisions reserving right to accept or reject award. (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
f	Bidder submitted a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2	YES	YES	YES	YES		
g	Bidder did not submit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2	YES	YES	YES	YES		
h	Bid prices are materially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B3	YES	YES	YES	YES		
i	Bid contains no irregularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid amount. (329.23)(f)(1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
j	Bidder submitted a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(g) FORM B3 (If a unit price is not an option, enter "NA")	YES	YES	YES	YES		
k	Bidder submitted a lump sum price if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(h) FORM B3 (If lump sum is not an option, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA	NA		
l	Bidder has complied with section 329.20(h) regarding subcontractors. (329.23)(f)(1)(k) FORM B5	YES	YES	YES	YES		
m	Bid does not contain alterations, omissions, errors, such that it does respond to the bid documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
n	Bid responds to bid documents in all material respects. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
o	Bid is complete. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES		

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: March 31, 2016

PROJECT:	Blueprint Hilltop Lining Project	Bid Date:	April 20, 2016
-----------------	---	------------------	-----------------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Inland Water Pollution Control
Section:	Sewers and Drains	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 6,719,744.52

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	650875-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Mike Griffith	Inland Water Pollution Control	SAK Construction	Layne Inliner	Insituform Technologies		
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 7,812,976.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 6,719,744.52	\$ 6,782,020.80	\$ 6,922,308.60	\$ 7,079,015.76		
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE		

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: March 31, 2016

PROJECT:	Blueprint Hilltop Lining Project	Bid Date:	April 20, 2016
----------	----------------------------------	-----------	----------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Inland Water Pollution Control
Section:	Sewers and Drains	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 6,719,744.52

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	650875-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Mike Griffith	Inland Water Pollution Control	SAK Construction	Layne Inliner	Insituform Technologies		
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 7,812,976.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 6,719,744.52	\$ 6,782,020.80	\$ 6,922,308.60	\$ 7,079,015.76		

PART ID: Responsiveness Criteria, Contract Compliance		A "no" answer in Part IE will not necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have a valid contract compliance no. prior to contract execution.					
a	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has an active contract compliance number at the time of bid.						

Bid Responsiveness, Waiver Considerations:	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office
Proceed to Part II?	YES	YES	YES	YES		

LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 6,719,744.52	← Manually Enter Amount
LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	Inland Water Pollution Control	← Manually Enter Information

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	Blueprint Hilltop Lining Project	Bid Date:	April 20, 2016
-----------------	---	------------------	-----------------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities	Responsive Low Bidder (from Tab I):	Inland Water Pollution Control
Section:	Sewers and Drains	Responsive Low Bid (from Tab I):	\$ 6,719,744.52

CIP No.	650875-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Mike Griffith	Pollution Control	SAK Construction	Layne Inliner	Technologies	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 7,812,976.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 6,719,744.52	\$ 6,782,020.80	\$ 6,922,308.60	\$ 7,079,015.76	0	0

PART IIA: 329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria		INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (1 point for each "yes", 0 for "no")					
a	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B3, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES	YES		
b	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B6, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES	YES		
c	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B6, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES	YES		
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES	YES		
e	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES	YES		
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES	YES		
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES	YES		
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B8	YES	YES	YES	YES		
Responsibility Score:		8	8	8	8	0	0
Continue to TAB 3?		YES	YES	YES	YES		

↑
Manually Enter Information

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

PROJECT:	Blueprint Hilltop Lining Project	Bid Date:	April 20, 2016
-----------------	---	------------------	-----------------------

Department/Division:	Public Utilities	Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I and II):	Inland Water Pollution Control
Section:	Sewers and Drains	Evaluated Low Bid (from Tabs I and II) :	\$ 6,719,744.52

CIP No.	650875-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Mike Griffith	Pollution Control	SAK Construction	Layne Inliner	Technologies	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 7,812,976.00	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
		\$ 6,719,744.52	\$ 6,782,020.80	\$ 6,922,308.60	\$ 7,079,015.76	NA	NA
PART III: 329.(01)(u) and 329.212 Local Bidder							

	IS BID LOCAL?	NO	NO	YES	NO		
--	----------------------	-----------	-----------	------------	-----------	--	--

To look up if a bidder is local, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's list located at

[X:\Construction Prequalification](#)

PART IIIA:	
step 1	<p>IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL, STOP. Skip steps 2-5 and enter data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TAB IV.</p> <p>IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINUE TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information)</p>

NO

Part IIIB:							
step 2	Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	0.000%	0.927%	3.014%	5.347%	NA	NA
step 3	Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW BID?	YES	YES	NO	NO	NA	NA
step 4	If step 3 is "yes", SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. DID AGENCY FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO CITY CODE SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?	YES					
step 5	INPUT NEW BID AMOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO (\$0)						

Part IIIC:	
step 6	REVISED LOW BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB:
step 7	REVISED LOW BIDDER, TAB IIIB:

\$ 6,719,744.52

Manually Enter Amount

Inland Water
Pollution Control

Manually Enter Information

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

PROJECT:	Blueprint Hilltop Lining Project			Bid Date:	April 20, 2016		
Department/Division:	Public Utilities	Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I, II and III):			Inland Water Pollution Control		
Section:	Sewers and Drains	Evaluated Low Bid (from Tabs I, II and III) :			\$ 6,719,745		
CIP No.	650875-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Mike Griffith	Pollution Control	SAK Construction	Layne Inliner	Technologies	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 7,812,976.00	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
		\$ 6,719,744.52	\$ 6,782,020.80	\$ 6,922,308.60	\$ 7,079,015.76	NA	NA
PART IV: 329.(17) Environmental Preference							
Apparent Low Bid:	\$ 6,719,744.52	Apparent Low Bidder:	Inland Water Pollution Control				
PART IV: 329.(17) Environmental Preference							
step 1	Did apparent/evaluated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer "YES" or "NO" for apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA"	NA	NA	NA	NA		
step 2	Excluding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid?		NO	NO	NO		
step 3	STOP: If apparent/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bid, then the apparent/evaluated low bidder is lead bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data in Steps 4-6, complete Step 7, and proceed to Tab 5. If the apparent/evaluated low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bid, proceed to Steps 6 and 7.						
step 4	Calculate environmental preference for each bid. It is up to five percent (5%) of the non-environmental low bid, for a maximum of \$20,000 per bid.	0.000%	0.927%	3.014%	5.347%	NA	NA
step 5	Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied).	\$ -	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	NA	NA
step 6	Bid amount less environmental preference, except if both claim environmental preference; in that case, both claiming EP cancels it for both	\$ 6,719,744.52	\$ 6,762,020.80	\$ 6,902,308.60	\$ 7,059,015.76	NA	NA
step 7	Low Bid Amount: \$ 6,719,744.52	Low Bidder:	Inland Water Pollution Control				

↑
Manually Enter Amount

↑
Manually Enter Information

TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Inland Water Pollution Control	\$ 6,719,744.52
Project:	Blueprint Hilltop Lining Project	

Other "responsible"
requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

Bidders	Inland Water Pollution Control	SAK Construction	Layne Inliner	Insituform Technologies	0	0
Bid	\$ 6,719,744.52	\$ 6,782,020.80	\$ 6,922,308.60	\$ 7,079,015.76	\$ -	\$ -
Percent Difference btwn Bid and Next Highest Bid	0.93%	2.07%	2.26%	-100.00%		

Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder?

YES

If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h?

YES

If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.

Bid Guarantee Requirements

Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.

Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?

YES

Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarantee submitted?

YES

Bid Bond

Bond on City's bond form

Surety power of attorney

Most recent surety financial statement

Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance

Contract Compliance

Are all subcontractors contract compliant? Required before the contract can be executed.

YES

If there are not any subcontractors, choose N/A.

Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp

Is the corporation foreign or the individual or partnership a non-resident of the State of Ohio?

NO

If yes, business entity shall register with the Ohio Secretary of State's Office for the purpose of accepting service of summons, in any action in law or equity, or both, brought in the State of Ohio.

N/A

This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid

<http://www.sos.state.oh.us/>

Award recommendation: After evaluation of the bids, I recommend the following contractor be awarded the contract for:

Project Name:	Blueprint Hilltop Lining Project
Recommended Bidder:	Inland Water Pollution Control
Contract Amount:	\$ 6,719,744.52

Evaluator's Name: Mike Pettiford

Evaluation Completion Date: 4/28/2016

TAB 6: U.S. and Ohio EPA Requirements

version: April 28, 2015

PROJECT:	Blueprint Hilltop Lining Project
-----------------	---

Department/Division:	Public Utilities	
Section:	Sewers and Drains	

Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low
CIP No.	650875-100002	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Mike Griffith	Inland Water Pollution Control
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 7,812,976.00	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 6,719,744.52
		0.93%
Responsiveness Criteria, WPCLF/WSRLA Compliance		A "NO" answer will not information submitted affect project schedule
FORM B12	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the Contractor Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Certification Form	Yes
FORM B13	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the US EPA Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters Form	Yes
FORM B14.3	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned US EPA Form 6100-4	Yes
FORM B14.2	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has provided a completed and signed US EPA Form 6100-3 from each proposed subcontractor	Yes
FORM B14.1	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has provided documentation to show it has met the EPA Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBE) Utilization Goals of 1.2% MBE and 1.8% WBE (if "NO," Good Faith Efforts must be documented - see immediately below)	Yes

GOOD FAITH EFFORTS	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has NOT met the EPA Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Utilization Goals of 1.2% MBE and 1.8% WBE, so documentation of Good Faith Efforts to solicit DBE participation has been provided	Yes
FORM B15	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the American Iron and Steel (AIS) Acknowledgement Form	Yes

LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 6,719,744.52
LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB 6	Inland Water Pollution Control

TAB VI: DEPARTMENT SPECIFIC CRITERIA

Instructions:

If you have additional questions/documents that you require with your bids that are departm

Please follow the format of the previous tabs. If you need assistance, please contact Suzann:

	Bid Date:	April 6, 2016
--	------------------	----------------------

Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Inland Water Pollution Control
Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 6,719,744.52

2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
SAK Construction	Layne Inliner	Insituform Technologies		
Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
\$ 6,782,020.80	\$ 6,922,308.60	\$ 7,079,015.76		
2.07%	2.26%	-100.00%		
not necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have all forms completed and required d prior to contract execution. Delay in providing this information may result in delay of loan award and e.				

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

rent specific, please create your own evaluation worksheet here.

a Gussler or Alex Cofield.

TAB VII: Notes

On this page, include any notes or clarification from phone calls made. Be sure to include the company you called, who you spoke with, date, time, question asked, outcome of call, and name of person who made the call.

Include any notes or clarifications from research done.

Yes
No
NA