Instructions for Construction Bid Evaluations Effective January 1, 2015

1. Read and become knowledgeable of the requirements of Columbus City Code section 329 as it pertains to construction advertising, evaluation, and contracting.

Here is a link to City Code <u>Columbus City Code</u>

2. Enter information into the cells that are blank and grey. Do not enter info to cells that are blank and white as there may be a formula in that cell.

3. If you have questions about using the spreadsheet, contact Suzanna Gussler or Alex Cofield.

4. If you print the workbook in its entirety from the print screen as opposed to printing each worksheet separately ("active sheets"), you will print this page and the evaluation will begin on page 2 and go through page 13. If you print each workbook separately, the page numbering is different.

version:	March	31,	2016	

PROJECT:	Willow Creek Phase 2 St	reet Lighting Improvements	Bid Date:		lune 22, 2016
Department/Division:	Public Utilities	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:			U. S. Utility
Section:	Fiscal Capital	Initial Apparent Low Bid :		\$	284,132.42

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	670787-100001	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Scott A. Wolfe	U. S. Utility	Complete General	J. Ranck Electric			
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 374,947.43	Initial Bid Amount					
		\$ 284,132.42	\$ 292,843.05	\$ 385,130.50			
		3.07%	31.51%	-100.00%			

PART IA: F	Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid)				
а	The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES	YES	YES			
b	The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1	YES	YES	YES			
c	The bidder submitted , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) FORM B9	YES	YES	YES			
d	If applicable, the bidder listed a (sub)contractor(s) with an active water or sewer contractor tappers license (CMS 107.02)	NA	NA	NA			
e	Digital ID on bid is from a person authorized to enter into contract on behalf of the company ("name of person singing contract" on Signature Affidavit must match the name on the Digital ID). Proposal Signature Affidavit	YES	NA	YES			
f	There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES	YES	YES			
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE			

version: March 31, 2016

PROJECT:	Willow Creek Phase 2 St	Bid Date:		June 22, 2016	
Department/Division:	Public Utilities	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:			U. S. Utility
Section:	Fiscal Capital	Initial Apparent Low Bid :		\$	284,132.42

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		670787-100001	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	anager:	Scott A. Wolfe	U. S. Utility	Complete General	J. Ranck Electric			
Engineer'	's Estimate:	\$ 374,947.43	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 284,132.42	\$ 292,843.05	\$ 385,130.50			
PART IB: F	Responsiveness Cri	teria, Subcontractors (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONSI	OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
а		ed a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1)	NA	NA	NA			
b	licensed construction	re listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors are on trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM B5 de subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA			
с	qualified responsibl	bcontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre- e or provisionally responsible licensed trade 9.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are	NA	NA	NA			
d	work under which the	re listed, the bidder listed the division/section of he sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no listed, enter "NA") FORM B5	NA	NA	NA			
e	subcontractor per of more than one sub (329.20)(h)(5) (If n "NA") FORM B5	e listed and the bidder listed more than one livision/section of work, did the bidder explain why is listed for the division/section of work? o licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter	NA	NA	NA			
f	trade subcontractor responsible. (329.2	affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction rs are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally 0)(h)(3) Form B9 (If no licensed trade e listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA			
g		subcontractors who were currently suspended or . (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	NA	NA	NA			
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE			

version: March 31, 2016

PROJECT:	Willow Creek Phase 2 St	reet Lighting Improvements	Bid Date:		June 22, 2016
Department/Division:	Public Utilities	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:			U. S. Utility
Section:	Fiscal Capital	Initial Apparent Low Bid :		\$	284,132.42

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		670787-100001	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	lanager:	Scott A. Wolfe	U. S. Utility	Complete General	J. Ranck Electric			
Engineer	's Estimate:	\$ 374,947.43	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 284,132.42	\$ 292,843.05	\$ 385,130.50			
PART IC: F	Responsiveness Crit	teria, Bid Specific (329.23)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
а		mit more than one bid for same work. (See ction for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES	YES	YES			
b	Bidder acknowledg	ged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES			
с	requirements/specif (329.23)(f)(1)(c)	ith technical pre-qualification fication requirements where applicable.	YES	YES	YES			
d	The bid contains no invitation for bid. (32)	o conditions or qualifications not provided in the 29.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES	YES	YES			
е	Bidder added no pr (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	ovisions reserving right to accept or reject award.	YES	YES	YES			
f	Bidder submitted a	a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2	YES	YES	YES			
g	Bidder did not sub FORM B2	mit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i)	YES	YES	YES			
h	Bid prices are mate	rially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B3	YES	YES	YES			
i		gularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid (1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES			
j		a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. DRM B3 (If a unit price is not an option, enter	YES	YES	YES			
k		a lump sum price if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(h) sum is not an option, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA			
I	Bidder has complie (329.23)(f)(1)(k) FC	ed with section 329.20(h) regarding subcontractors. DRM B5	YES	YES	YES			
m		in alterations, omissions, errors, such that it does locuments. $(329.23)(f)(1)(j)$	YES	YES	YES			
n	Bid responds to bid (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	d documents in all material respects.	YES	YES	YES			
o	Bid is complete. (32	29.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES			
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE			

version: March 31, 2016				
PROJECT:	Willow Creek Phase 2 St	reet Lighting Improvements	June 22, 2016	
Department/Division:	Public Utilities	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:		U. S. Utility

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	670787-100001	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Scott A. Wolfe	U. S. Utility	Complete General	J. Ranck Electric			
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 374,947.43	Initial Bid Amount					
		\$ 284,132.42	\$ 292,843.05	\$ 385,130.50			

Part ID:	Responsiveness Criteria, Contract Compliance	A "no" answer in Part IE will not necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have a valid contract compliance no. prior to contract execution.					
а	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has an active contract compliance number at the time of bid.	YES	YES	YES			
	Bid Responsiveness, Waiver Considerations:	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office
	Proceed to Part II?	YES	YES	YES			
	LOWEST RESPONSIVE RID, TAB L COLUMN 40						

LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 284,132.42		Manually Enter Amount
LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	US UTILITY CONTRACT	TOR	Manually Enter Information

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	Willow Creek Phase 2 St	reet Lighting Improvements	Bid Date:		June 22, 2016
Department/Division:	Public Utilities	Responsive Low E	idder (from Tab I):	USU	JTILITY CONTRACTOR
Section:	Fiscal Capital	Responsive Low Bid (from Tab I):			284,132.42
	•				

CIP No.	670787-100001		COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Scott A. Wolfe		U. S. Utility	Complete General	J. Ranck Electric	NA	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$	374,947.43	Initial Bid Amount					
			\$ 284,132.42	\$ 292,843.05	\$ 385,130.50	0	0	0

PART IIA: 3	329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria	INPUT "	YES" FOR POSITIVE RES	PONSE OR "NO" FOR N	EGATIVE RESPONSE (1	point for each "yes", 0 f	for "no")
а	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B3, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES			
b	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B6, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES			
с	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B6 , SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES			
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES			
е	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES			
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES			
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES			
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B8	YES	YES	YES			
	Responsibility Score:	8	8	8	0	0	0
	Continue to TAB 3?	YES	YES	YES			

Manually Enter Information

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

PROJECT:	Willow Creek Phase 2 Street Lighting Improvements			Bid [Date:	June 2	2, 2016
Department/Division:	Public Utilities		Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I and II):			US UTILITY C	ONTRACTOR
Section:	Fiscal Capital		Evaluated Low Bid (from Tabs I and II) :			\$	284,132.42
CIP No.	670787-100001	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME

CIP NO.	670787-100001		CON	NPANY NAME	00		00	DMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Scott A. Wolfe		T	U. S. Utility	Co	mplete General	J.	Ranck Electric	NA	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$	374,947.43	В	id Amount	l	Bid Amount		Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
			\$	284,132.42	\$	292,843.05	\$	385,130.50	NA	NA	NA
PART III: 329.(01)(u) and 329.	212 Local Bidder										

	IS BID LOCAL?	YES	YES	NO		
To look up if a bidder is local, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's list located at			X:\Construction Prequal	<u>ification</u>		

 PART IIIA:

 step 1
 IF <u>APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP.</u> Skip steps 2-5 and enter data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TAB IV.

 step 1

 IF <u>APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL</u>, CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information)

Part IIIB: Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. 0.000% 3.066% 35.546% step 2 NA NA NA Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW BID? step 3 YES NO NO NA NA NA If step 3 is "yes", SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. DID AGENCY FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO step 4 CITY CODE SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)? INPUT NEW BID AMOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO step 5 (\$0)

Part IIIC:			
step 6	REVISED LOW BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB:	\$ 284,132.42	Manually Enter Amount
step 7	REVISED LOW BIDDER, TAB IIIB:	US UTILITY CONTRACTOR	Manually Enter Information

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

PF	ROJECT:	Willow Creek Phase 2 Stree	t Lighting Improve	ments	Bid [Date:	June 2	2, 2016
Departme	nt/Division:	Public Utilities		Evaluated Low Bidder	(from Tabs I, II and III):		US UTILITY C	ONTRACTOR
Section:		Fiscal Capital		Evaluated Low Bid (f	rom Tabs I, II and III) :		\$	284,132
CIP No.		670787-100001	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME		COMPANY NAME
Project M	anager: s Estimate:	Scott A. Wolfe \$ 374,947.43	U. S. Utility Bid Amount	Complete General Bid Amount	J. Ranck Electric Bid Amount	Bid Amount	NA Bid Amount	Bid Amount
Engineer	s Estimate.	Ş 374,547.45	\$ 284,132.42			NA	NA	NA
PART IV: 3	29.(17) Environmenta	I Preference		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	+,			
Арра	arent Low Bid:	\$ 284,132.42	Apparent Low Bidder:	US UTILITY O	CONTRACTOR			
	00 (47) Environment	Durframer						
PART IV: 3	29.(17) Environmenta	I Preference						
step 1		ed low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer parent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA"	YES					
step 2	• • •	low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid?		YES	YES			
step 3	then the apparent/eva	aluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preterable bid, sluated low bidder is lead bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data in Step and proceed to Tab 5.	5					
	If the apparent/evalua proceed to Steps 6 ar	ted low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bid, rd 7.						
step 4		tal preference for each bid. It is up to five percent (5%) of the non , for a maximum of \$20,000 per bid.	0.000%	3.066%	35.546%	NA	NA	NA
step 5	Preference amount fo applied).	r each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was	\$ -	\$ 8,977.67	\$ 20,000.00	NA	NA	NA
step 6		onmental preference, except if both claim environmental se, both claiming EP cancels it for both	\$ 284,132.42	2 \$ 283,865.38	\$ 365,130.50	NA	NA	NA
step 7	Low Bid Amount:	\$ 284,132.42 Low Bidder:	US UTILITY CONTRACTOR					

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	US UTILITY CONTRACTOR	\$	284,132.42
Project:	Willow Creek Phase 2 Street Lighting Impr	ovem	ents

Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

	Bidders	U. S. Utility	Complete General	J. Ranck Electric	0	0	0	
	Bid \$	284,132.42	\$ 292,843.05	\$ 385,130.50	\$-	\$-	\$-	
	Percent Difference btwn Bid and Next Highest Bid	3.07%	31.51%	-100.00%				
	Is any bidder 10% or more below the If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under	evaluation have 'ye	es' answers for question	ns c, d, g, and h?			NO	
Bid Guarantee Requirements	Is the guarantee greater than or equal t	Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed. Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid? YES Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarantee submitted? YES						
	Bid Bond Bond on City's bond form Surety power of attorney Most recent surety financial statement Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance							
Contract Compliance	Are all subcontractors contract complia If there are not any subcontractors, cho	ose N/A.			N/A]		
Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp	Is the corporation foreign or the individu				NO	-		
	If yes, business entity shall register with service of summons, in any action in la							
	This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid							
	http://www.sos.state.oh.us/							
Award recommendation: After	evaluation of the bids, I recommend th	e following contract	tor be awarded the cont	ract for:				
Project Name:	Willow Creek Phase 2 Street Lighting Improvements							
Recommended Bidder:	US UTILITY CONTRACTOR							
Contract Amount:	\$ 284,132.42		1					
Evaluator's Name:	MARY MILLER							
Evaluation Completion Date:	6/28/2016	6/28/2016						

NO	

TAB VI: DEPARTMENT SPECIFIC CRITERIA

Instructions:

If you have additional questions/documents that you require with your bids that are department specific, please create your own evaluation worksheet here.

Please follow the format of the previous tabs. If you need assistance, please contact Suzanna Gussler or Alex Cofield.

TAB VII: Notes

On this page, include any notes or clarification from phone calls made. Be sure to include the company you called, who you spoke with, date, time, question asked, outcome of call, and name of person who made the call.

Include any notes or clarifications from research done.

COMPLETE GENERAL: NO PROPOSAL SIGNATURE AFFIDAVIT WAS ATTACHED