PROJECT:	HCWP Temporary S	Security Improvements	Bid Date:	May 18, 2016
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparer	t Low Bidder:	Righter Co.
Section:	Supply / Tech Support	Initial Apparent Low Bid :		\$ 197,700.00

	Bids from Apparent lov	west to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690286-100007		COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Ryan Shonk, P.E.		Righter Co.					
Engineer's Estimate:	\$	170,385.00	Initial Bid Amount					
			\$ 197,700.00					
	-100.00%							

Part IA: R	esponsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
а	The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES					
b	The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1	YES					
с	The bidder submitted , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) FORM B9	YES					
d	If applicable, the bidder listed a (sub)contractor(s) with an active water or sewer contractor tappers license (CMS 107.02)	YES					
е	There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES					
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE					

PROJECT:	HCWP Temporary S	Bid Date:		May 18, 2016	
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparer	nt Low Bidder:		Righter Co.
Section:	Supply / Tech Support	Initial Apparent Low Bid :			197,700.00

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690286-100007	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project N	lanager: Ryan Shonk, P.E.	Righter Co.					
Engineer	's Estimate: \$ 170,385.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 197,700.00					
PART IB:	Responsiveness Criteria, Subcontractors (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
а	The bidder provided a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated there were not any subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1) FORM B5	YES					
b	If subcontractors are listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors are licensed construction trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM B5 (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	YES					
с	If licensed trade subcontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre- qualified responsible or provisionally responsible licensed trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	YES					
d	If subcontractors are listed, the bidder listed the division/section of work under which the sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no subcontractors are listed, enter "NA") FORM B5	YES					
e	If subcontractors are listed and the bidder listed more than one subcontractor per division/section of work, did the bidder explain why more than one sub is listed for the division/section of work? (329.20)(h)(5) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA") FORM B5	NA					
f	Bidder stated, via affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction trade subcontractors are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(h)(3) Form B9 (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	YES					
g	Bidder did not bid subcontractors who were currently suspended or debarred by the city. $(329.20)(h)(4)$ (see links on Tab 5)	YES					
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE					

PROJECT:	HCWP Temporary S	Security Improvements	Bid Date:		May 18, 2016
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparer	nt Low Bidder:		Righter Co.
Section:	Supply / Tech Support	Initial Apparent Low Bid :			197,700.00

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690286-100007	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	lanager:	Ryan Shonk, P.E.	Righter Co.					
Engineer	's Estimate:	\$ 170,385.00	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 197,700.00					
PART IC: F	Responsiveness Crit	teria, Bid Specific (329.23)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for i	responsive bid)
а	referenced code se	mit more than one bid for same work. (See ction for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES					
b	PAGE, page 1	ged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) BID SIGNATURE	YES					
с		ith technical pre-qualification fication requirements where applicable.	YES					
d	The bid contains n invitation for bid. (32)	o conditions or qualifications not provided in the 29.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES					
е	Bidder added no pr (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	ovisions reserving right to accept or reject award.	YES					
f	Bidder submitted a	a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2	YES					
g	Bidder did not sub FORM B2	mit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i)	YES					
h	Bid prices are mate	rially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B3	YES					
i		gularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid $(1)(j)$ and $(329.23)(f)(1)(e)$	YES					
j		a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. ORM B4 (If a unit price is not an option, enter	YES					
k		a lump sum price if required . (329.23)(f)(1)(h) sum is not an option, enter "NA")	YES					
I	Bidder has complie (329.23)(f)(1)(k) FC	ed with section 329.20(h) regarding subcontractors. DRM B5	YES					
m		in alterations, ommissions, errors, such that it e bid documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	YES					
n	Bid responds to bid (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	d documents in all material respects.	YES					
0	Bid is complete. (32	29.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES					
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE					

PROJECT:	HCWP Temporary S	Security Improvements	Bid Date:	May 18, 2016
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparer	nt Low Bidder:	Righter Co.
Section:	Supply / Tech Support	Initial Apparent Low Bid :		\$ 197,700.00

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690286-100007	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Ryan Shonk, P.E.	Righter Co.					
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 170,385.00	Initial Bid Amount					
		\$ 197,700.00					

		A "no" answer in Pa	A "no" answer in Part IE will not necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have a valid contract compliance no. prior to					
PART ID:	Responsiveness Criteria, Contract Compliance			contract	execution.			
a The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has an active contract compliance number at the time of bid.		YES						
		Bid Responsive,	U	Waiver Legislation	Waiver Legislation	Waiver Legislation	Waiver Legislation	
	Bid Responsivness, Waiver Considerations:	Continue to Part II	Required to Contract;	Required to Contract;	Required to Contract;	Required to Contract;	Required to Contract;	

		Contact Fiscal Office				
Proceed to Part II?	YES					

LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 197,700.00	Manually Enter Amount
LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	Righter Co.	Manually Enter Information

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

Department/Division: Public Utilities / Water Responsive Low Bidder (from Tab I):	Righter Co.
Section: Supply / Tech Support Responsive Low Bid (from Tab I):	\$ 197,700.00

CIP No.	690286-100007		COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Ryan Shonk, P.E.		Righter Co.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$	170,385.00	Initial Bid Amount					
			\$ 197,700.00	0	0	0	0	0

PART IIA: 3	329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria	INPUT "	YES" FOR POSITIVE RES	PONSE OR "NO" FOR N	EGATIVE RESPONSE (1	point for each "yes", 0 f	or "no")
	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B4, SPECIFICATIONS	YES					
b	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B7, SPECIFICATIONS	YES					
c	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B7, SPECIFICATIONS	YES					
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B8,	YES					
е	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B8	YES					
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B8	YES					
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B8	YES					
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B9	YES					
	Responsibility Score:	8	0	0	0	0	0
	Continue to TAB 3?	YES					

Manually Enter Information

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

PROJECT:	HCWP Tempora	y Security Improve	Security Improvements Bid Date:				May 18, 2016		
Department/Division: Section:	Public Utilities / Water Supply / Tech Support		Evaluated Low Bidde Evaluated Low Bid	Right \$	er Co. 197,700.00				
CIP No.	690286-100007	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME		COMPANY NAME				
Project Manager:	Ryan Shonk, P.E.	Righter Co.	NA	COMPANY NAME NA	COMPANY NAME NA	NA	NA		

Bid Amount

NA

Bid Amount

NA

Bid Amount

NA

Bid Amount

NA

Bid Amount

NA

PART III: 329.(01)(u) and 329.212 Local Bidder

\$

Engineer's Estimate:

	IS BID LOCAL?	YES				
To look up if a bidder is local, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's list located at			X:\Construction Prequal	<u>ification</u>		
PART IIIA:						

 step 1
 IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip steps 2-5 and enter data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TAB IV.

 IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information)

170,385.00

Bid Amount

\$

197,700.00

Part IIIB:	Part IIIB:									
step 2	Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	0.000%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			
step 3	Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW BID?	YES	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			
	If step 3 is "yes", SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. DID AGENCY FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO CITY CODE SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?									
step 5	INPUT NEW BID AMOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO (\$0)									

Part IIIC:			
step 6	REVISED LOW BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB:	\$ 197,700.00	Manually Enter Amount
step 7	REVISED LOW BIDDER, TAB IIIB:	Righter Co.	Manually Enter Information

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

PROJECT:	HCWP Temporary Se	HCWP Temporary Security Improvements			y 18, 2016
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Evaluated Low Bidder	(from Tabs I, II and III):	Ri	ghter Co.
Section:	Supply / Tech Support	Evaluated Low Bid (f	rom Tabs I, II and III) :	\$	197,700

CIP No.	690286-100007		CO	MPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Ryan Shonk, P.E.		F	Righter Co.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$	170,385.00	I	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
			\$	197,700.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
PART IV: 329.(17) Environmental Preference									
Apparent Low Bid:	\$	197,700.00	Appar	rent Low Bidder:	Right	er Co.			

PART IV: 3	29.(17) Environmental Preference						
step 1	Did apparent/evaluated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer "YES" or "NO" for apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA"	YES					
step 2	Excluding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid?	NA					
step 3	STOP. If apparent/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bid, then the apparent/evaluated low bidder is lead bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data in Steps 4-6, complete Step 7, and proceed to Tab 5. If the apparent/evaluated low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bid, proceed to Steps 6 and 7.						
step 4	Calculate environmental preference for each bid. It is up to five percent (5%) of the non-environmental low bid, for a maximum of \$20,000 per bid.	0.000%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 5	Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied).	\$-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 6	Bid amount less environmental preference, except if both claim enviornmental preference; in that case, both claiming EP cancels it for both	\$ 197,700.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 7	Low Bid Amount: \$ 197,700.00 Low Bidder:	Righter Co.					
	•	•					

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent L	ow Bidder/Bid:		Righter Co.		\$ 19	7,700.00			
Pi	roject:	HC	WP Temporary S	ecurity Improven	nents				
Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)	With respect to a bidder whose bid is information regarding performance ar							<u>bid and/or h</u>	istorical_
	Bidders	Righter Co.	0	0		0	0		0
	Bid	\$ 197,700.00	\$-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	- \$	-
	Percent Difference btwn Bid and <mark>Next Highest</mark> Bid	-100.00%							
	Is any bidder 10% or more below t	he next bidder?							NO
	If yes, does the lower bidder's TAE If yes, low bidder is "responsible" und	•	es' answers for questi	ons c, d, g, and h?					YES
Bid Gurantee Requirements	Below are the required documents Is the guarantee greater than or equa Did the bidder submit all of the requir	I to 10% of the bid?		itted?	-	ES ES			
	<u>Bid Bond</u> Bond on City's bond form Surety power of attorney Most recent surety financial statemer Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Cert	nt	Letter of Credit LOC on City's LOC for	n	-	ishier's Check solvant bank			
Contract Compliance	Are all subcontractors contract comp If there are not any subcontractors, c		the contract can be exe	cuted.	YI	ES			
Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp	Is the corporation foreign or the indivi		on-resident of the State	of Ohio?	N	10			
	If yes, then did they file with the Secr Secretary of State, as agent, for the p or both, brought in the State of Ohio This is required before the contract is http://www.sos.state.oh.us/	purpose of accepting se	rvice of summons, in ar	y action in law or equity,	N	//A			
Award recommendation: After	r evaluation of the bids, I recommend	the following contract	tor be awarded the cor	tract for:					
Project Name: Recommended Bidder: Contract Amount:	HCWP Temporary Security Imp The Righter Co., Inc. \$ 197,700.00	•							
Evaluator's Name: Evaluation Completion Date:	Missy Smith 645-3776 5/19/2016								

Additional Information for Departments:

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Righter Co.	\$	197,700.00]
Project:	HCWP Temporary Security Improvement	ents		
State of Ohio - Ohio Audito This is the link to the State of Ohio A	<u>Pr</u> uditor's web site to check for "findings for recovery"			https://ohioauditor.gov/findings.html
Federal - System for Aware This is the link to the Federal System	1 Management n for Awards Management site to check for "findings for recovery"			https://www.sam.gov/portal/SAM/#1_
<u>City of Columbus</u> Contact the Purchasing Office if you	have a question about the City's list of debarred vendors.			
State of Ohio Debarment This is the link to multiple state ager	cies that lists debarred companies.			http://ofcc.ohio.gov/Resources/Debarments.aspx
OSHA This is the link to the US Departmen	t of Labor's website for OSHA violations			https://www.osha.gov

City of Columbus Office of Prequalifications

Pre-Qualification Office email: Pre-Qualification Office phone no: prequalification@columbus.gov 645-0359 TAB VII: Notes

The Righter Co.	PM's comments:
Tab 1, Part 1A-d: Water/Sewer Tappers License Req'd?	
Yes; SW00125	
	1
Tab 1, Part 1C-c: Are there Technical Prequalifications (other than pre-qualification)?	
	Yes, Bidder appears to have met all pre-qualification requirements
Marked "Yes" for now.	where applicable.
Tab 1, Part 1C-h: Materially Balanced?	
Sole bidder so nothing to compare to. Marked "Yes".	
Tab 2, Part IIA-a: Statistically Comparable/Further Documentation Needed:	
Bid is 16% higher than E.E. Marked "Yes" for now.	
Tab 2, Part IIA-e: Experience	-
No security-related projects listed (that I can tell). Marked "Yes" for now but PM to	
respond.	Experiences listed are adequate.
Tab 2, Part IIA-g: Meeting deadlines/costs:	
# of projects meeting deadlines: 0 out of 3; marked "Yes" for now. PM to evaluate.	1 of 3 project referenced met budget. All change orders in 2 of the referenced projects appear to be owner requested changes or unforeseen site conditions. I agree with your initial assessment.
# of projects meeting costs: 0 out of 3; marked "Yes" for now. PM to evaluate.	