

Information to be included in all Legislation Modifying a Contract:

1. **The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.**

<u>Name</u>	<u>DAX</u>	<u>C.C. No./Exp. Date</u>	<u>City/State</u>	<u>Status</u>
DLZ	004939	31-1268980 / 2-28-17	Worthington, OH	MBE
M S Consultants	006998	34-6546916 / 2-18-18	Columbus, OH	ACT
EMHT	004214	31-0685594 / 2-18-18	Columbus, OH	ACT

2. **What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).**

*For engineering agreements: Requests for Proposals (RFP's) were opened on July 26, 2013.

3. **List the ranking and order of all bidders.**

1. DLZ
2. M S Consultants
3. EMHT

4. **Complete address, contact name, phone number, and e-mail address for the successful bidder only.**

DLZ
6121Huntley Road
Worthington OH 43229
Tatyana Arsh, Vice President 614-888-0040
tarsh@dlz.com

5. **A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract. The planning area should also be listed as well as any street or neighborhood names.**

Existing Contract - Study of existing sewer infrastructure including CCTV. Prepare engineering master plan report outlining recommended improvements for the entire Franklinton East and part of Franklinton West areas.

Contract Mod - Produce detailed design drawings for half of the Franklinton East area to include sewer rehabilitation or replacement as needed, inflow & infiltration removal, green infrastructure and drainage improvements as needed, services during construction.

Community Planning Area: Franklinton (east of state route 315)

6. **An updated contract timeline to contract completion.**

The original agreement allowed for a total term of how many years? (ex. 4 year term) unspecified

- a. Which year of the total term is this modification for? (ex. year 2 of 4 year term).
Years 4-7 of 7.

b. The expiration date of this agreement is 12-31-2020.

7. A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.

Project will address consent order obligations to the Ohio EPA in the Dodge Park CSO area. Project will also facilitate redevelopment of Columbus' oldest neighborhood, Franklinton. The tremendous economic and social benefits to the area have been previously estimated and presented in a report "East Franklinton Creative Community District Plan". Renewed public infrastructure within the area will encourage and facilitate investment and redevelopment of this neighborhood.

8. A description of any and all modifications to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)

Original Contract \$2,042,434.54 (EL015115)

Modification #1 \$1,301,111.03 (this proposed mod)

9. A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract modification. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

Produce detailed design drawings for half of the Franklinton East area to include sewer rehabilitation or replacement as needed, inflow & infiltration removal, green infrastructure and drainage improvements as needed, services during construction.

10. If the contract modification was not anticipated and explained in the original contract legislation a full explanation as to the reasons the work could not have been anticipated is required. (Changed or field conditions is not sufficient explanation. Describe in full the changed conditions that require modification of the contract scope and amount.)

This contract modification was planned.

11. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract modification cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

Re-bid of the project will likely result in a higher project costs as much of the project history would be lost and required to be rediscovered by another consultant unless won by the same consultant. In such a case, significant time would be wasted in acquiring and evaluating the new proposals without significant benefit.

12. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each modification to date (list each modification separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

Original contract - \$2,042,434.54

Modification #1 - \$1,301,111.03

Estimated contract total - \$3,343,545.57

13. An explanation of how the cost of the modification was determined.

The costs of Contract Modification #1 were determined by negotiations between DLZ and DOSD.

14. Subconsultant information

See attached Subconsultant Work Identification Form (SWIF)