# Instructions for Construction Bid Evaluations Effective January 1, 2015

1. Read and become knowledgeable of the requirements of Columbus City Code section 329 as it pertains to construction advertising, evaluation, and contracting.

Here is a link to City Code <u>Columbus City Code</u>

2. Enter information into the cells that are blank and grey. Do not enter info to cells that are blank and white as there may be a formula in that cell.

3. If you have questions about using the spreadsheet, contact Suzanna Gussler or Alex Cofield.

4. If you print the workbook in its entirety from the print screen as opposed to printing each worksheet separately ("active sheets"), you will print this page and the evaluation will begin on page 2 and go through page 13. If you print each workbook separately, the page numbering is different.

version: March 31, 2016						
PROJECT:	Blueprint Weisheimer / India	Bid Date:	D	ecember 21, 2016		
Department/Division:	Department/Division: Pbulic Utilities Initial Apparent Low Bidder:					
Section:	Sewers and Drains	Initial Apparent Low Bid :			3,589,110.66	

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	650870-100002	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Nick Domenick	Geo. J. Igel & Co.	Shelly & Sands	Conie Construction	Facemyer Co.		
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 4,591,431.20	Initial Bid Amount					
		\$ 3,589,110.66	\$ 3,766,310.52	\$ 4,119,602.64	\$ 4,194,215.89		
		4.94%	9.38%	1.81%	-100.00%		

PART IA: F	Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
а	The bidder <b>is pre-qualified</b> responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
b	The bid <b>contains</b> the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) <b>FORM B1</b>	YES	YES	YES	YES		
c	The bidder <b>submitted</b> , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) <b>FORM B9</b>	YES	YES	YES	YES		
d	If applicable, the bidder listed a (sub)contractor(s) with an active water or sewer contractor tappers license (CMS 107.02)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
e	Digital ID on bid is from a person authorized to enter into contract on behalf of the company ("name of person singing contract" on Signature Affidavit must match the name on the Digital ID). <b>Proposal</b> <b>Signature Affidavit</b>	YES	YES	YES	YES		
f	There is <b>no evidence</b> of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE		

version: March 31, 2016						
PROJECT:	PROJECT: Blueprint Weisheimer / Indian Springs Roadway Improvments Bid Date:					
Department/Division:	Pbulic Utilities	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:			ieo. J. Igel & Co.	
Section:	Sewers and Drains	Initial Appar	ent Low Bid :	\$	3,589,110.66	

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	650870-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Nick Domenick	Geo. J. Igel & Co.	Shelly & Sands	Conie Construction	Facemyer Co.		
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 4,591,431.20	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 3,589,110.66	\$ 3,766,310.52	\$ 4,119,602.64	\$ 4,194,215.89		
PART IB: Responsiveness C	riteria, Subcontractors (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for i	responsive bid)
	led a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated y subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
b licensed construct	are listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors are ion trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM B5 ade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA	NA		
qualified responsi	ubcontractors are listed, the bidder <b>proposed</b> pre- ble or provisionally responsible licensed trade 29.20)(h) ( <b>If no licensed trade subcontractors are</b> ")	NA	NA	NA	NA		
d work under which	are listed, the bidder listed the division/section of the sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no e listed, enter "NA") FORM B5	YES	YES	YES	YES		
e subcontractor per more than one sul (329.20)(h)(5) (If "NA") FORM B5	are listed and the bidder listed more than one division/section of work, did the bidder explain why b is listed for the division/section of work? no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter	NA	NA	NA	NA		
f trade subcontractor responsible. (329.	a affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction ors are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally 20)(h)(3) Form B9 (If no licensed trade are listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA	NA		
a	d subcontractors who were currently suspended or ty. (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE		

version: March 31, 2016						
PROJECT:	PROJECT: Blueprint Weisheimer / Indian Springs Roadway Improvments Bid Date:					
Department/Division:	Pbulic Utilities	Initial Appare	nt Low Bidder:	C	Geo. J. Igel & Co.	
Section:	Sewers and Drains	Initial Appar	ent Low Bid :	Ś	3,589,110.66	

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		650870-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Ma	anager:	Nick Domenick	Geo. J. Igel & Co.	Shelly & Sands	Conie Construction	Facemyer Co.		
Engineer's	s Estimate:	\$ 4,591,431.20	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 3,589,110.66	\$ 3,766,310.52	\$ 4,119,602.64	\$ 4,194,215.89		
		teria, Bid Specific (329.23)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
· ·		nit more than one bid for same work. (See ction for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
b	Bidder <b>acknowled</b> g	<b>ged</b> addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
		ith technical pre-qualification ication requirements where applicable.	YES	YES	YES	YES		
d	The bid <b>contains</b> n invitation for bid. (32)	o conditions or qualifications not provided in the 29.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
е	Bidder added <b>no</b> pr (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	ovisions reserving right to accept or reject award.	YES	YES	YES	YES		
f	Bidder <b>submitted</b> a	bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2	YES	YES	YES	YES		
	Bidder did not sub FORM B2	mit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
h	Bid prices are mate	rially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B3	YES	YES	YES	YES		
		gularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid (1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES		
j		unit price for each contract item listed, if required. DRM B3 (If a unit price is not an option, enter	YES	YES	YES	YES		
		lump sum price if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(h) sum is not an option, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA	NA		
I	Bidder has complie (329.23)(f)(1)(k) FC	ed with section 329.20(h) regarding subcontractors. DRM B5	YES	YES	YES	YES		
m		in alterations, omissions, errors, such that it does locuments. $(329.23)(f)(1)(j)$	YES	YES	YES	YES		
n –	Bid <b>responds</b> to bid (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	d documents in all material respects.	YES	YES	YES	YES		
0	Bid is complete. (32	29.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES		

version: March 31, 2016					
PROJECT:	Blueprint Weisheimer / India		December 21, 2016		
Department/Division:	Pbulic Utilities	Initial Appara	at Low Diddor		Geo. J. Igel & Co.
Department/Division:	PDuile Othities	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:			Geo. J. Igei & Co.
Section:	Sewers and Drains	Initial Appar	ent Low Bid :	\$	3,589,110.66

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	650870-100002	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Nick Domenick	Geo. J. Igel & Co.	Shelly & Sands	Conie Construction	Facemyer Co.		
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 4,591,431.20	Initial Bid Amount					
		\$ 3,589,110.66	\$ 3,766,310.52	\$ 4,119,602.64	\$ 4,194,215.89		
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE		

version: March 31, 2016						
PROJECT:	Blueprint Weisheimer / India	Blueprint Weisheimer / Indian Springs Roadway Improvments Bid Date:				
Department/Division:	Pbulic Utilities	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:			Geo. J. Igel & Co.	
Section:	Sewers and Drains	Initial Appar	ent Low Bid :	\$	3,589,110.66	

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	650870-100002	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Nick Domenick	Geo. J. Igel & Co.	Shelly & Sands	Conie Construction	Facemyer Co.		
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 4,591,431.20	Initial Bid Amount					
		\$ 3,589,110.66	\$ 3,766,310.52	\$ 4,119,602.64	\$ 4,194,215.89		

		A "no" answer in Part IE will not necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have a valid contract compliance no. prior to					
PART ID:	Responsiveness Criteria, Contract Compliance	contract execution.					
а	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has an active contract compliance number at the time of bid.	YES	YES	NO	NO		

	· · · ·		1 /	Continue to Part II	Required to Contract;	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office
Proceed to Part II?	YES	YES	YES	YES		

LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 3,589,110.66	Manually Enter Amount			
LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	George J. Igel & Co.	-	Manually Enter Information		

# TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	Blueprint Weisheimer / Inc	lian Springs Roadway	Improvments	Bid [	Date:	December 21, 2016		
Department/Division:	Pbulic Utilities		Responsive Low E	George J. Igel & Co.				
Section:	Sewers and Drains		Responsive Low	\$	3,589,110.66			
	650870-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME		

CIP NO.	650870-100002		COL		COI		COMP				COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Nick Domenick		Ge	o. J. Igel & Co.	Sh	elly & Sands	Conie	Construction		Facemyer Co.	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$	4,591,431.20	Initi	Initial Bid Amount		Initial Bid Amount		Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount		Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$	3,589,110.66	\$	3,766,310.52	\$	4,119,602.64	\$	4,194,215.89	0	0

PART IIA: 3	29.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria	INPUT "	YES" FOR POSITIVE RES	PONSE OR "NO" FOR N	EGATIVE RESPONSE (1	point for each "yes", 0 f	or "no")
а	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B3, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES	YES		
b	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B6, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES	YES		
с	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. <b>FORM B6</b> , <b>SPECIFICATIONS</b>	YES	YES	YES	YES		
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES	YES		
е	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES	YES		
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES	YES		
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES	YES		
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B8	YES	YES	YES	YES		
	Responsibility Score:	8	8	8	8	0	0
	Continue to TAB 3?	YES	YES	YES	YES		

Manually Enter Information

#### TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

PROJECT:	Blueprint Weisheimer / In	dian Springs Roadway Improvments	Bid Date:	De	cember 21, 2016
Department/Division:	Pbulic Utilities	Evaluated Low Bidder (from <sup>-</sup>	Гabs I and II):	Ge	orge J. Igel & Co.
Section:	Sewers and Drains	Evaluated Low Bid (from Ta	bs I and II) :	\$	3,589,110.66

CIP No.	650870-100002		COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Nick Domenick		Geo. J. Igel & Co.	Shelly & Sands	Conie Construction	Facemyer Co.	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$	4,591,431.20	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
			\$ 3,589,110.66	\$ 3,766,310.52	\$ 4,119,602.64	\$ 4,194,215.89	NA	NA
PART III: 329.(01)(u) and 329.212 Local Bidder								

	IS BID LOCAL?	YES	YES	YES	NO	
To look up i	f a bidder is local, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's li	X:\Construction Prequal	<u>ification</u>			
PART IIIA:						
	IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART IL IS LOCAL STOP Skin	steps 2-5 and enter				

IF <u>APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL</u> STOP. Skip steps 2-5 and enter data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TAB IV.
IF <u>APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL</u>, CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information)

Part IIIB: Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. 0.000% 4.937% 14.781% 16.859% step 2 NA NA Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW BID? step 3 YES NO NO NO NA NA If step 3 is "yes", SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. DID AGENCY FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO step 4 CITY CODE SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)? INPUT NEW BID AMOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO step 5 \$ \$ \$ Ś (\$0)

Part IIIC:			
step 6	REVISED LOW BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB:	\$ 3,589,110.66	Manually Enter Amount
step 7	REVISED LOW BIDDER, TAB IIIB:	George J. Igel & Co.	Manually Enter Information

#### TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

PF	ROJECT:	Blueprint Weisheimer / India	Spring	s Roadway Imp	provments	Bic	Date:	December 21, 2016	
Departme	ent/Division:	Pbulic Utilities			Evaluated Low Bidder	· (from Tabs I, II and I	l):	George J.	Igel & Co.
Section:		Sewers and Drains			Evaluated Low Bid (f	\$	3,589,111		
CIP No.		650870-100002		OMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	anager:	Nick Domenick		Geo. J. Igel & Co.	Shelly & Sands	Conie Construction		NA	NA
Engineer'	s Estimate:	\$ 4,591,431	20	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
	29.(17) Environmenta	l Drafarança	\$	3,589,110.66	\$ 3,766,310.52	\$ 4,119,602.6	4 \$ 4,194,215.89	NA	NA
	arent Low Bid:	\$ 3,589,110	.66 App	arent Low Bidder:	George J.	. Igel & Co.	Γ		
	00 (47) E	12.7							
ARTIV: 3	29.(17) Environmenta		_		[	1	1		
step 1		ed low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answe parent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA"		YES					
step 2		low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" t							
step 3	then the apparent/eva	aluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable b luated low bidder is lead bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data in and proceed to Tab 5.							
	If the apparent/evalua proceed to Steps 6 ar	ted low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable b ad 7.	i,						
step 4		tal preference for each bid. It is up to five percent (5%) of the , for a maximum of \$20,000 per bid.	non-	0.000%	4.937%	14.781%	16.859%	NA	NA
step 5	Preference amount fo applied).	r each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was	\$	-	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.0	0 \$ 20,000.00	NA	NA
step 6		onmental preference, except if both claim environmental se, both claiming EP cancels it for both	\$	3,589,110.66	\$ 3,746,310.52	\$ 4,099,602.6	4 \$ 4,174,215.89	NA	NA
step 7	Low Bid Amount:	\$ 3,589,110.66 Low Bidder:	Ge	eorge J. Igel & Co.					
		Î		1					

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

#### TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	George J. Igel & Co.	\$ 3,589,110.66
Project:	Blueprint Weisheimer / Indian Springs Roadwa	y Improvments

Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

	F						1			
	Bidders	Geo. J. Igel & Co.	Shelly & Sands	Conie Construction	Facemyer Co.	0	0			
	Bid	\$ 3,589,110.66	\$ 3,766,310.52	\$ 4,119,602.64	\$ 4,194,215.89	\$-	\$-			
	Percent Difference btwn Bid and <mark>Next Highest</mark> Bid	4.94%	9.38%	1.81%	-100.00%					
	Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder? If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h? If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.									
Bid Guarantee Requirements	Below are the required documents Is the guarantee greater than or equa Did the bidder submit all of the require	I to 10% of the bid?		ted?	YES YES					
	Bid Bond Bond on City's bond form Surety power of attorney Most recent surety financial statemen Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Cert									
Contract Compliance	Are all subcontractors contract comp If there are not any subcontractors, c	hoose N/A.			YES	]				
Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp	Is the corporation foreign or the indiv	dual or partnership a no	on-resident of the State of	f Ohio?	NO					
	If yes, business entity shall register v service of summons, in any action in				N/A					
	This is required before the contract is <u>http://www.sos.state.oh.us/</u>	awarded. "Awarded" r	neans before the Director	accepts/approves the bio	Ł					
Award recommendation: After	evaluation of the bids, I recommend	the following contract	or be awarded the cont	ract for:						
Project Name:	Blueprint Weisheimer / Indian S	prings Roadway Im	provments							
Recommended Bidder:	George J. Igel & Co.									
Contract Amount:	\$ 3,589,110.66		<u> </u>							
Evaluator's Name:	Mike Pettiford									
Evaluation Completion Date:	12/24/2016									

# TAB 6: U.S. and Ohio EPA Requirements

version: April 28, 2015

PROJECT:	Blueprint Weisheimer / Indian Springs Roadway

Department/Division:	Public Utilities	
Section:	Sewers and Drains	

		Bids from Appare	ent lowest to hig	hest:	Apparent Low
CIP No.		650870-100002		COMPANY NAME	
Project Manager:		Nick Domenick		Geo. J. Igel & Co.	
Engineer's Esti	mate:	\$	4,591,	431.20	Initial Bid Amount
					\$ 3,589,110.66
Responsiveness C	Criteria, WPCL	F/WSRLA Complian	ice		4.94% A "NO" answer will no information submitter affect project schedul
FORM B12	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the Contractor Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Certification Form		Yes		
FORM B13	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the US EPA Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters Form		Yes		
FORM B14.3	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned US EPA Form 6100-4		Yes		
FORM B14.2	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has provided a completed and signed US EPA Form 6100-3 from each proposed subcontractor		Yes		
FORM B14.1	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has provided documentation to show it has met the EPA Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBE) Utilization Goals of 1.2% MBE and 1.8% WBE (if "NO," Good Faith Efforts must be documented - see immediately below)		Yes		

GOOD FAITH EFFORTS	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has NOT met the EPA Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Utilization Goals of 1.2% MBE and 1.8% WBE, so documentation of Good Faith Efforts to solicit DBE participation has been provided	NA
FORM B15	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the American Iron and Steel (AIS) Acknowledgement Form	Yes

LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 3,589,110.66
LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB 6	George J. Igel & Co.

# TAB VI: DEPARTMENT SPECIFIC CRITERIA

# Instructions:

If you have additional questions/documents that you require with your bids that are departm

Please follow the format of the previous tabs. If you need assistance, please contact Suzanna

Improvements Bid Date:		De	cember 21, 2016	
Initial Apparent Low Bidder:		G	Geo. J. Igel & Co.	
Initial Apparent Low Bid :		\$	3,589,110.66	

2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Shelly & Sands	<b>Conie Construction</b>	Facemyer Co.		
Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
\$ 3,766,310.52	\$ 4,119,602.64	\$ 4,194,215.89		
9.38%	1.81%	-100.00%		

ot necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have all forms completed and required d prior to contract execution. Delay in providing this information may result in delay of loan award and e.


•	Manually Enter Amount
•	Manually Enter Information

nent specific, please create your own evaluation worksheet here.

a Gussler or Alex Cofield.

B11

B12

B13.3

B13.2

Edge Certification; Total % is 3%

# **TAB VII: Notes**

On this page, include any notes or clarification from phone calls made. Be sure to include the company you called, who you spoke with, date, time, question asked, outcome of call, and name of person who made the call.

Include any notes or clarifications from research done.

Yes No NA