PROJECT:	Power Line Clearance, Tre	e Trimming & Removal Svcs.	Bid Date:	January 18, 2017
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Power	Initial Apparer	nt Low Bidder:	Asplundh
Section:		Initial Apparent Low Bid :		\$ 321,107.76

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	n/a	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Chris Vogel	Asplundh	Davey Tree				
Engineer's Estimate:		Initial Bid Amount					
		\$ 321,107.76	\$ 451,090.50				
		40.48%	-100.00%				

PART IA: F	Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
а	The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	NA	NA				
b	The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1	YES	YES				
c	The bidder submitted , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) FORM B9	YES	YES				
d	If applicable, the bidder listed a (sub)contractor(s) with an active water or sewer contractor tappers license (CMS 107.02)	NA	NA				
e	Digital ID on bid is from a person authorized to enter into contract on behalf of the company ("name of person singing contract" on Signature Affidavit must match the name on the Digital ID). Proposal Signature Affidavit	YES	YES				
f	There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES	YES				
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE				

PROJECT:	Power Line Clearance, Tre	Bid Date:	January 18, 2017	
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Power	Initial Apparer	nt Low Bidder:	Asplundh
Section:		Initial Apparent Low Bid :		\$ 321,107.76

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		n/a	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Ma	anager:	Chris Vogel	Asplundh	Davey Tree				
Engineer'	s Estimate:		Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 321,107.76	\$ 451,090.50				
PART IB: R	esponsiveness Cri	teria, Subcontractors (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
а		ed a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1)	YES	YES				
b	licensed construction	re listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors are on trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM B5 de subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA				
с	qualified responsibl	bcontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre- e or provisionally responsible licensed trade 9.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are	NA	NA				
d	work under which the	re listed, the bidder listed the division/section of he sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no listed, enter "NA") FORM B5	NA	NA				
e	subcontractor per d more than one sub	e listed and the bidder listed more than one livision/section of work, did the bidder explain why is listed for the division/section of work? o licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter	NA	NA				
f	trade subcontractor responsible. (329.2	affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction rs are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally 0)(h)(3) Form B9 (If no licensed trade e listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA				
g		subcontractors who were currently suspended or . (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	YES	YES				
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE				

PROJECT:	Power Line Clearance, Tre	Bid Date:	January 18, 2017	
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Power	Initial Apparer	t Low Bidder:	Asplundh
Section:		Initial Apparent Low Bid :		\$ 321,107.76

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		n/a	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	anager:	Chris Vogel	Asplundh	Davey Tree				
Engineer'	s Estimate:		Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 321,107.76	\$ 451,090.50				
PART IC: R	esponsiveness Crit	teria, Bid Specific (329.23)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for i	responsive bid)
а		mit more than one bid for same work. (See ction for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES	YES				
b	Bidder acknowledg	ged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES				
с		ith technical pre-qualification fication requirements where applicable.	YES	YES				
d	The bid contains n invitation for bid. (32)	o conditions or qualifications not provided in the 29.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES	YES				
е	Bidder added no pr (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	ovisions reserving right to accept or reject award.	YES	YES				
f	Bidder submitted a	a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2	NA	NA				
g	Bidder did not sub FORM B2	mit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i)	NA	NA				
h	Bid prices are mate	rially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B3	YES	YES				
i		gularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid (1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES				
j	(329.23)(f)(1)(g) F("NA")	a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. DRM B3 (If a unit price is not an option, enter	YES	YES				
k		lump sum price if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(h) sum is not an option, enter "NA")	NA	NA				
I	Bidder has complie (329.23)(f)(1)(k) FC	ed with section 329.20(h) regarding subcontractors. DRM B5	NA	NA				
m		in alterations, omissions, errors, such that it does locuments. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	YES	YES				
n	Bid responds to bid (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	d documents in all material respects.	YES	YES				
0	Bid is complete. (32	29.23)(f)(1)(e)	NA	YES				
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE				

PROJECT:	Power Line Clearance, Tre	ee Trimming & Removal Svcs.	Bid Date:	J	anuary 18, 2017
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Power	Initial Apparer	nt Low Bidder:		Asplundh
Section:		Initial Apparent Low Bid :		\$	321,107.76

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	n/a	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Chris Vogel	Asplundh	Davey Tree				
Engineer's Estimate:		Initial Bid Amount					
		\$ 321,107.76	\$ 451,090.50				

		A "no" answer in Part IE will not necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have a valid contract compliance no. prior to					
PART ID: I	Responsiveness Criteria, Contract Compliance	contract execution.					
а	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has an active contract compliance number at the time of bid.	NO	NO				

Bid Responsiveness, Waiver Considerations:	· · ·	Continue to Part II	Required to Contract;	Required to Contract;	Required to Contract;	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office
Proceed to Part II?	YES	YES				

LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 321,107.76	Manually Enter Amount
LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	Asplundh	Manually Enter Information

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	Power Line Clearance, Tr	ree Trimming & Removal Svcs.	Bid Date:	Ja	nuary 18, 2017
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Power	Responsive Low B	Asplundh		
Section:	0	Responsive Low Bid (from Tab I):			321,107.76

CIP No.	n/a	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Chris Vogel	Asplundh	Davey Tree	NA	NA	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$-	Initial Bid Amount					
		\$ 321,107.76	\$ 451,090.50	0	0	0	0

PART IIA: 3	29.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria	INPUT "	YES" FOR POSITIVE RES	PONSE OR "NO" FOR N	EGATIVE RESPONSE (1	point for each "yes", 0	for "no")
а	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B3, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	NA				
b	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B6, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES				
с	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B6 , SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES				
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B7	YES	YES				
е	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B7	YES	YES				
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B7	NA	YES				
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B7	YES	YES				
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B8	YES	YES				
	Responsibility Score:	7	7	0	0	0	0
	Continue to TAB 3?	YES	YES				

Manually Enter Information

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

PROJECT:	Power Line Clearance, Tr	ee Trimming & Removal Svcs.	Bid Date:	Ja	nuary 18, 2017
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Power	Evaluated Low Bidde	er (from Tabs I and II):		Asplundh
Section:	0	Evaluated Low Bid	(from Tabs I and II) :	Ş	321,107.76

CIP No.	n/a	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Chris Vogel	Asplundh	Davey Tree	NA	NA	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$-	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
		\$ 321,107.76	\$ 451,090.50	NA	NA	NA	NA
PART III: 329.(01)(u) and 329.2	212 Local Bidder						

	IS BID LOCAL?	NO	NO			
To look up if a bidder is local, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's list located at			X:\Construction Prequal	fication_		

 PART IIIA:

 IF <u>APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP.</u> Skip steps 2-5 and enter data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TAB IV.

 IF <u>APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2</u> (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information)

Part IIIB:	Part IIIB:							
step 2	Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	0.000%	40.479%	NA	NA	NA	NA	
eton 3	Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW BID?	YES	NO	NA	NA	NA	NA	
step 4	If step 3 is "yes", SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. DID AGENCY FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO CITY CODE SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?							
step 5	INPUT NEW BID AMOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO (\$0)							

Part IIIC:			
step 6	REVISED LOW BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB:	\$ 321,107.76	Manually Enter Amount
step 7	REVISED LOW BIDDER, TAB IIIB:	Asplundh	Manually Enter Information

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

\$

Apparent Low Bid:

PROJECT:	Power Line Clearance, Tree Trimming & Removal Svcs.			Bid Date:		January 18, 2017		
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Power			Evaluated Low Bidder	Asplundh			
Section:	0			Evaluated Low Bid (fi	\$ 321,108			
CIP No.	n/a		COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Chris Vogel		Asplundh	Davey Tree	NA	NA	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$	-	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
			\$ 321,107.76	\$ 451,090.50	NA	NA	NA	NA
PART IV: 329.(17) Environme	ental Preference							

Asplundh

PART IV: 3	329.(17) Environmental Preference						
step 1	Did apparent/evaluated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer "YES" or "NO" for apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA"	NO	NA				
step 2	Excluding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid?	NA	NO				
step 3	STOP. If apparent/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preterable bid, then the apparent/evaluated low bidder is lead bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data in Steps 4-6, complete Step 7, and proceed to Tab 5. If the apparent/evaluated low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bid, proceed to Steps 6 and 7.						
step 4	Calculate environmental preference for each bid. It is up to five percent (5%) of the non- environmental low bid, for a maximum of \$20,000 per bid.	0.000%	40.479%	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 5	Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied).	\$-	\$ 20,000.00	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 6	Bid amount less environmental preference, except if both claim environmental preference; in that case, both claiming EP cancels it for both	\$ 321,107.76	\$ 431,090.50	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 7	Low Bid Amount: \$ 321,107.76 Low Bidder:	Asplundh					

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

321,107.76 Apparent Low Bidder:

TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent L	ow Bidder/Bid:		Asplundh		\$	321,107.76]		
Pr	oject:	Power Li	ne Clearance, Tree	Trimming & I	Remova	Svcs.			
Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)	With respect to a bidder whose bid is regarding performance and costs on						tails regarding the bid	and/or hist	orical information
	Bidders	Asplundh	Davey Tree	0		0	0		0
	Bid Percent Difference btwn Bid and <mark>Next Highest</mark> Bid	\$ 321,107.76 40.48%	\$ 451,090.50 -100.00%	\$ -	- \$	-	\$	- \$	-
	Is any bidder 10% or more below t If yes, does the lower bidder's TAI If yes, low bidder is "responsible" un	3 2 evaluation have 'ye	es' answers for question	s c, d, g, and h?					YES YES
Bid Guarantee Requirements Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed. Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid? NO Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarantee submitted? NO									
	Bid Bond Bond on City's bond form Surety power of attorney Most recent surety financial stateme Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Cer								
Contract Compliance	Are all subcontractors contract comp If there are not any subcontractors, o	•	the contract can be execut	ed.		N/A]		
Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp	Is the corporation foreign or the indiv		on-resident of the State of	Dhio?		NO			
	If yes, business entity shall register v service of summons, in any action in This is required before the contract is http://www.sos.state.oh.us/	law or equity, or both, t	prought in the State of Ohic		ne bid	N/A			
Award recommendation: After	evaluation of the bids, I recommend	the following contract	tor be awarded the contra	ct for:					
Project Name: Recommended Bidder: Contract Amount:	Power Line Clearance, Tree Tri Asplundh Tree Expert Co. \$ 321,107.78	mming & Removal S	vcs.						
Evaluator's Name: Evaluation Completion Date:	Missy Smith 645-3776 2/6/2017								

TAB VII: Notes

Asplundh	Davey Tree	PM's comments:						
Tab 1,	Part 1A-a: Pre-qualification?							
1/30/17: We indicated to Sarah Harrell t	hat the project was bid as a constructic cated the bidders did not have to be pro							
		e quuineu.						
Tab 1, Part	1A-b: names of interested parties							
1/31/17: Asplundh clarified name s/b								
Keith Confere (manager).								
	•							
Tab 1, Part 1A-d: proposal signature affidavit?								
2/3/17: rec'd form								
	b 1, Part 1C-a: addenda?							
1/31/17: Asplundh clarified they								
understood the addenda.								
	b 1, Part IC-g: Bid bond:							
Not need	ed. Removed per Addenda #1.							
Tab 2, Part IIA-a: St	atistically Comparable to Other Bidder	rs?						
	No; 40.5% higher than Asplundh;							
	marked "NA" to continue evaluation							
	though.							
Tab	2, Part IIA-b: equipment?							
100								
1/31/17: Asplundh clarified the eqpt.								
Tab 2, Part IIA-d t	hru h: experience, deadlines, costs, etc	.:						
# of projects meeting deadlines: 3 out of	# of projects meeting deadlines: 3							
3	out of 3							
	# of projects meeting costs: 3 out of							
# of projects meeting costs: 3 out of 3	3							