PROJECT:	HCWP SLUDGE DISPOSAL LINE IMP'S - PT. III	Bid Date:	March 22, 2017

Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Kenmore
Section:	Tech Support	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 3,220,144.90

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	
CIP No.	690510-100002	COMPANY NAME						
Project Manager:	Ryan Shonk, P.E.	Kenmore	Eramo					
Engineer's Estimate:	\$1,688,024.91	Initial Bid Amount						
		\$3,220,144.90	\$3,869,525.75					
	20.17% -100.00%							

PART IA: R	responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)	INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid)					
a	The bidder <b>is pre-qualified</b> responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES	YES				
b	The bid <b>contains</b> the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) <b>FORM B1</b>	YES	YES				
С	The bidder <b>submitted</b> , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) <b>FORM B9</b>	YES	YES				
d	There is <b>no evidence</b> of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES	YES				
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE				

version: 2/22/2017

PROJECT:	HCWP SLUDGE DISP	OSAL LINE IMP'S - PT. III Bid Date:			larch 22, 2017
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Appare	nt Low Bidder:		Kenmore
Section:	Tech Support	Initial Appar	ent Low Bid :	\$	3,220,144.90

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690510-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	lanager:	Ryan Shonk, P.E.	Kenmore	Eramo				
Engineer'	's Estimate:	\$1,688,024.91	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$3,220,144.90	\$3,869,525.75				
PART IB: Responsiveness Criteria, Subcontractors (329.20)			INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
a		ed a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated a subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1)	YES	YES				
b	are licensed const	re listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors ruction trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM I trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	NA	YES				
С	qualified responsib	ubcontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre- ple or provisionally responsible licensed trade 29.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors NA")	NA	YES				
d	work under which	re listed, the bidder listed the division/section of the sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no licensed ors are listed, enter "NA") FORM B6	NA	YES				
e	subcontractor per more than one sub	re listed and the bidder listed more than one division/section of work, did the bidder explain why b is listed for the division/section of work? no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter	NA	NA				
f	trade subcontractoresponsible. (329.2	affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction ors are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally 20)(h)(3) Form B9 (If no licensed trade re listed, enter "NA")	NA	YES				
g		subcontractors who were currently suspended or ty. (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	YES	YES				
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE				

PROJECT:	HCWP SLUDGE DISPOSAL LINE IMP'S - PT. III	Bid Date:	March 22, 2017

Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	K	Kenmore
Section:	Tech Support	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$	3,220,144.90

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690510-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project N	Manager:	Ryan Shonk, P.E.	Kenmore	Eramo				
Engineer	r's Estimate:	\$1,688,024.91	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$3,220,144.90	\$3,869,525.75				
PART IC:	Responsiveness	Criteria, Bid Specific (329.23)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
а		submit more than one bid for same work. (See e section for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES	YES				
b	PAGE, page 2	ledged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) BID SIGNATURE	YES	YES				
С		d with technical pre-qualification pecification requirements where applicable.	YES	YES				
d		ns no conditions or qualifications not provided in the . (329.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES	YES				
е	Bidder added no (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	o provisions reserving right to accept or reject award.	YES	YES				
f	FORM B3	ed a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2,	YES	YES				
g	Bidder did not s FORM B2, FOR	submit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i)	YES	YES				
h	Bid prices are m	naterially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B4	YES	YES				
i		irregularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid (3)(f)(1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES				
j		ed a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. FORM B4 (If a unit price is not an option, enter	YES	YES				
k		ed a lump sum price if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(h) mp sum is not an option, enter "NA")	YES	YES				
1		nplied with section 329.20(h) regarding (329.23)(f)(1)(k) FORM B6	YES	YES				
m		ontain alterations, ommissions, errors, such that it o the bid documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	YES	YES				
n	Bid responds to (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	bid documents in all material respects.	YES	YES				

	PROJECT:	HCWP SLUDGE DISP	POSAL LINE IMP'S - PT. III Bid Date:			March 22, 2017
Depa	artment/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparer	nt Low Bidder:		Kenmore
Secti	ion:	Tech Support	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	3,220,144.90

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690510-100002	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:		Ryan Shonk, P.E.	Kenmore	Eramo				
Engineer	's Estimate:	\$1,688,024.91	Initial Bid Amount					
			\$3,220,144.90	\$3,869,525.75				
0	o Bid is complete. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)		YES	YES				
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE				

PR	OJECT:	HCWP SLUDGE DISP	OSAL LINE IMP'S -	PT. III	Bid	Date:	March 22, 2017	
Departme	nt/Division:	Public Utilities / Water		Initial Apparei	nt Low Bidder:		Ken	more
Section:		Tech Support		Initial Appar	ent Low Bid :		\$	3,220,144.90
		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690510-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Ma	anager:	Ryan Shonk, P.E.	Kenmore	Eramo				
Engineer's	s Estimate:	\$1,688,024.91	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$3,220,144.90	\$3,869,525.75				
A "no" answer in Part IE will not necessarily render a PART ID: Responsiveness Criteria, Contract Compliance						oonsive. Bidder must h execution.	ave a valid contract co	mpliance no. prior to
a	The "Evaluated Lo number at the time	ow Bidder" has an active contract compliance e of bid.	YES	YES				
	Bid Res	sponsivness, Waiver Considerations:	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office			
		Proceed to Part II?	YES	YES				
				1				
	LC	OWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 3,220,144.90	<del></del>	Manually Enter Amou	int		
	LOW	/EST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	Kenmore		Manually Enter Inform	nation		

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	HCWP SLUDGE DISF	OSAL LINE IMP'S -	PT. III	Bid I	Date:	March 22, 2017		
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water		Responsive Low E		Kenmore			
Section:	Tech Support		Responsive Low	\$ 3,220,144.90				
CIP No.	690510-100002	COMPANY NAME						
Project Manager:	Ryan Shonk, P.E.	Kenmore	Eramo	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 1,688,024.91	Initial Bid Amount						
		\$ 3,220,144.90	\$ 3,869,525.75	0	0	0	0	

PART IIA: 3	329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria	INPUT "	YES" FOR POSITIVE RES	PONSE OR "NO" FOR N	EGATIVE RESPONSE (1	point for each "yes", 0 f	or "no")
a	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B3- Bid Price and Amount	YES	NA				
b	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & Resources	YES	YES				
С	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & Resources	YES	YES				
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & Resources	YES	YES				
е	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & Resources	YES	YES				
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B7	YES	NA				
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B7	YES	NA				
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B8	YES	YES				
	Responsibility Score:	8	5	0	0	0	0
	Continue to TAB 3?	YES	YES				



### TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

PF	ROJECT:	HCWP SLUDGE DISF	OSAL LINE IMP	INE IMP'S - PT. III Bid Date:			March 2	22, 2017			
Departme	ent/Division:	Public Utilities / Water		Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I and II):				Kenmore			
Section:		Tech Support		Evaluated Low Bid	(from Tabs I and II):		\$	3,220,144.90			
							1				
CIP No.		690510-100002	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME			
Project M		Ryan Shonk, P.E.	Kenmore	Eramo	NA	NA	NA	NA			
Engineer	's Estimate:	\$ 1,688,024.91	Bid Amount \$ 3,220,144.90	Bid Amount \$ 3,869,525.75	Bid Amount NA	Bid Amount NA	Bid Amount NA	Bid Amount NA			
PART III: 32	29.(01)(u) and 329.21	12 Local Bidder	3 3,220,144.90	3 3,803,323.73	NA NA	NA NA	IVA	IVA			
		IS BID LOCAL?	YES	NO							
To look up	if a bidder is local, u	se the Office of Construction Prequalification's lis	t located at	X:\Construction Prequa	alification						
PART IIIA:											
	IF <u>APPARENT L</u>	<u>ow bid in part ii <b>IS</b> local</u> STOP. Skip		1							
		data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to T.	AB IV.								
step 1											
	IF <u>APPARENT L</u>	OW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINU		O STEP 2 (see							
		above for apparent low bid and bidder inform	ation)								
				j							
Part IIIB:											
rait iiib.											
step 2	Percentage differen	ce of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	0.000%	20.166%	NA	NA	NA	NA			
	Is amount in prior st	ep WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW									
step 3	BID?		YES	NO	NA	NA	NA	NA			
	If step 3 is "yes", S	EE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE.									
step 4	EOLI OW DDOCED	DID AGENCY URES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO CITY CODE									
		(INDICATE IF NA)?									
	INDUT NEW DID AS	AOUNT FOR RIPPER IS ARRUGARUS OF SECO.									
step 5	(\$0)	MOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO									
Part IIIC:				]							
ston 4	DEVISED LOW	RID AMOUNT TAR JUD.	\$ 3.220.144.90		Manually Enter America						
step 6	WEAISED FOM	BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB:	\$ 3,220,144.90		Manually Enter Amoun	ıı					
	DEVICES LOSS	DIDDED TAR HID.									
step 7	KEVISED LOW	BIDDER, TAB IIIB:	Kenmore	Manually Enter Information							
	L			1							

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

PF	ROJECT:	ŀ	AL LINE IMP'S - PT. III				Bid [	Bid Date:		March 22, 2017	
Department/Division: Public Utilities / Water					Eva	luated Low Bidder	(from Tabs I, II and III):	: Kenmore			
Section:		Tech Support				Ev	aluated Low Bid (fr	om Tabs I, II and III) :		\$	3,220,145
-											
CIP No.		690510-100002		CC	MPANY NAME	С	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M		Ryan Shonk, P.E.			Kenmore		Eramo	NA	NA	NA	NA
Engineer'	s Estimate:	\$	1,688,024.91		Bid Amount		Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
DADT IV. 2	29.(17) Environmental	Droforonco		\$	3,220,144.90	\$	3,869,525.75	NA	NA	NA	NA
	arent Low Bid:	\$	3,220,144.90	Appa	rent Low Bidder:		Kenr	nore			
			2,==3,= 1•	1,1,1							
PART IV: 3	29.(17) Environmental	Preference									
step 1		d low bidder submit "enviror parent low bidder. For all o	nmentally preferable" bid? Answer her bidder's, input "NA"		YES		NA				
step 2	Excluding apparent I bid?	ow bidder, did bidder sub	mit "environmentally preferable"		NA		YES				
step 3	bid, then the apparent in Steps 4-6, complete	exaluated low bidder is lead Step 7, and proceed to Tal Sted low bidder did not submi	d an environmentally preferable bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data 5.								
step 4		tal preference for each bid. I bid, for a maximum of \$20	t is up to five percent (5%) of the 000 per bid.		0.000%		20.166%	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 5	Preference amount for applied).	each eligible bid (if 0, then	no environmental preference was	\$	-	\$	20,000.00	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 6		onmental preference, excep e, both claiming EP cancels	t if both claim enviornmental it for both	\$	3,220,144.90	\$	3,849,525.75	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 7	Low Bid Amount:	\$ 3,220,144.90	Low Bidder:		Kenmore						
	Manually Enter Amount Manually Enter Information										

Tab 4: 8 of 11

#### TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Kenmore	\$ 3,220,144.90
Project:	HCWP SLUDGE DISPOSAL LINE IMP'S - F	PT. III

Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

Bidders Kenmore		Eramo	0	0	0	0	
Bid	\$ 3,220,144.90	\$ 3,869,525.75	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Percent Difference btwn Bid							
and Next Highest Bid	20.17%	-100.00%					

Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder?

If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h?

If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.

YES YES

Bid Gurantee Requirements

Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.

Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?

Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarentee submitted?

YES YES

Bid Bond Bond on City's bond form

Surety power of attorney Most recent surety financial statement

Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance

Certified/Cashier's Check

Drawn on a solvant bank

Contract Compliance

Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp

Are all subcontractors contract compliant? Required before the contract can be executed.

If there are not any subcontractors, choose N/A.

Is the corporation foreign or the individual or partnership a non-resident of the State of Ohio?

If yes, then did they file with the Secretary of State a power of attorney designating them or their agent or the Secretary of State, as agent, for the purpose of accepting service of summons, in any action in law or equity,

Letter of Credit

LOC on City's LOC form

or both, brought in the State of Ohio

N/A

YES

This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid

http://www.sos.state.oh.us/

Award recommendation: After evaluation of the bids, I recommend the following contractor be awarded the contract for:

Project Name: HCWP SLUDGE DISPOSAL LINE IMP'S - PT. III

Recommended Bidder: Kenmore Construction Co., Inc.
Contract Amount: \$ 3,220,144.90

Evaluator's Name: Missy Smith 645-3776
Evaluation Completion Date: 3/23/2017

Additional Information for Departments:

State of Ohio - Ohio Auditor

This is the link to the State of Ohio Auditor's web site to check for "findings for recovery"

https://ohioauditor.gov/findings.html

Project:	HCWP SLUDGE DISPOSAL LINE IMP'S - I	, .,
Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Kenmore	\$ 3,220,144,90

## Federal - System for Award Management

This is the link to the Federal System for Awards Management site to check for "findings for recovery"

https://www.sam.gov/portal/SAM/#1

#### City of Columbus

Contact the Purchasing Office if you have a question about the City's list of debarred vendors.

### State of Ohio Debarment

This is the link to multiple state agencies that lists debarred companies.

http://ofcc.ohio.gov/Resources/Debarments.aspx

### OSHA

This is the link to the US Department of Labor's website for OSHA violations

https://www.osha.gov

#### City of Columbus Office of Prequalifications

Pre-Qualification Office email: <a href="mailto:prequalification@columbus.gov">prequalification@columbus.gov</a>

Pre-Qualification Office phone no: 645-0359

**TAB VII: Notes** 

Kenmore Construction	Eramo								
Tab 1, Part IC-c: Techni	Tab 1, Part IC-c: Technical Prequalifications:								
Non	e								
Tab 2, Part IIA-a: Statis	stically Comparable?								
90.8% higher than E.E.; marked "Yes" to continue evaluation.	129.2% higher than E.E. and 20.2% higher than Kenmore; marked "NA" to continue evaluation.								
Tab 2, Part IIA-g: Meet	ing deadlines/costs:								
# of projects meeting deadlines: 0 out of 3; marked "yes" to continue evaluation but PM to comment if ok to award to.  # of projects meeting costs: 1 out of 3; marked "yes" (due to owner CO's)	Cannot evaluate; did not provide beginning/end dates for deadlines/costs. Marked "NA".								
, (2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.)									

# Siegfried, Miriam C.:

Kenmore has successfully completed several other projects for DOW within the contract duration and we have no concerns with them completing the work within the scheduled timeline.