TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PROJECT:	Broad Meadows Underground Street Lighting Imp's	Bid Date:	March 1, 2017

Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Power	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Complete Genl
Section:	Street Lighting	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 86,112.40

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	670778-100001	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Scott Wolfe	Complete Genl	Jess Howard	U.S. Utility			
Engineer's Estimate:	\$89,170.58	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$86,112.40	\$ 96,854.27	\$ 97,838.21			
		12.47%	1.02%	-100.00%			

PART IA: F	Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
а	The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES	YES	YES			
b	The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1	YES	YES	YES			
С	The bidder submitted , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) FORM B9	YES	YES	YES			
d	There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES	YES	YES			
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE			

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PROJECT:	Broad Meadows Underground Street Lighting Imp's	Bid Date:	March 1, 2017

Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Power	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Complete Genl
Section:	Street Lighting	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 86,112.40

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		670778-100001	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	lanager:	Scott Wolfe	Complete Genl	Jess Howard	U.S. Utility			
Engineer	's Estimate:	\$89,170.58	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$86,112.40	\$ 96,854.27	\$ 97,838.21			
PART IB: F	Responsiveness Cri	iteria, Subcontractors (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for i	esponsive bid)
а		ed a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1)	YES	YES	YES			
b	are licensed constr	re listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors ruction trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA			
С	qualified responsib	bcontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre- le or provisionally responsible licensed trade 29.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are)	NA	NA	NA			
d	work under which t	re listed, the bidder listed the division/section of he sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no licensed rs are listed, enter "NA") FORM B6	NA	NA	NA			
е	subcontractor per o	re listed and the bidder listed more than one division/section of work, did the bidder explain why is listed for the division/section of work? no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter	NA	NA	NA			
f	trade subcontractor responsible. (329.2	affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction rs are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally (20)(h)(3) Form B9 (If no licensed trade re listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA			
g		subcontractors who were currently suspended or y. (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	YES	YES	YES			
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE			

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PROJECT:	Broad Meadows Underground Street Lighting Imp's	Bid Date:	March 1, 2017

Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Power	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	Complete Genl
Section:	Street Lighting	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 86,112.40

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		670778-100001	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project N	lanager:	Scott Wolfe	Complete Genl	Jess Howard	U.S. Utility			
Engineer	's Estimate:	\$89,170.58	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$86,112.40	\$ 96,854.27	\$ 97,838.21			
PART IC: F	Responsiveness Cri	iteria, Bid Specific (329.23)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for i	responsive bid)
a	referenced code se	mit more than one bid for same work. (See ection for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES	YES	YES			
b	PAGE, page 2	ged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) BID SIGNATURE	NA	NA	NA			
С	requirements/speci (329.23)(f)(1)(c)	vith technical pre-qualification flication requirements where applicable.	NA	NA	NA			
d	The bid contains invitation for bid. (3	no conditions or qualifications not provided in the (29.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES	YES	YES			
е	Bidder added no pr (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	rovisions reserving right to accept or reject award.	YES	YES	YES			
f	Bidder submitted a	a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2, FORM	YES	YES	YES			
g	Bidder did not sub FORM B2, FORM I	omit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) B3	YES	YES	NA			
h	Bid prices are mate	erially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B4	YES	YES	YES			
i		egularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid (1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES			
j		a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. ORM B4 (If a unit price is not an option, enter	YES	YES	YES			
k		a lump sum price if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(h) sum is not an option, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA			
1		ed with section 329.20(h) regarding 29.23)(f)(1)(k) FORM B6	NA	NA	NA			
m		ain alterations, ommissions, errors, such that it to bid documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	YES	YES	YES			
n	Bid responds to bid (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	d documents in all material respects.	YES	YES	YES			

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PROJECT:	Broad Meadows Underg	ground Street Lighting Imp's	Bid Date:	ľ	March 1, 2017
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Power	Initial Apparer	nt Low Bidder:	C	omplete Genl
Section:	Street Lighting	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	86,112.40

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		670778-100001	COMPANY NAME					
Project M	anager:	Scott Wolfe	Complete Genl	Jess Howard	U.S. Utility			
Engineer'	s Estimate:	\$89,170.58	Initial Bid Amount					
			\$86,112.40	\$ 96,854.27	\$ 97,838.21			
0	Bid is complete. (3	229.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES			
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE			

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PR	OJECT:	Broad Meadows Underg	round Street Ligh	ting Imp's	Bid I	Date:	March 1, 2017		
Departme	ent/Division:	Public Utilities / Power		Initial Appare	nt Low Bidder:		Complete Genl		
Section:	Section: Street Lighting			Initial Appar		\$	86,112.40		
		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	
CIP No.		670778-100001	COMPANY NAME COMPANY NAME		COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
Project Ma	anager:	Scott Wolfe	Complete Genl	Jess Howard	U.S. Utility				
Engineer's	s Estimate:	\$89,170.58	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	
			\$86,112.40	\$ 96,854.27	\$ 97,838.21				
PART ID: R	. '	riteria, Contract Compliance ow Bidder" has an active contract compliance e of bid.	A "no" answer in Pa	rt IE will not necessaril	y render a bid non-resp contract o		ave a valid contract co	mpliance no. prior to	
			Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	
			YES	YES	YES				
			\$ 86,112.40		Manually Enter Amou	nt			
	LOW	VEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	Complete Genl.		Manually Enter Inform				

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	Broad Meadows U	nderg	round Street Ligh	ting Imp's	Bid I	Date:	March 1, 2017			
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Power			Responsive Low E	Complete Genl.					
Section:	Street Lighting			Responsive Low	\$ 86,112.40					
CIP No.	670778-100001		COMPANY NAME							
Project Manager:	Scott Wolfe		Complete Genl	Jess Howard	U.S. Utility	NA	NA	NA		
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 89,17	0.58	Initial Bid Amount							
			\$ 86,112.40	\$ 96,854.27	\$ 97,838.21	0	0	0		

PART IIA: 3	329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria	INPUT "	YES" FOR POSITIVE RES	PONSE OR "NO" FOR N	EGATIVE RESPONSE (1	point for each "yes", 0	for "no")
a	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B3- Bid Price and Amount	YES	YES	YES			
b	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & Resources	YES	YES	YES			
С	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & Resources	YES	YES	YES			
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & Resources	YES	YES	YES			
е	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & Resources	YES	YES	YES			
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES			
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES			
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B8	YES	YES	YES			
	Responsibility Score:	8	8	8	0	0	0
	Continue to TAB 3?	YES	YES	YES			

Manually Enter Information

step 6

step 7

REVISED LOW BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB:

REVISED LOW BIDDER, TAB IIIB:

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

Public Utilities / Power Street Lighting 670778-100001 Scott Wolfe Street Street Lighting 89,170.58 d 329.212 Local Bidder IS BID LOCAL? Iocal, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's listent Low BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to T	o steps 2-5 and enter AB IV. UE TO TO STEP 2 (see	COMPANY NAME Jess Howard Bid Amount \$ 96,854.27	YES	COMPANY NAME NA Bid Amount NA	Comple \$ COMPANY NAME NA Bid Amount NA	COMPANY NAME NA Bid Amount NA
Scott Wolfe 9: \$ 89,170.58 d 329.212 Local Bidder IS BID LOCAL? local, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's lis RENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to T.	Complete GenI Bid Amount \$ 86,112.40 YES st located at D steps 2-5 and enter AB IV. UE TO TO STEP 2 (see	Jess Howard Bid Amount \$ 96,854.27	U.S. Utility Bid Amount \$ 97,838.21	NA Bid Amount	NA Bid Amount	NA Bid Amount
IS BID LOCAL? Iocal, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's lis RENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to T.	Steps 2-5 and enter AB IV.	Bid Amount \$ 96,854.27 NO X:\Construction Prequ	Bid Amount \$ 97,838.21	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
IS BID LOCAL? local, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's lis RENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to T. RENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTIN	YES st located at D steps 2-5 and enter AB IV. UE TO TO STEP 2 (see	NO X:\Construction Prequ	YES	NA	NA NA	NA
RENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to T.	o steps 2-5 and enter AB IV.	X:\Construction Prequ				
RENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to T. RENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTIN	o steps 2-5 and enter AB IV. UE TO TO STEP 2 (see		alification			
above for apparent low bid and bidder inform.	ation)					
difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	0.000%	12.474%	13.617%	NA	NA	NA
n prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW	YES	NO	NO	NA	NA	NA
"yes". SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. 2Y FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?						
V BID AMOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO						
1	prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW "yes". SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. "Y FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?	rprior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW YES 'yes''. SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. 'Y FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?	rprior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW YES NO Yes'. SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. Y FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?	prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW YES NO NO NO Yes*. SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. PY FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?	Prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW YES NO NO NA *Yes*. SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. *Y FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?	Prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW YES NO NO NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

86,112.40

Complete Genl.

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

PROJECT: Broad Meadows Undergroun				and Street Lighting Imp's					Bid [Date:	March 1, 2017		
Department/Division: Public Utilities / Power				Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I, II and III)						Comple	te Genl.		
Section:		Street Lighting				Eva	aluated Low Bid (fr	om	Tabs I, II and III):		\$	86,112	
CIP No.					MPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME		COMPANY NAME		COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
	roject Manager: Scott Wolfe				mplete Genl	_	Jess Howard		U.S. Utility	NA	NA	NA	
Engineer's	Engineer's Estimate: \$ 89,170.58				Bid Amount		Bid Amount		Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	
DADT IV. 33	29.(17) Environmental	Proference		\$	86,112.40	\$	96,854.27	\$	97,838.21	NA	NA	NA	
	rent Low Bid:	\$	86,112.40	Apparent Low Bidder: Complete Genl.									
. фра			23,222.10	1,4			22		-				
PART IV: 32	29.(17) Environmental	Preference											
step 1			conmentally preferable" bid? Answer other bidder's, input "NA"		YES		NA		NA				
step 2	Excluding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid?				NA	NO							
step 3	bid, then the apparent/ in Steps 4-6, complete	e Step 7, and proceed to T died low bidder did not subject	ted an environmentally preferable ad bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data ab 5. mit an environmentally preferable bid,										
step 4	Calculate environmental preference for each hid. It is up to five percent. (5%) of the				0.000%		12.474%		13.617%	NA	NA	NA	
step 5	Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied).			\$	-	\$	12,081.84	\$	13,322.50	NA	NA	NA	
step 6		onmental preference, exce e, both claiming EP cance	ept if both claim enviornmental els it for both	\$	86,112.40	\$	84,772.43	\$	84,515.71	NA	NA	NA	
step 7	Low Bid Amount:	\$ 86,112.40	Low Bidder:	Co	omplete Genl								
		•			•								

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Complete Genl	\$	86,112.40
Project:	Broad Meadows Underground Street Light	ing In	np's

Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

Bidders	Complete Genl	Jess Howard	U.S. Utility	0	0	0
Bid	\$ 86,112.40	\$ 96,854.27	\$ 97,838.21	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Percent Difference btwn Bid						
and Next Highest Bid	12.47%	1.02%	-100.00%			

Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder?

If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for guestions c, d, g, and h?

If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.

YES YES

Bid Gurantee Requirements

Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.

Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?

Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarentee submitted?

YES YES

Bid Bond
Bond on City's bond form
Surety power of attorney

Most recent surety financial statement

Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance

Certified/Cashier's Check

Drawn on a solvant bank

Contract Compliance

Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp

Are all subcontractors contract compliant? Required before the contract can be executed.

If there are not any subcontractors, choose N/A.

Is the corporation foreign or the individual or partnership a non-resident of the State of Ohio?

If yes, then did they file with the Secretary of State a power of attorney designating them or their agent or the Secretary of State, as agent, for the purpose of accepting service of summons, in any action in law or equity,

Letter of Credit

LOC on City's LOC form

or both, brought in the State of Ohio

NO N/A

N/A

This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid

http://www.sos.state.oh.us/

Award recommendation: After evaluation of the bids, I recommend the following contractor be awarded the contract for:

Project Name: Broad Meadows Underground Street Lighting Imp's

Recommended Bidder: Complete General Construction Co.

Contract Amount: \$86,112.40

Evaluator's Name: Missy Smith 645-3776

Evaluation Completion Date: 3/3/2017

Additional Information for Departments:

State of Ohio - Ohio Auditor

This is the link to the State of Ohio Auditor's web site to check for "findings for recovery"

https://ohioauditor.gov/findings.html

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Complete Genl	\$	86,112.40		
Project:	Broad Meadows Underground Street Lighting Imp's				

Federal - System for Award Management

This is the link to the Federal System for Awards Management site to check for "findings for recovery"

https://www.sam.gov/portal/SAM/#1

City of Columbus

Contact the Purchasing Office if you have a question about the City's list of debarred vendors.

State of Ohio Debarment

This is the link to multiple state agencies that lists debarred companies.

http://ofcc.ohio.gov/Resources/Debarments.aspx

OSHA

This is the link to the US Department of Labor's website for OSHA violations

https://www.osha.gov

City of Columbus Office of Prequalifications

Pre-Qualification Office email: prequalification@columbus.gov

Pre-Qualification Office phone no: 645-0359

TAB VII: Notes

			4th lowest bidder:
Complete General	Jess Howard Electric Co.	U.S. Utiltiy Contractor	Vaughn Industries
			Bid amount =
Tab 1, Part IC	\$220,872.65		
Per PM: "There are no to	echnical prequalifications for this pro	ject." Marked "NA" for all.	Not reviewed; top 3 bidders responsive. Bid amount seems unablanced.
	Tab 1, Part IC-g: Bid Bond		1
	Tab 1, Part IC-g. Biu Boliu	No B2 forms, no surety forms. Marked "NA" since done through Surety 2000 & to continue evaluation.	
Tab 2, Part IIA-a: St	atistically Comparable to other Bidd	ers (i.e. within 10%)?	
	12.47% higher than lowest bidder but 8.62% higher than E.E.; marked "yes".	13.62% higher than lowest bidder but 9.72% higher than E.E.; marked "yes".	
Та	b 2, Part IIA-g: Meeting deadlines/co	nsts:	
# of projects meeting deadlines: 0 out of 3; Per PM: "This is acceptable. SAW 3/30/17". Marked "yes".		# of projects meeting deadlines: 2 out 3; marked "yes", CO's.	
# of projects meeting costs: 1 out of 3; Per PM: "This is acceptable. SAW 3/30/17". Marked "yes".	# of projects meeting costs: 1 out of 3; marked "yes" since others due to City CO's.	# of projects meeting costs: 1 out of 3; marked "yes", CO's.	
]
Tab 4, Part	t IV: step 1: Environmentally Prefera	ble Offeror?	
yes	no	yes	