TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PROJECT:	McCUTCHEON ROAD STREET LIGHTING IMPROVEMENTS	Bid Date:	July 26, 2017

Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Power	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	U.S. Utility	
Section:	Street Lighting	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 175,734.03	L

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	440007-100006 (UIRF)	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Scott Wolfe	U.S. Utility	Complete Gen'l	Asplundh			
Engineer's Estimate:	\$334,743.97	Initial Bid Amount					
		\$175,734.01	\$183,245.70	\$257,859.80			
		4.27%	40.72%	-100.00%			

PART IA: F	Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for i	responsive bid)
а	The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES	YES	YES			
b	The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1	YES	YES	NA			
С	The bidder submitted , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) FORM B9	YES	YES	YES			
d	There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES	YES	YES			
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE			

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PROJECT:	McCUTCHEON ROAD STREET LIGHTING IMPROVEMENTS	Bid Date:	July 26, 2017

Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Power	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	U.S. Utility
Section:	Street Lighting	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 175,734.01

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		440007-100006 (UIRF)	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	lanager:	Scott Wolfe	U.S. Utility	Complete Gen'l	Asplundh			
Engineer'	's Estimate:	\$334,743.97	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$175,734.01	\$183,245.70	\$257,859.80			
PART IB: F	Responsiveness Cri	teria, Subcontractors (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for i	responsive bid)
а		d a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1)	YES	YES	YES			
b	are licensed constr	e listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors uction trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA			
С	qualified responsibl	ocontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre- e or provisionally responsible licensed trade 9.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors IA")	NA	NA	NA			
d	work under which the	e listed, the bidder listed the division/section of ne sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no licensed s are listed, enter "NA") FORM B6	NA	NA	NA			
e	subcontractor per d more than one sub	e listed and the bidder listed more than one ivision/section of work, did the bidder explain why is listed for the division/section of work? o licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter	NA	NA	NA			
f	trade subcontractor responsible. (329.2	affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction is are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally 0)(h)(3) Form B9 (If no licensed trade e listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA			
g		subcontractors who were currently suspended or (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	YES	YES	YES			
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE			

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PROJECT:	McCUTCHEON ROAD STREET LIGHTING IMPROVEMENTS	Bid Date:	July 26, 2017

Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Power	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:	U.S. Utility
Section:	Street Lighting	Initial Apparent Low Bid :	\$ 175,734.01

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		440007-100006 (UIRF)	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project N	Manager:	Scott Wolfe	U.S. Utility	Complete Gen'l	Asplundh			
Engineer	's Estimate:	\$334,743.97	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$175,734.01	\$183,245.70	\$257,859.80			
PART IC:		iteria, Bid Specific (329.23)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for r	esponsive bid)
a	referenced code se	omit more than one bid for same work. (See ection for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES	YES	YES			
b	PAGE, page 2	ged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) BID SIGNATURE	NA	NA	NA			
С		vith technical pre-qualification ification requirements where applicable.	YES	YES	YES			
d	The bid contains r invitation for bid. (3	no conditions or qualifications not provided in the 329.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES	YES	YES			
е	Bidder added no production (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	rovisions reserving right to accept or reject award.	YES	YES	YES			
f	Bidder submitted a	a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2,	YES	YES	YES			
g	Bidder did not sub FORM B2, FORM	omit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) B3	YES	YES	YES			
h	Bid prices are mate	erially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B4	YES	YES	NA			
i		egularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid (1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES			
j		a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. ORM B4 (If a unit price is not an option, enter	YES	YES	YES			
k		a lump sum price if required . (329.23)(f)(1)(h) sum is not an option, enter "NA")	YES	YES	YES			
I		led with section 329.20(h) regarding 29.23)(f)(1)(k) FORM B6	YES	YES	YES			
m		ain alterations, ommissions, errors, such that it ne bid documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	YES	YES	YES			
n	Bid responds to bi (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	id documents in all material respects.	YES	YES	YES			

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PROJECT:	McCUTCHEON ROAD STRE	Bid Date:	July 26, 2017	
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Power	Initial Apparer	nt Low Bidder:	U.S. Utility
Section:	Street Lighting	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$ 175,734.01

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		440007-100006 (UIRF)	COMPANY NAME					
Project Ma	anager:	Scott Wolfe	U.S. Utility	Complete Gen'l	Asplundh			
Engineer's	s Estimate:	\$334,743.97	Initial Bid Amount					
			\$175,734.01	\$183,245.70	\$257,859.80			
0	Bid is complete. (3	29.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES			
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE			

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PR	OJECT:	McCUTCHEON ROAD STRE	ET LIGHTING IMPE	ROVEMENTS	Bid Date:		July 26, 2017	
Departme	nt/Division:	Public Utilities / Power		nt Low Bidder:	U.S. Utility			
Section:		Street Lighting		Initial Appar	ent Low Bid :		\$	175,734.01
		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		440007-100006 (UIRF)	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Ma	anager:	Scott Wolfe	U.S. Utility	Complete Gen'l	Asplundh			
Engineer's	s Estimate:	\$334,743.97	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$175,734.01	\$183,245.70	\$257,859.80			
-								
PART ID: R	esponsiveness Cı	riteria, Contract Compliance	A "no" answer in Par	t IE will not necessarily		oonsive. Bidder must h execution.	ave a valid contract co	mpliance no. prior to
а	The "Evaluated Lo	ow Bidder" has an active contract compliance e of bid.	YES	YES	YES			
			' '	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract;	Waiver Legislation	Waiver Legislation
	Bid Res	sponsivness, Waiver Considerations:	Continue to Part II	Continue to Part II	Continue to Part II	Contact Fiscal Office	Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office
		Proceed to Part II?	YES	YES	YES			
·	-							
	LO	OWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 175,734.01		Manually Enter Amou	ınt		
	LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I				Manually Enter Inform	mation		

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	McCUTCHEON ROAD STRE	ET LIGHTING IMPE	ROVEMENTS	Bid I	Date:	July 26, 2017		
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Power		Responsive Low E	U.S. Utility				
Section:	Street Lighting		Responsive Low	Bid (from Tab I):		\$ 175,734.		
CIP No.	440007-100006 (UIRF)	COMPANY NAME						
Project Manager:	Scott Wolfe	U.S. Utility	Complete Gen'l	Asplundh	NA	NA	NA	
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 334,743.97	Initial Bid Amount						
		\$ 175,734.01	\$ 183,245.70	\$ 257,859.80	0	0	0	

PART IIA: 3	329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria	INPUT "	YES" FOR POSITIVE RES	PONSE OR "NO" FOR N	EGATIVE RESPONSE (1	point for each "yes", 0	for "no")
a	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B3- Bid Price and Amount	YES	YES	NA			
b	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & Resources	YES	YES	YES			
С	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & Resources	YES	YES	YES			
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & Resources	YES	YES	YES			
е	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & Resources	YES	YES	YES			
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES			
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES			
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B8	YES	YES	YES			_
	Responsibility Score:	8	8	7	0	0	0
	Continue to TAB 3?	YES	YES	YES			

Manually Enter Information

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

PROJECT: Department/Division:		McCUTCHEON ROAD STRE	EET LIGHTING IM	PROVEMENTS	Bid [July 26	5, 2017	
		Public Utilities / Power		Evaluated Low Bidde	er (from Tabs I and II):		U.S. Utility	
Section:		Street Lighting		Evaluated Low Bid			\$	175,734.0
CIP No.	_	440007-100006 (UIRF)	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
	Manager:	Scott Wolfe	U.S. Utility	Complete Gen'l	Asplundh	NA	NA	NA
	's Estimate:	\$ 334,743.97	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
			\$ 175,734.01	\$ 183,245.70	\$ 257,859.80	NA	NA	NA
ART III: 3	329.(01)(u) and 329.2	212 Local Bidder						
		IS BID LOCAL?	YES	YES	NO			
o look up	if a bidder is local.	use the Office of Construction Prequalification's lis		X:\Construction Prequal				
ART IIIA:				A. CONSTRUCTION FIEQUA	micación .			
step 1	IF APPARENT	LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINU						
	IF <u>APPARENT</u>	LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINU above for apparent low bid and bidder informa						
				4.274%	46.733%	NA	NA	NA
Part IIIB:	Percentage differe	above for apparent low bid and bidder information	ation)	4.274% NO	46.733% NO	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
Part IIIB:	Percentage differe Is amount in prior s BID? If step 3 is "yes", FOLLOW PROCEI	above for apparent low bid and bidder information above for apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	0.000%					
Part IIIB: step 2 step 3	Percentage differe Is amount in prior s BID? If step 3 is "yes", FOLLOW PROCEI SECTIONS 329.21	above for apparent low bid and bidder information and bidder informa	0.000%					
Part IIIB: step 2 step 3 step 4	Percentage differe Is amount in prior s BID? If step 3 is "yes", FOLLOW PROCEI SECTIONS 329.21 INPUT NEW BID A	above for apparent low bid and bidder information of the property of the low step within one percent (1%) of the low see procedures on sharepoint site. DID AGENCY DURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO CITY CODE 12 (INDICATE IF NA)?	0.000%					
Part IIIB: step 2 step 3 step 4	Percentage differe Is amount in prior s BID? If step 3 is "yes", FOLLOW PROCEI SECTIONS 329.21 INPUT NEW BID A (\$0)	above for apparent low bid and bidder information of the property of the low step within one percent (1%) of the low see procedures on sharepoint site. DID AGENCY DURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO CITY CODE 12 (INDICATE IF NA)?	0.000%	NO		NA		

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

PROJECT: McCUTCHEON ROAD STREET L					TING IMPROV	IMPROVEMENTS Bid Date:			July 26, 2017			
Department/Division: Public Utilities / Power			Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I, II and III):				U.S. Utility					
Section:		Street Lighting				Ev	raluated Low Bid (fr	om	Tabs I, II and III):		\$	175,734
CIP No.		440007-100006	(UIRF)		MPANY NAME		OMPANY NAME	С	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Ma		Scott Wolfe			U.S. Utility	C	omplete Gen'l		Asplundh	NA	NA	NA
Engineer's	s Estimate:	\$	334,743.97		Bid Amount		Bid Amount		Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
DADT IV. 2	20 (17) F	Dueferre		\$	175,734.01	\$	183,245.70	\$	257,859.80	NA	NA	NA
	29.(17) Environmental arent Low Bid:	\$	175,734.01	Anna	rent Low Bidder:		U.S. l	Itilii	tv			
— Арра	arene Low Did.	<u> </u>	173,734.01	Appa	renciow bladel.		0.5. (Juil	٠,	I		
PART IV: 32	29.(17) Environmental	Preference										
step 1			onmentally preferable" bid? Answer other bidder's, input "NA"		YES		NA		NA			
step 2	Excluding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid?				NA		YES		NO			
step 3	bid, then the apparent/ in Steps 4-6, complete If the apparent/evaluat	evaluated low bidder is le Step 7, and proceed to T ed low bidder did not subi	ed an environmentally preferable ad bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data ab 5. mit an environmentally preferable									
	bid, proceed to Steps 6	5 and 7.										
	step 4 Calculate environmental preference for each bid. It is up to five percent (5%) of the non-environmental low bid, for a maximum of \$20,000 per bid.				0.000%		4.274%		46.733%	NA	NA	NA
step 5	step 5 Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied).			\$	-	\$	7,832.78	\$	20,000.00	NA	NA	NA
	step 6 Bid amount less environmental preference, except if both claim environmental preference; in that case, both claiming EP cancels it for both			\$	175,734.01	\$	175,412.92	\$	237,859.80	NA	NA	NA
step 7	Low Bid Amount:	\$ 175,734.01	Low Bidder:		U.S. Utility							

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	U.S. Utility	\$ 175,734.01
Project:	McCUTCHEON ROAD STREET LIGHTING IMPR	OVEMENTS

Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

Bidders	U.S. Utility	Complete Gen'l	Asplundh	0	0	0
Bid	\$ 175,734.01	\$ 183,245.70	\$ 257,859.80	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Percent Difference btwn Bid						
and Next Highest Bid	4.27%	40.72%	-100.00%			

Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder?

If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, q, and h?

If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.

Bid Gurantee Requirements Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.

Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?

Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarentee submitted?

YES arentee submitted? YES

Bid Bond Bond on City's bond form

Surety power of attorney

Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance

Certified/Cashier's Check
Drawn on a solvant bank

N/A

NO

N/A

Most recent surety financial statement

Contract Compliance Are all subcontractors contract compliant? Required before the contract can be executed.

If there are not any subcontractors, choose N/A.

Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp Is the corporation foreign or the individual or partnership a non-resident of the State of Ohio?

If yes, then did they file with the Secretary of State a power of attorney designating them or their agent or the Secretary of State, as agent, for the purpose of accepting service of summons, in any action in law or equity,

or both, brought in the State of Ohio

This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid

Letter of Credit

LOC on City's LOC form

http://www.sos.state.oh.us/

Award recommendation: After evaluation of the bids, I recommend the following contractor be awarded the contract for:

Project Name: McCUTCHEON ROAD STREET LIGHTING IMPROVEMENTS

Recommended Bidder: U.S. Utility Contractors, Inc.
Contract Amount: \$ 175,734.01

Evaluator's Name: Missy Smith 645-3776
Evaluation Completion Date: 7/28/2017

Additional Information for Departments:

State of Ohio - Ohio Auditor

This is the link to the State of Ohio Auditor's web site to check for "findings for recovery"

https://ohioauditor.gov/findings.html

YES

YES

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	U.S. Utility	\$	175,734.01
Project:	McCUTCHEON ROAD STREET LIGHTING IMPR	OVE	MENTS

Federal - System for Award Management

This is the link to the Federal System for Awards Management site to check for "findings for recovery"

https://www.sam.gov/portal/SAM/#1

City of Columbus

Contact the Purchasing Office if you have a question about the City's list of debarred vendors.

State of Ohio Debarment

This is the link to multiple state agencies that lists debarred companies.

http://ofcc.ohio.gov/Resources/Debarments.aspx

OSHA

This is the link to the US Department of Labor's website for OSHA violations

https://www.osha.gov

City of Columbus Office of Prequalifications

Pre-Qualification Office email: prequalification@columbus.gov

Pre-Qualification Office phone no: 645-0359

TAB VII: Notes

U.S. Utility	Complete General	Asplundh	Jess Howard					
			Bid Amount:					
Ta	Tab 1, Part 1A-b: Interested Parties.							
	Bid Not evaluated since top 3 were responsive.							
	Tab 1, Part 1C-c: Are there Technical Prequalifications (other than pre-qualification)? There are no other technical prequalifications other than those stated by the contract							
Tab 1 Part 1C-h: Materiall	y Balanced AND Tab 2, Part IIA-	a: Statistically Comparable						
	46.73% > U.S. Utility but 22.97 < E.E.; marked NA for both							
Tab 2, Part IIA-f & g: Meeting d								
# meeting deadlines: 0 out of 3	# meeting deadlines: 0 out of 3	# meeting deadlines: 3 out of 4						
# meeting costs: 1 out of 3	# meeting costs: 1 out of 3	# meeting costs: 1 out of 4						